Geophysical Research Abstracts Vol. 19, EGU2017-16437, 2017 EGU General Assembly 2017 © Author(s) 2017. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



Storage flux uncertainty impact on eddy covariance net ecosystem exchange measurements

Giacomo Nicolini (1), Marc Aubinet (2), Christian Feigenwinter (3), Bernard Heinesch (2), Anders Lindroth (4), Ossénatou Mamadou (2), Uta Moderow (5), Meelis Mölder (6), Leonardo Montagnani (7), Corinna Rebmann (8), and Dario Papale (1)

(1) Department for Innovation in Biological Agro-food and Forest systems, University of Tuscia, Viterbo, Italy , (2) UPB-Gembloux Agro-Bio Tech, Université de Liege, Liege, Belgium, (3) Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Basel, Switzerland, (4) Department of Earth and Ecosystem Sciences, Lund University, Lund, Sweden, (5) Department of Hydrosciences, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany, (6) Department of Physical Geography and Ecosystem Science, Lund University, Lund, Sweden, (7) Unibz Facoltà di Scienze e Tecnologie, Italy, (8) Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Reserach UFZ, Germany

Complying with several assumption and simplifications, most of the carbon budget studies based on eddy covariance (EC) measurements, quantify the net ecosystem exchange (NEE) by summing the flux obtained by EC (Fc) and the storage flux (Sc). Sc is the rate of change of CO₂, within the so called control volume below the EC measurement level, given by the difference in the instantaneous profiles of concentration at the beginning and end of the EC averaging period, divided by the averaging period. While cumulating over time led to a nullification of Sc, it can be significant at short time periods. The approaches used to estimate Sc fluxes largely vary, from measurements based only on a single sampling point (usually located at the EC measurement height) to measurements based on several sampling profiles distributed within the control volume. Furthermore, the number of sampling points within each profile vary, according to their height and the ecosystem typology. It follows that measurement accuracy increases with the sampling intensity within the control volume. In this work we use the experimental dataset collected during the ADVEX campaign in which Sc flux has been measured in three similar forest sites by the use of 5 sampling profiles (towers). Our main objective is to quantify the impact of Sc measurement uncertainty on NEE estimates. Results show that different methods may produce substantially different Sc flux estimates, with problematic consequences in case high frequency (half-hourly) data are needed for the analysis. However, the uncertainty on long-term estimates may be tolerate.