

Influence of 13 different biochars on N₂O production and its sources during rewetting-drying cycles

Nicole Wrage-Mönnig (1), Sebastian Fiedler (1), Teresa Fuertes-Mendizábal (2), José-Maria Estavillo (2), Jim A. Ippolito (3), Nils Borchard (4), Maria Luz Cayuela (5), Kurt Spokas (6), Jeff Novak (7), and Claudia Kammann (8)

(1) University of Rostock, Faculty of Agriculture and the Environment, Grassland and Forage Sciences, Rostock, Germany (nicole.wrage-moennig@uni-rostock.de), (2) University of the Basque Country (UPV/EHU), Department of Plant Biology and Ecology, Apdo. 644, E-48080 Bilbao, Spain, (3) Department of Soil and Crop Sciences, C127 Plant Sciences Building, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, 80523-1170, USA, (4) Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Agrosphere Institute (IBG-3), 52425 Jülich, (5) Department of Soil and Water Conservation and Waste Management, CEBAS-CSIC, Campus Universitario de Espinardo, 30100 Murcia, Spain, (6) United States Department of Agriculture, Agriculture Research Service, Soil & Water Management Research Unit, 439 Borlaug Hall, 1991 Buford Circle, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, Minnesota, 55108, USA, (7) United States Department of Agriculture, Agriculture Research Service, Coastal Plains Research Center, 2611 West Lucas Street, Florence, SC, 29501, USA, (8) Geisenheim University, Department of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, Von-Lade-Straße 1, 65366 Geisenheim, Germany

Biochars have been found to have variable impacts on nitrous oxide (N₂O) emissions. The variability has been attributed to differences in soil – biochar properties and microbial communities. While some information exists on biochar and soil properties, the effect of biochars on microbial sources of N₂O is still a matter of speculation. In this study, we tested these effects for 12 biochars prepared from cypress, loblolly pine and grape wood produced at four different controlled temperatures (350, 500, 700 and 900°C), respectively, plus a grapevine Kontiki biochar (600-700°C).

The biochars were added (2%) to a loamy sand brought to pH 7.1 with CaO. The treatments plus one control were pre-incubated at 40% water holding capacity (WHC) for four days. Then, they were brought to 80% WHC and ¹⁵N-nitrate was added (50 mg NO₃⁻-N kg⁻¹ soil, 10% enriched in ¹⁵N). All treatments were set up with four replicates. In total, three cycles of (re)wetting – drying (80 to 40% WHC, total duration 20 days) were monitored. Samples for analyses of N₂O concentrations and stable isotope signatures were taken daily (except for weekends) after closing the incubation vessels for 90 minutes.

N₂O emissions increased with each addition of water and decreased during drying to background values. Each rewetting led to larger emissions than measured in the previous cycle for all treatments including controls. All biochars decreased total N₂O emissions compared to the control treatments. The higher the production temperature of the biochar, the larger usually the emission reduction. Largest effects were found for the grape wood and the Kontiki biochars. Interestingly, the addition of biochars also changed the isotopic signatures of the emitted N₂O. Whereas emissions in the controls were enriched to about 5 atom% ¹⁵N excess at peak emissions, the enrichment was usually less after addition of biochars (1-5 atom% excess). Again, this effect tended to be larger at higher production temperatures of the biochars and was greatest for the grape wood and Kontiki biochars.

The results indicate that NO₃⁻ was an important source for N₂O emissions under the conditions of the study, probably by denitrification. The addition of biochars changed not only the amount of emissions, but also the N source of emissions. In this study, N₂O production from labelled NO₃⁻ was a smaller source of emissions after addition of biochars (especially produced at high temperatures) than in control treatments. This indicates that the ¹⁵N-NO₃⁻ source was diluted by (accelerated) mineralization-nitrification or that microbial sources using other substrates but added NO₃⁻ contributed more to N₂O production. Whether potential capture of NO₃⁻ by biochars could contribute to this, needs to be further investigated.

Acknowledgements: This contribution was made possible by the ‘DesignChar4Food’ project (D4F) funded by the BLE and FACCE-JPI (German partners), by FACCE-CSA n° 276610/MIT04-DESIGN-UPVASC and IT-932-16 (Spanish partners), and the USDA-National Institute of Food and Agriculture (Project # 2014-35615-21971; US colleagues) plus USDA-ARS CHARnet and GRACENet programs. CK gratefully acknowledges funding by DFG grant KA3442/1-1 and “OptiChar4EcoVin” (Hessian Ministry for Higher Education, Research and the Arts).