Geophysical Research Abstracts Vol. 19, EGU2017-7996, 2017 EGU General Assembly 2017 © Author(s) 2017. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



Issues in the inverse modeling of a soil infiltration process

Michal Kuraz (1), Lukas Jacka (1), and Matej Leps (2)

(1) Czech University of Life Sciences Prague, Faculty of Environmental Sciences, Department of Water Resources and Environmental Modeling, Prague - Suchdol, Czech Republic (kuraz@fzp.czu.cz), (2) Czech Technical University in Prague, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Department of Mechanics

This contribution addresses issues in evaluation of the soil hydraulic parameters (SHP) from the Richards equation based inverse model. The inverse model was representing single ring infiltration experiment on mountainous podzolic soil profile, and was searching for the SHP parameters of the top soil layer. Since the thickness of the top soil layer is often much lower than the depth required to embed the single ring or Guelph permeameter device, the SHPs for the top soil layer are very difficult to measure directly. The SHPs for the top soil layer were therefore identified here by inverse modeling of the single ring infiltration process, where, especially, the initial unsteady part of the experiment is expected to provide very useful data for evaluating the retention curve parameters (excluding the residual water content) and the saturated hydraulic conductivity.

The main issue, which is addressed in this contribution, is the uniqueness of the Richards equation inverse model. We tried to answer the question whether is it possible to characterize the unsteady infiltration experiment with a unique set of SHPs values, and whether are all SHP parameters vulnerable with the non-uniqueness. Which is an important issue, since we could further conclude whether the popular gradient methods are appropriate here. Further the issues in assigning the initial and boundary condition setup, the influence of spatial and temporal discretization on the values of the identified SHPs, and the convergence issues with the Richards equation nonlinear operator during automatic calibration procedure are also covered here.