



Garonne River monitoring from Signal-to-Noise Ratio data collected by a single geodetic receiver

Nicolas Roussel (1), Frédéric Frappart (1), José Darrozes (1), Guillaume Ramillien (2), Philippe Bonneton (3), Natalie Bonneton (3), Guillaume Detandt (3), Manon Roques (1), and Thomas Orseau (1)

(1) Université Paul Sabatier, GET-OMP, 31400 Toulouse, France, (2) CNRS, GET-OMP, 31400 Toulouse, France, (3) Université de Bordeaux, EPOC, 33000 Bordeaux, France

GNSS-Reflectometry (GNSS-R) altimetry has demonstrated a strong potential for water level monitoring through the last decades. Interference Pattern Technique (IPT) based on the analysis of the Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR) estimated by a GNSS receiver, presents the main advantage of being applicable everywhere by using a single geodetic antenna and a classical GNSS receiver. Such a technique has already been tested in various configurations of acquisition of surface-reflected GNSS signals with an accuracy of a few centimeters. Nevertheless, classical SNR analysis method used to estimate the variations of the reflecting surface height $h(t)$ has a limited domain of validity due to its variation rate $dh/dt(t)$ assumed to be negligible. In [1], authors solve this problem with a “dynamic SNR method” taking the dynamic of the surface into account to conjointly estimate $h(t)$ and $dh/dt(t)$ over areas characterized by high amplitudes of tides. If the performance of this dynamic SNR method is already well-established for ocean monitoring [1], it was not validated in continental areas (i.e. river monitoring).

We carried out a field study during 3 days in August and September, 2015, using a GNSS antenna to measure the water level variations in the Garonne River (France) in Podensac located 140 km downstream of the estuary mouth. In this site, the semi-diurnal tide amplitude reaches ~ 5 m. The antenna was located ~ 10 m above the water surface, and reflections of the GNSS electromagnetic waves on the Garonne River occur until 140 m from the antenna.

Both classical SNR method and dynamic SNR method are tested and results are compared.

[1] N. Roussel, G. Ramillien, F. Frappart, J. Darrozes, A. Gay, R. Biancale, N. Striebig, V. Hanquiez, X. Bertin, D. Allain : “Sea level monitoring and sea state estimate using a single geodetic receiver”, *Remote Sensing of Environment* 171 (2015) 261-277.