



## **Modelling the interaction between flooding events and economic growth**

Johanna Grames (1), Alexia Fürnkranz-Prskawetz (2,3), Dieter Grass (4), Alberto Viglione (5), and Günter Blöschl (5)

(1) Centre for Water Resource Systems, TU Wien, Vienna, Austria (johanna.grames@econ.tuwien.ac.at), (2) Institute of Stochastic and Mathematical Methods in Economics, Research Unit Economics, TU Wien, Vienna, Austria, (3) Wittgenstein Centre for Demography and Global Human Capital (IIASA, VID/ÖAW,WU), Vienna and Laxenburg, Austria, (4) Institute of Stochastic and Mathematical Methods in Economics, Research Unit Operations Research, TU Wien, Vienna, Austria, (5) Institute of Hydrologic Engineering and Water Resources Management, TU Wien, Vienna, Austria

Recently socio-hydrology models have been proposed to analyze the interplay of community risk-coping culture, flooding damage and economic growth.

These models descriptively explain the feedbacks between socio-economic development and natural disasters such as floods. Complementary to these descriptive models, we develop a dynamic optimization model, where the inter-temporal decision of an economic agent interacts with the hydrological system.

This interdisciplinary approach matches with the goals of *Panta Rhei* i.e. to understand feedbacks between hydrology and society. It enables new perspectives but also shows limitations of each discipline. Young scientists need mentors from various scientific backgrounds to learn their different research approaches and how to best combine them such that interdisciplinary scientific work is also accepted by different science communities.

In our socio-hydrology model we apply a macro-economic decision framework to a long-term flood-scenario. We assume a standard macro-economic growth model where agents derive utility from consumption and output depends on physical capital that can be accumulated through investment. To this framework we add the occurrence of flooding events which will destroy part of the capital.

We identify two specific periodic long term solutions and denote them rich and poor economies. Whereas rich economies can afford to invest in flood defense and therefore avoid flood damage and develop high living standards, poor economies prefer consumption instead of investing in flood defense capital and end up facing flood damages every time the water level rises. Nevertheless, they manage to sustain at least a low level of physical capital. We identify optimal investment strategies and compare simulations with more frequent and more intense high water level events.