



Estimating Air Temperature over the Tibetan Plateau Using MODIS Data

Fangfang Huang (1), Weiqiang Ma (), Yaoming Ma (), Maoshan Li (), and Zeyong Hu ()

(1) Key Laboratory for Land Process and Climate Change in Cold and Arid Regions, Cold and Arid Region Environmental and Engineering Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou, China(huangfangfang09@163.com), (2) University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

Estimating Air Temperature over the Tibetan Plateau Using MODIS Data

Fangfang Huang^{1,2}, Weiqiang Ma^{3,4}, Yaoming Ma^{3,4}, Maoshan Li^{1,2}, Zeyong Hu^{1,4}

¹Key Laboratory for Land Process and Climate Change in Cold and Arid Regions, Cold and Arid Region Environmental and Engineering Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou, 730000, China

²University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100049, China

³A Key Laboratory of Tibetan Environment Changes and Land Surface Processes, Institute of Tibetan Plateau Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100101, China

⁴CAS Center for Excellence in Tibetan Plateau Earth Sciences, Beijing, 100101, China

Abstract Time series of MODIS land surface temperature (LST) data and normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) data, combined with digital elevation model (DEM) and meteorological data for 2001-2012, were used to estimate and map the spatial distribution of monthly mean air temperature over the Tibetan Plateau (TP). Time series and regression analysis of monthly mean land surface temperature (T_s) and air temperature (T_a) were both conducted by ordinary linear regression (OLR) and geographical weighted regression (GWR) methods. Analysis showed that GWR method had much better result (Adjusted $R^2 > 0.79$, root mean square error (RMSE) is between 0.51°C and 1.12°C) for estimating T_a than OLR method. The GWR model, with MODIS LST, NDVI and altitude as independent variables, was used to estimate T_a over the Tibetan Plateau. All GWR models in each month were tested by F-test with significant level of $\alpha=0.01$ and the regression coefficients were all tested by T-test with significant level of $\alpha=0.01$. This illustrated that T_s , NDVI and altitude play an important role on estimating T_a over the Tibetan Plateau. Finally, the major conclusions are as follows: (1) GWR method has higher accuracy for estimating T_a than OLR (Adjusted $R^2=0.40\sim 0.78$, $\text{RMSE}=1.60\sim 4.38^\circ\text{C}$), and the T_a control precision can be up to 1.12°C . (2) Over the Northern TP, the range of T_a variation in January is $-29.28 \sim -5.0^\circ\text{C}$, and that in July is $-0.53 \sim 14.0^\circ\text{C}$. T_a in summer half year (from May to October) is between $-15.92 \sim 14.0^\circ\text{C}$. From October on, 0°C isothermal level is gradually declining from the altitude of 4~5 kilometers, and hits the bottom with altitude of 3200 meters in December, and T_a is all under 0°C in January. 10°C isothermal level gradually starts rising from the altitude of 3200 meters from May, and reaches the highest level with altitude of 4~5 kilometers in July. In addition, T_a in south slope of the Tanggula Mountains is obviously higher than that in the north slope. T_a in east of Qinghai-Tibet Railway is higher than that in the west, and T_a shows an increasing tendency from northwest to southeast. (3) Over the Northern TP, the variation range of the difference between surface and air temperature (DT) in January is $-6.52\sim 6.0^\circ\text{C}$, and that in July is $-6.32\sim 6.0^\circ\text{C}$. DT in summer half year (from May to October) is between $-6.34\sim 8.0^\circ\text{C}$, and DT along Qinghai-Tibet Railway is greater than that in the east and west areas of Qinghai-Tibet Railway. However, except of the southeastern area of the Northern TP, where DT is under 0°C , DT values in other areas are all more than 0°C in winter half year. In summer half year, the altitude of the area lower than 0°C rises to 4~5 kilometers, and DT in south of the Tanggula Mountains is between -2.0°C and 0°C .