



## **Highlights from the 2016 Dynamical Core Model Intercomparison Project (DCMIP-2016)**

Christiane Jablonowski (1), Paul A. Ullrich (2), Kevin A. Reed (3), Colin M. Zarzycki (4), James Kent (5), Peter H. Lauritzen (4), and Ramachandran D. Nair (4)

(1) Department of Climate and Space Sciences and Engineering, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA, (2) Department of Land, Air and Water Resources, University of California, Davis, USA, (3) School of Marine and Atmospheric Sciences, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, USA, (4) National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR), Boulder, USA, (5) School of Computing and Mathematics, University of South Wales, Pontypridd, U.K.

The 2016 Dynamical Core Model Intercomparison Project (DCMIP-2016) shed light on the newest modeling techniques for global weather and climate and models with particular focus on the newest non-hydrostatic atmospheric dynamical cores, their physics-dynamics coupling, and variable-resolution aspects. As part of a two-week summer school held in June 2016 at the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR), a main objective of DCMIP-2016 was to establish an open-access database via the Earth System Grid Federation (ESGF) that hosts DCMIP-2016 simulations for community use from over 12 international modeling groups. In addition, DCMIP-2016 established new atmospheric model test cases of intermediate complexity that incorporated simplified physical parameterizations.

The paper presents the results of the three DCMIP-2016 test cases which assess the evolution of an idealized moist baroclinic wave, a tropical cyclone and a supercell. All flow scenarios start from analytically-prescribed moist reference states in gradient-wind and hydrostatic balance which are overlaid by localized perturbations. The simple moisture feedbacks are represented by a warm-rain Kessler-type parameterization without any cloud stage. The tropical cyclone test case also utilizes surface fluxes and turbulent mixing in the boundary layer. The paper highlights the characteristics of the DCMIP-2016 dynamical cores and reveals the impact of the moisture processes on the flow fields over 5-15-day forecast periods. In addition, the coupling between the dynamics, physics and the tracer advection schemes is assessed via a “Terminator” tracer test. The work demonstrates how idealized test cases are part of a model hierarchy that helps distinguish between causes and effects in atmospheric models and their physics-dynamics interplay. This characterizes and informs the design of atmospheric dynamical cores.