



## **Diurnal and seasonal patterns of photosynthesis and its relationship to F687, F760 and a revised PRI**

Sebastian Wieneke (1,2), Andreas Burkart (3), Maria Pilar Cendrero-Mateo (3), Tommaso Julitta (4), Micol Rossini (4), Anke Schickling (3), Marius Schmidt (3), and Uwe Rascher (3)

(1) Centre of Excellence PLECO, University of Antwerp, Wilrijk, Belgium, (2) Institute of Crop Science and Resource Conservation, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany, (3) Institute of Bio- and Geosciences (IBG-2): Plant Sciences, Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Jülich, Germany, (4) Remote Sensing of Environmental Dynamics Lab., DISAT, Università degli Studi Milano-Bicocca, Milan, Italy

Because of its direct link to the photosynthetic process, sun-induced fluorescence (F) has shown to be a promising signal for an improved spatio-temporal monitoring of photosynthesis. However, due to the lack of long term measurements, the diurnal and seasonal relationship between photosynthesis and the two energy dissipation mechanisms of fluorescence and non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) is still unclear.

We here present results of a 2-month measurement campaign carried out during the European heatwave of 2015. We used a spectrometer system (SIF-Sys) that measured in the 350- 1100 nm range, with a high spectral resolution (FWHM: 1 nm) and a fast sampling frequency of 6 sec. The measurements were carried out in close proximity (3 m) to a micro meteorological station, designed for Eddy Covariance measurements. We then analyzed the diurnal and seasonal relationship of the absorbed photosynthetic active radiation (APAR), F and gross primary production (GPP) as well as F<sub>yield</sub> (F<sub>yield</sub> = F/APAR), light use efficiency (LUE = GPP/APAR) and the structural and chlorophyll corrected photochemical reflectance index (rPRI) under changing environmental conditions.

We show that under drought conditions the relationship between F and GPP weakens due to the physiological regulation of photosynthetic efficiency that is non-linearly reflected in F. We also show that far-red fluorescence yield (F<sub>760yield</sub>) can explain 49% of the diurnal and 78% of the seasonal variance in LUE during non-stressed and drought conditions. Red fluorescence yield (F<sub>687yield</sub>) in contrast shows to be a poor predictor of LUE under drought conditions. We furthermore show that under unstressed conditions the general positive relationship between F<sub>760yield</sub> and LUE might invert after solar noon, due to a higher degree of photochemical quenching (PQ).