Geophysical Research Abstracts Vol. 18, EGU2016-15949, 2016 EGU General Assembly 2016 © Author(s) 2016. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



Background CH₄ and N₂O fluxes in low-input short rotation coppice

Carolyn-Monika Görres (1,2), Terenzio Zenone (1), and Reinhart Ceulemans (1)

(1) Centre of Excellence PLECO Plant and Vegetation Ecology, University of Antwerp, Wilrijk, Belgium (carolyn.goerres@uantwerpen.be), (2) now at: WG Climate Change Research in Special Crops, Hochschule Geisenheim University, Geisenheim, Germany

Extensively managed short rotation coppice systems are characterized by low fluxes of CH₄ and N₂O. However due to the large global warming potential of these trace gases (GWP₁₀₀: CH₄: 34, N₂O: 298), such background fluxes can still significantly contribute to offsetting the CO₂ uptake of short rotation coppice systems. Recent technological advances in fast-response CH₄ and N₂O analysers have improved our capability to capture these background fluxes, but their quantification still remains a challenge. As an example, we present here CH₄ and N₂O fluxes from a short-rotation bioenergy plantation in Belgium. Poplars have been planted in a double-row system on a loamy sand in 2010 and coppiced in the beginning of 2012 and 2014 (two-year rotation system). In 2013 (June – November) and 2014 (April – August), the plantation's CH₄ and N₂O fluxes were measured in parallel with an eddy covariance tower (EC) and an automated chamber system (AC). The EC had a detection limit of 13.68 and 0.76 μ mol m⁻² h⁻¹ for CH₄ and N₂O, respectively. The median detection limit of the AC was 0.38 and $0.08 \ \mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \ \text{h}^{-1}$ for CH₄ and N₂O, respectively. The EC picked up a few high CH₄ emission events with daily averages $> 100 \,\mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\,\text{h}^{-1}$, but a large proportion of the measured fluxes were within the EC's detection limit. The same was true for the EC-derived N₂O fluxes where the daily average flux was often close to the detection limit. Sporadically, some negative (uptake) fluxes of N₂O were observed. On the basis of the EC data, no clear link was found between CH₄ and N₂O fluxes and environmental variables. The problem with fluxes within the EC detection limit is that a significant amount of the values can show the opposite sign, thus "mirroring" the true flux. Subsequently, environmental controls of background trace gas fluxes might be disguised in the analysis. As a next step, it will be tested if potential environmental drivers of background CH₄ and N₂O fluxes at the plantation can be uncovered by analysing the measurements of the AC. The majority of the fluxes captured by the AC ranged between -2 and 2 μ mol m⁻² h⁻¹ for CH₄, and -0.2 and 0.2 μ mol m⁻² h⁻¹ for N₂O, respectively. Understanding the environmental drivers of background CH₄ and N₂O fluxes is the basis for designing reasonable gap-filling strategies, and thus for a more accurate quantification of the contribution of these gases to the overall greenhouse gas balance of low-input short rotation coppice systems. Additionally, it is also an important contribution to the current debate whether soils can be significant N₂O sinks.

Funding support: ERC Advanced Grant agreement (# 233366) POPFULL under the EC 7^{th} Framework Program (FP7/2007-2013), Flemish Hercules Foundation as Infrastructure contract # ZW09-06, and the Methusalem Program of the Flemish Government.