Geophysical Research Abstracts Vol. 18, EGU2016-1502-1, 2016 EGU General Assembly 2016 © Author(s) 2015. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



## Verification and calibration of Energy- and Flux-Budget (EFB) turbulence closure model through large eddy simulations and direct numerical simulations

Evgeny Kadantsev (1), Carl Fortelius (2), Oleg Druzhinin (3,4), Evgeny Mortikov (5), Andrey Glazunov (5), Sergej Zilitinkevich (1,2,4)

(1) University of Helsinki, Finland (evgeny.kadantsev@helsinki.fi), (2) Finnish Meteorological Institute, Finland, (3) Institute of Applied Physics, Russian Acad. Sci., Nizhny Novgorod, Russia, (4) Lobachevsky State University of Nizhny Novgorod, Faculty of Radiophysics, Russia, (5) Institute of Numerical Mathematics RAS, Russia

We examine and validate the EFB turbulence closure model (Zilitinkevich et al., 2013), which is based on the budget equations for basic second moments, namely, two energies: turbulent kinetic energy  $E_K$  and turbulent potential energy  $E_P$ , and vertical turbulent fluxes of momentum and potential temperature,  $\tau_i$  (i=1,2) and  $F_z$ . Instead of traditional postulation of down-gradient turbulent transport, the EFB closure determines the eddy viscosity and eddy conductivity from the steady-state version of the budget equations for  $\tau_i$  and  $F_z$ . Furthermore, the EFB closure involves new prognostic equation for turbulent dissipation time scale  $t_T$ , and extends the theory to non-steady turbulence regimes accounting for non-gradient and non-local turbulent transports (when the traditional concepts of eddy viscosity and eddy conductivity become generally inconsistent).

Our special interest is in asymptotic behavior of the EFB closure in strongly stable stratification. For this purpose, we consider plane Couette flow, namely, the flow between two infinite parallel plates, one of which is moving relative to another. We use a set of Direct Numerical Simulation (DNS) experiments at the highest possible Reynolds numbers for different bulk Richardson numbers (Druzhinin et al., 2015).

To demonstrate potential improvements in Numerical Weather Prediction models, we test the new closure model in various idealized cases, varying stratification from the neutral and conventionally neutral to stable (GABLS1) running a test RANS model and HARMONIE/AROME model in single-column mode. Results are compared with DNS and LES (Large Eddy Simulation) runs and different numerical weather prediction models.