Geophysical Research Abstracts Vol. 19, EGU2017-1405-2, 2017 EGU General Assembly 2017 © Author(s) 2017. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



Providing a more complete view of ice-age palaeoclimates using model inversion and data interpolation

Sean Cleator (1), Sandy P. Harrison (2), Ian Roulstone (1), Nancy K. Nichols (3), and Iain Colin Prentice (4) (1) Department of Mathematics, University of Surrey, Surrey, United Kingdom (s.cleator@surrey.ac.uk), (2) School of Archaeology, Geography and Environmental Sciences (SAGES), University of Reading, Reading, United Kingdom (s.p.harrion@reading.ac.uk), (3) Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, (4) AXA Chair of Biosphere and Climate Impacts, Department of Life Sciences, Imperial College London

Site-based pollen records have been used to provide quantitative reconstructions of Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) climates, but there are too few such records to provide continuous climate fields for the evaluation of climate model simulations. Furthermore, many of the reconstructions were made using modern-analogue techniques, which do not account for the direct impact of CO₂ on water-use efficiency and therefore reconstruct considerably drier conditions under low CO₂ at the LGM than indicated by other sources of information. We have shown that it is possible to correct analogue-based moisture reconstructions for this effect by inverting a simple light-use efficiency model of productivity, based on the principle that the rate of water loss per unit carbon gain of a plant is the same under conditions of the true moisture, palaeotemperature and palaeo CO₂ concentration as under reconstructed moisture, modern CO₂ concentration and modern temperature (Prentice et al., 2016). In this study, we use data from the Bartlein et al. (2011) dataset, which provides reconstructions of one or more of six climate variables (mean annual temperature, mean temperature of the warmest and coldest months, the length of the growing seasons, mean annual precipitation, and the ratio of actual to potential evapotranspiration) at individual LGM sites. We use the SPLASH water-balance model to derive a moisture index (MI) at each site from mean annual precipitation and monthly values of sunshine fraction and average temperature, and correct this MI using the Prentice et al. (2016) inversion approach. We then use a three-dimensional variational (3D-Var) data assimilation scheme with the SPLASH model and Prentice et al. (2016) inversion approach to derive reconstructions of all six climate variables at each site, using the Bartlein et al. (2011) data set as a target. We use two alternative background climate states (or priors): modern climate derived from the CRU CL v2.0 data set (New et al., 2002) and LGM climate derived as an ensemble average of the CMIP5-PMIP3 LGM simulations (Harrison et al, 2015). This assimilation technique will ultimately be extended to include information from adjacent LGM sites through a distance-weighting function and constrained smoothing techniques to produce a spatially consistent reconstruction of LGM climate fields. The use of model inversion together with a 3D-Var assimilation scheme provides a well-formulated way of maximising the use of limited palaeoclimate data.