

## **A benchmark initiative on mantle convection with melting and melt segregation**

Harro Schmeling (1), Juliane Dannberg (2), Janik Dohmen (1), Klara Kalousova (3), Maxim Maurice (4), Lena Noack (5), Ana Plesa (4), Ondrej Soucek (8), Marc Spiegelman (6), Cedric Thieulot (7), Nicola Tosi (4,9), and Herbert Wallner (1)

(1) Goethe University Frankfurt, Institute of Geoscience, Frankfurt/M, Germany (schmeling@geophysik.uni-frankfurt.de), (2) Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, College Station, USA, (3) Jet Propulsion Laboratory-California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA, (4) Department of Planetary Physics, German Aerospace Center (DLR), Berlin, Germany, (5) Royal Observatory of Belgium, Department of Reference Systems and Planetology, Brussels, Belgium, (6) Department of Applied Physics and Applied Mathematics, Columbia University, New York, USA, (7) Department of Earth Sciences, Utrecht University, Utrecht, Netherlands, (8) Mathematical Institute, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic, (9) Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Technische Universität Berlin, Germany

In recent years a number of mantle convection models have been developed which include partial melting within the asthenosphere, estimation of melt volumes, as well as melt extraction with and without redistribution at the surface or within the lithosphere. All these approaches use various simplifying modelling assumptions whose effects on the dynamics of convection including the feedback on melting have not been explored in sufficient detail. To better assess the significance of such assumptions and to provide test cases for the modelling community we carry out a benchmark comparison. The reference model is taken from the mantle convection benchmark, cases 1a to 1c (Blankenbach et al., 1989), assuming a square box with free slip boundary conditions, the Boussinesq approximation, constant viscosity and Rayleigh numbers of 104 to 106. Melting is modelled using a simplified binary solid solution with linearly depth dependent solidus and liquidus temperatures, as well as a solidus temperature depending linearly on depletion. Starting from a plume free initial temperature condition (to avoid melting at the onset time) five cases are investigated: Case 1 includes melting, but without thermal or dynamic feedback on the convection flow. This case provides a total melt generation rate ( $q_m$ ) in a steady state. Case 2 is identical to case 1 except that latent heat is switched on. Case 3 includes batch melting, melt buoyancy (melt Rayleigh number  $R_m$ ) and depletion buoyancy, but no melt percolation. Output quantities are the Nusselt number ( $Nu$ ), root mean square velocity ( $v_{rms}$ ), the maximum and the total melt volume and  $q_m$  approaching a statistical steady state. Case 4 includes two-phase flow, i.e. melt percolation, assuming a constant shear and bulk viscosity of the matrix and various melt retention numbers ( $R_t$ ). These cases are carried out using the Compaction Boussinesq Approximation (Schmeling, 2000) or the full compaction formulation. For cases 1 – 3 very good agreement is achieved among the various participating codes. For case 4 melting/freezing formulations require some attention to avoid sub-solidus melt fractions. A case 5 is planned where all melt will be extracted and, reinserted in a shallow region above the melted plume. The motivation of this presentation is to summarize first experiences and to finalize the case definitions.

### References:

Blankenbach, B., Busse, F., Christensen, U., Cserepes, L., Gunkel, D., Hansen, U., Harder, H., Jarvis, G., Koch, M., Marquart, G., Moore D., Olson, P., and Schmeling, H., 1989: A benchmark comparison for mantle convection codes, *J. Geophys.*, 98, 23-38.

Schmeling, H., 2000: Partial melting and melt segregation in a convecting mantle. In: *Physics and Chemistry of Partially Molten Rocks*, eds. N. Bagdassarov, D. Laporte, and A.B. Thompson, Kluwer Academic Publ., Dordrecht, pp. 141 - 178.