



Enhanced greenhouse gas emissions from the Arctic with experimental warming

Carolina Voigt (1), Richard E. Lampricht (1), Maija E. Marushchak (1), Saara E. Lind (1), Alexander Novakovskiy (2), Mika Aurela (3), Pertti J. Martikainen (1), and Christina Biasi (1)

(1) Department of Environmental and Biological Sciences, University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio, Finland (carolina.voigt@uef.fi), (2) Institute of Biology, Komi SC UB RAS, Syktyvkar, Russia, (3) Finnish Meteorological Institute, Helsinki, Finland

Temperatures in the Arctic are projected to increase more rapidly than in lower latitudes. With temperature being a key factor for regulating biogeochemical processes in ecosystems, even a subtle temperature increase might promote the release of greenhouse gases (GHGs) to the atmosphere. Usually, carbon dioxide (CO₂) and methane (CH₄) are the GHGs dominating the climatic impact of tundra. However, bare, patterned ground features in the Arctic have recently been identified as hot spots for nitrous oxide (N₂O). N₂O is a potent greenhouse gas, which is almost 300 times more effective in its global warming potential than CO₂; but studies on arctic N₂O fluxes are rare.

In this study we examined the impact of temperature increase on the seasonal GHG balance of all three important GHGs (CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O) from three tundra surface types (vegetated peat soils, unvegetated peat soils, upland mineral soils) in the Russian Arctic (67°03' N 62°55' E), during the course of two growing seasons. We deployed open-top chambers (OTCs), inducing air and soil surface warming, thus mimicking predicted warming scenarios. We combined detailed CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O flux studies with concentration measurements of these gases within the soil profile down to the active layer–permafrost interface, and complemented these GHG measurements with detailed soil nutrient (nitrate and ammonium) and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) measurements in the soil pore water profile.

In our study, gentle air warming (~1.0 °C) increased the seasonal GHG release of all dominant surface types: the GHG budget of vegetated peat and mineral soils, which together cover more than 80 % of the land area in our study region, shifted from a sink to a source of -300 to 144 g CO₂-eq m⁻² and from -198 to 105 g CO₂-eq m⁻², respectively. While the positive warming response was governed by CO₂, we provide here the first *in situ* evidence that warming increases arctic N₂O emissions: Warming did not only enhance N₂O emissions from the known arctic N₂O hot spots (bare peat soils; maximum seasonal release with warming: 87 mg N₂O m⁻²), but also from the vegetated peat surfaces, not emitting N₂O under present climate. These surfaces showed signs of a hampered plant growth, leading to reduced soil N uptake with warming, indicating that plants are regulating arctic N₂O emissions.

The warming treatment was limited to temperature of air and upper soil surface, and did not alter thaw depth. Nonetheless, we observed a clear increase of all three GHGs deep in the soil profile, and attribute this to downward leaching of labile organic substances from the surface soil and/or plants, fueling microbial activity at depth. Our study thus highlights the tight interlinkage between the surface soil, vegetation, and deeper soil layers, which could lead to losses of all three GHGs, including N₂O, with subtle temperature increase. We therefore emphasize that indirect effects caused by warming, such as leaching processes, as well as arctic N₂O emissions, need to be taken into account when attempting to project feedbacks between the arctic and the global climate system.