

BAYESIAN INVERSE MODELING FOR QUANTITATIVE PRECIPITATION ESTIMATION





can we gain from the estimation process?

on the NWP-model vironment based



- known parameters

Cao, Q., Zhang, G., Brandes, E. A., & Schuur, T. J. (2010). Polarimetric radar rain estimation through retrieval of drop size distribution using a Bayesian approach. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 9(5), 973-990. Xie, X., Evaristo, R., Simmer, C., Handwerker, J., & Trömel, S. (2016). Precipitation and microphysical processes observed by three polarimetric X-band radars and ground-based instrumentation during HOPE. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 16(11), 7105-7116.

Katharina Schinagl¹, Christian Rieger², Clemens Simmer¹, Xinxin Xie³, and Petra Friederichs¹

¹Meteorological Institute, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany ²Institute for Numerical Simulation, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany ³Shanghai Spaceflight Institute of $TT \mathcal{E}C$ and Telecommunication, Shanghai, China

$$(\vec{t},D)\,dD \qquad \left[\mathrm{mm}^6/\mathrm{m}^3
ight]$$

• Estimate posterior

$$\mathbb{P}(N_0, oldsymbol{\Lambda}, oldsymbol{\mu} | oldsymbol{Z}_H^o) \ \mathbb{P}(oldsymbol{Z}$$

- observations $\boldsymbol{Z_{H}^{obs}}, \boldsymbol{Z_{DR}^{obs}}, \boldsymbol{K_{DP}^{obs}} \in \mathbb{R}^{M}$
- and data, e.g.

 $Z_H := G_{Z_H}(N_0, \Lambda, \mu), \quad G_{Z_H} : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^M$

tive models

$$egin{aligned} & oldsymbol{Z}_{H}^{obs} = ext{diag} oldsymbol{\epsilon}_{Z_{H}} \ & oldsymbol{Z}_{DR}^{obs} = ext{diag} oldsymbol{\epsilon}_{Z_{D}} \ & oldsymbol{K}_{DP}^{obs} = G_{K_{DP}}(oldsymbol{I}) \end{aligned}$$

• Prior: independence assumption

$$\mathbb{P}(\Lambda$$

Outlook

schinagl@uni-bonn.de We implement the Bayesian model in a simulated environment using COSMO-DE. To do so, we implemented an efficient lookup-technique. • Characteristic cases to asses model capabilities increased Bonn andom error Fig. 5: Model testcases on small domain: light rain (left) and localized stronger rain (middle). Random error model with locally higher measurement error (right). • Multivariate model: Gaussian processes for DSD parameters

			•	
-	•		•	•
-	-	-	-	•
	•B	onn	•	•
•	•	•	•	

Bayesian inverse problem

 $egin{aligned} & \Lambda, oldsymbol{\mu} | oldsymbol{Z}_H^{obs}, oldsymbol{Z}_{DR}^{obs}, oldsymbol{K}_{DP}^{obs}) \propto \ & \mathbb{P}(oldsymbol{Z}_H^{obs}, oldsymbol{Z}_{DR}^{obs}, oldsymbol{K}_{DP}^{obs} | oldsymbol{N}_0, \Lambda, oldsymbol{\mu}) \mathbb{P}(oldsymbol{N}_0, \Lambda, oldsymbol{\mu}) \end{aligned}$

• $\mathbf{\Lambda} := \Lambda\left(\vec{x}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, \boldsymbol{\mu} := \mu\left(\vec{x}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, \boldsymbol{N_{0}} := N_{0}\left(\vec{x}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$

• Forward operators: ideal relations between parameters

• Observational errors play a big role \rightarrow additive and multiplica-

 $_{H}G_{Z_{H}}(N_{0},\Lambda,\mu), \quad \epsilon_{Z_{H}}\sim \mathcal{N}(1,\Sigma_{Z_{H}})$ $G_{R}G_{Z_{DR}}(N_0, \Lambda, \mu), \quad \epsilon_{Z_{DR}} \sim \mathcal{N}(1, \Sigma_{Z_{DR}})$ $oldsymbol{N_0},oldsymbol{\Lambda},oldsymbol{\mu})+oldsymbol{\epsilon}_{K_{DP}},\quadoldsymbol{\epsilon}_{K_{DP}}\sim\mathcal{N}(oldsymbol{0},oldsymbol{\Sigma}_{K_{DP}})$

 $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{0}}, \mathbf{\Lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = \mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{N}_{\mathbf{0}})\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{\Lambda})\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{\mu})$

• Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) to sample from posterior