

## Hydroxyl radical variability and its influence on recent methane growth inferred from methyl chloroform trends

Matthew Rigby (1), Steve Montzka (2), Ronald Prinn (3), James White (4), Dickon Young (1), Simon O'Doherty (1), Anita Ganesan (5), Alistair Manning (6), Peter Simmonds (1), Peter Salameh (7), Chris Harth (7), Jens Muhle (7), Ray Weiss (7), Paul Fraser (8), Paul Steele (8), Paul Krummel (8), Archie McCulloch (1), and Sunyoung Park (9)

(1) University of Bristol, School of Chemistry, Bristol, United Kingdom (matt.rigby@bristol.ac.uk), (2) National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Earth System Research Laboratory, Boulder, Colorado, USA, (3) Center for Global Change Science, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA, (4) Institute of Arctic and Alpine Research, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA, (5) School of Chemistry, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom, (6) Hadley Centre, Met Office, Exeter, United Kingdom, (7) Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA, (8) CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Climate Science Centre, Aspendale, Australia, (9) Department of Oceanography, Kyungpook National University, Sangju, Republic of Korea

Tropospheric hydroxyl radical (OH) concentrations can be inferred using long-term trends in methyl chloroform ( $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$ ). However, it has long been known that inaccuracies in the assumed emissions of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  have the potential to induce significant systematic errors in the OH levels derived using this approach. To address this, we present a Bayesian study in which uncertain parameters within a model of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  emissions were explored using atmospheric  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  data. This allows us to bring the model into consistency with informative features, such as the observed inter-hemispheric gradient, and propagate any remaining uncertainties through to our OH estimates. Using this approach, we find a maximum-likelihood solution that indicates an increase in OH concentrations of around 10% between the late 1990s and mid-2000s, and a subsequent fall of similar magnitude from the mid-2000s to 2014. However, significant uncertainties remain such that a “constant OH” trajectory is also plausible from our estimation framework. When these uncertainties are considered, the probability of some level of decline in OH between 2007 and 2014 is between 64 and 70%. By including  $\text{CH}_4$  and  $\delta^{13}\text{C}-\text{CH}_4$  observations in our inversion, we can propagate these OH concentrations and uncertainties through to top-down estimates of  $\text{CH}_4$  emissions. Our maximum-likelihood solution does not require a pause and then sudden growth in  $\text{CH}_4$  emissions around the years 2000 and 2007, respectively, as has been suggested elsewhere. Rather, emissions increase relatively gradually over the last two decades. Furthermore, this solution suggests that OH changes have contributed to the recent decline in  $\delta^{13}\text{C}-\text{CH}_4$  and the growth in ethane. However, the uncertainties in our  $\text{CH}_4$  inversion, which derive largely from the OH estimates, are significant. Without careful consideration of the above OH uncertainties, it would be possible to draw over-confident conclusions about the causes of recent  $\text{CH}_4$  changes.