

Global-scale high-resolution (${\sim}1$ km) modelling of mean, maximum and minimum annual streamflow

Valerio Barbarossa (1), Mark Huijbregts (1,2), Jan Hendriks (1), Arthur Beusen (3), Julie Clavreul (4), Henry King (4), and Aafke Schipper (2)

(1) Radboud University, Institute for Water and Wetland Research, Department of Environmental Science, P.O. Box 9010, 6500 GL Nijmegen, The Netherlands, (2) PBL Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency, Dept. of Nature and Rural Areas, PO Box 30314, 2500 GH The Hague, The Netherlands, (3) PBL Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency, Department of Information, Data and Methodology, PO Box 30314, 2500 GH The Hague, The Netherlands, (4) Unilever R&D, Safety and Environmental Assurance Centre, Colworth Science Park, Sharnbrook, Bedfordshire MK44 1LQ, UK

Quantifying mean, maximum and minimum annual flow (AF) of rivers at ungauged sites is essential for a number of applications, including assessments of global water supply, ecosystem integrity and water footprints. AF metrics can be quantified with spatially explicit process-based models, which might be overly time-consuming and data-intensive for this purpose, or with empirical regression models that predict AF metrics based on climate and catchment characteristics. Yet, so far, regression models have mostly been developed at a regional scale and the extent to which they can be extrapolated to other regions is not known. We developed global-scale regression models that quantify mean, maximum and minimum AF as function of catchment area and catchment-averaged slope, elevation, and mean, maximum and minimum annual precipitation and air temperature. We then used these models to obtain global 30 arc-seconds ($\sim 1 \text{ km}$) maps of mean, maximum and minimum AF for each year from 1960 through 2015, based on a newly developed hydrologically conditioned digital elevation model. We calibrated our regression models based on observations of discharge and catchment characteristics from about 4,000 catchments worldwide, ranging from 10⁰ to 10⁶ km² in size, and validated them against independent measurements as well as the output of a number of process-based global hydrological models (GHMs). The variance explained by our regression models ranged up to 90% and the performance of the models compared well with the performance of existing GHMs. Yet, our AF maps provide a level of spatial detail that cannot yet be achieved by current GHMs.