High-resolution analysis of 1 day extreme precipitation in Sicily

Maurizio Maugeri[1,2], Michele Brunetti[2], Mistral Garzoglio[1], Claudia Simolo[2], Andrea Bertolini[2]

[1] Department of Physics, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy - [2] Institute of Atmospheric Sciences and Climate, CNR, Bologna, Italy

1. Motivation

Sicily experienced several exceptional precipitation episodes and floods during the last century. Rational planning of urban development is indispensable to protect the population. This requires a thorough knowledge of the distributional features of extreme precipitation over its complex territory.

2. Aims

- Perform an investigation of 1-day precipitation extremes based on a dense data-set of high-quality, homogenized station records in 1921-2005;
- Estimate very high quantiles corresponding to 10-, 50- and 100-yr return periods, as predicted by a Generalized Extreme Value (GEV) distribution;
- Produce a high-resolution grid (30 arcsec) of return levels using a regional frequency analysis combined with regression techniques.

3. Data processing

i. Quality control of 325 station records to detect anomalously large precipitation amounts (outliers);
ii. Homogeneity control of quality-controlled records covering at least 24 years by means of the multiple application of the Craddock test on records and, if necessary, its homogenization to remove all signals of non-climatic origin (Brunetti et al. 2006);
iii. Realization of climatic normals of annul precipitation at 30 arcsec resolution (Fig.1) by means of a local weighted linear regression of precipitation versus elevation (Brunetti et al. 2009, 2014).



Figure 1: Climatic normals (1961-1990) of annual precipitation at 30 arcsec resolution

4. Methods

i. Rescaling: normalization of annual maxima from 231 single-station records by an appropriate site-specific Index Flood (IF), their own median;
ii. Pooling: assignment to each grid point of a sample of rescaled maxima drawn from the station records falling within a site-dependent distance from the grid-point. To achieve the optimal size of the area of influence we used the Pooled Uncertainty Measure (PUM - Kjeldsen and Jones, 2009). The PUM minimum occurring at about 25 km (Fig. 2a) identified the optimal upper bound on the pooling distance. The lower bound, required to obtain an independent sample, is identified at 5 km (Fig. 2b and 2c).
iii. Fitting: fit of a unique GEV distribution to each grid-point sample and extrapolation of non-dimensional RLs for the given return periods;
iv. Spatialization: interpolation of site-specific IFs on the high-resolution grid by regression with annual mean precipitation totals and estimation of dimensional RLs.



*Figure 2: **(a)** Variation of the RL50 PUM as a function of the upper bound of the pooling radius. **(b)** Common variance between series of annual maxima versus distance of sites. **(c)** Variation of both the mean number of data in the pooled samples and the mean amplitude of the CI95 as a function of the lower bound of the pooling radius.*



Figure 3: Observed stations IFs vs. estimated IFs on the grid points closest to the station sites. The total uncertainty (RMSE) amounts to about 8 mm, i.e. 13 % of the observed mean value.

5. Results I

Stationarity:

a) during the 1952-2005 period only 6% of the series showing trends, either positive or negative, it is thus confirmed the prerequisite for a stationary GEV-based approach.

GEV parameters, single-station (SS) vs pooled-station (RFA):

b) GEV shape parameter with 95% confidence intervals from fits to single-station (SS) and pooled-station (RFA) data.
c) Frequency histograms of the SS and RFA estimates of the shape parameter and the fractional reductions of CI95 by RFA. RFA provides a net reduction of confidence intervals.







References

- Brunetti, M. et al. 2014: High-resolution temperature climatology for Italy: Interpolation method intercomparison. Int. J. Climatol. Vol.34, N.4, p.1278-1296
- Brunetti, M. et al. 2009: 1961-90 high-resolution Northern and Central Italy monthly precipitation climatologies, Adv. Sci. Res., 3, 73–78.
- Brunetti, M. et al. 2006: Temperature and precipitation variability in Italy in the last two centuries from homogenized instrumental time series. Int. J. Climatol., Vol. 26, Issue 3, p 345–381
- Kjeldsen, T. R. and Jones, D. A. 2009: A formal statistical model for pooled analysis of extreme floods, Hydrol. Res., 40, 465–480.
- Maugeri, M. et al. 2015: High-resolution analysis of 1 day extreme precipitation in Sicily,Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.Vol.15, N.10, p.2347-2358.

Conctact

M.Maugeri (maurizio.maugeri@unimi.it)
Department of Physics, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy

6. Results II

GEV parameters from RFA:



Spatial distribution of best guess values of (a) the scale (IF units) and (b) the shape parameters from RFA fits to rescaled annual maxima at the station points.

High-resolution grid (30 arcsec) RL100:



Grid-point RL100 expressed as absolute precipitation amounts (c). The strongest events are expected on the eastern coastal areas, with peaks of more than 450 mm/ day in the north-east, among the highest in the Mediterranean.

7. Conclusions

i. RFA turned out to be a very effective method to reduce the errors of GEV parameters and RLs;
ii. Both GEV parameters and corresponding RLs exhibit strong spatial gradients over Sicily;
iii. The IF estimate on a high-resolution grid allowed us to realize high-resolution estimates of RLs not only for normalized data, but also for the precipitation absolute values.
iv. The north-eastern part of Sicily is the most affected by extreme precipitations with the highest RL100 (more than 450 mm/day).