



Loess-paleosol grain size populations: what are the causes of complex grain size distribution curves?

György Varga (1), Gábor Újvári (2), János Kovács (3,4)

(1) Geographical Institute, Research Centre for Astronomy and Earth Sciences, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Hungary (varga.gyorgy@csfk.mta.hu), (2) Institute for Geological and Geochemical Research, Research Centre for Astronomy and Earth Sciences, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Hungary, (3) Department of Geology & Meteorology, University of Pécs, Pécs, Hungary, (4) Environmental Analytical & Geoanalytical Research Group, Szentágotthai Research Centre, University of Pécs, Pécs, Hungary

Grain size distributions (GSDs) of aeolian dust deposits obtained by laser diffraction can be characterized by polymodal curves, in general. As grain size proxies have widely been applied in loess-related paleoenvironmental studies, it has to be emphasized that (1) complex GSDs cannot be regarded as indicators of single one environmental factor; (2) simple statistical descriptors are not suitable to represent composite distributions; (3) falsely applied optical settings of laser diffraction measurements could result additional artificial modes in the fine-grained fractions.

More complex mathematical deconvolution algorithms are needed to decipher deeper sedimentary meanings from the measured grain size results. In this paper, results of parametric curve fitting; end-member modelling and hierarchical cluster-analysis were compared by using sedimentary data of 304 collected samples of loess-paleosol series from Dunaszekcső, Hungary exposing the last glacial-interglacial sedimentary units with a thickness of 14.57 m.

Differences of decomposition methods arise from their different scope and approach. Calculated end-members are the results based on the covariance structure of the whole grain size database, while the input for the parametric curve fitting is only one GSD. The end-members are polymodal, complex GSDs, the simple probability density functions of parametric curve fitting are unimodal. The end-members' GSDs cannot be regarded as the representation of a single dust transportation and/or sedimentation process; these can be assessed by the curve-fitting results. The end-members are results of more simultaneous sedimentation mechanisms dominant in a specific period (e.g. seasonal dust signal: spring dust storms connected to the arrival of cold fronts). Results of cluster analysis represent similar grouping conditions as end-member modelling with a reduced sedimentary and genetically meaning. To develop a full granulometric picture joint application of parametric curve-fitting and end-member modelling is suggested. Parametric deconvolution of the fix and stable end-members the process related seasonal aeolian sedimentary dynamics could be recognized.

Support of the National Research, Development and Innovation Office (NKFI) under contract NKFI K120620 (for G. Varga) is gratefully acknowledged.