



Geographic information systems to establish the distribution of drugs of abuse in the Turia River and ecotoxicological assessment

M.Jesus Andres-Costa (1), Juan Pascual-Aguilar (2), Vicente Andreu (2), and Yolanda Pico (1)

(1) Environmental and Food Safety Research Group (SAMA-UV), Desertification Research Centre, CIDE, (CSIC-UV-GV), Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Valencia, Burjassot, Spain (m.jesus.andres@uv.es; yolanda.pico@uv.es), (2) Landscape Chemistry and Environmental Forensics Group, CIDE, (CSIC-UV-GV), Moncada, Spain (Vicente.Andreu-Perez@uv.es; Juan.A.Pascual@uv.es)

The aim of this study establishes the influence and the effects of the human pressure in a typical Mediterranean River Basin as Turia River to establish accurately the point sources of contamination and its types, through an environmental forensics methodology. Forty-two psychoactive substances were analysed in surface water samples collected from 35 sampling sites distributed along the river for 2 consecutive years. Analysis of the target drugs was performed following a previously method based on solid phase extraction and liquid chromatography coupled mass spectrometry (SPE-LC-MS/MS). To determine spatial incidence of psychoactive substances, analytical results of target compounds were georeferenced and integrated into a geographical information systems (GIS) together with tabular data, GIS layers and fieldwork. Ecotoxicological risk of drugs of abuse detected in the Turia River was evaluated in this study by calculating risk quotient (RQ).

According to their occurrence, psychoactive substances can be classified in three groups: (i) Drugs detected only in one sampling point. In 2012, 3,4-methylenedioxyamphetamine (MDMA) and 4-methoxyphencyclidine (4-MeO-PCP) were detected at a concentration of 22.8 and 37.6 ng/L, respectively. In 2013, 4-MeO-PCP was detected in a different sampling point of 2012 at a concentration of 7.55 ng/L and ecgonine methyl ester (ECME) was detected at a concentration of 15.03 ng/L. (ii) Drugs detected only in a few sampling points. Bufotenine (BUF), methadone (MET) and para-methoxyamphetamine (PMA) were found out in 3 or 4 sampling points at concentrations <70 ng/L in 2012. Ephedrine (EPH) and codeine (COD) were detected in 3 sampling point at average concentrations of 11.6 ng/L for EPH and 91.3 ng/L for COD in 2013. (iii) Drugs detected in more than 5 sampling points. The compound more detected along the river was benzoylecgonine (BECG), a cocaine metabolite, with an average concentration of 25.4 (2.91 – 76.8) ng/L in 2012. In 2013, MDMA was detected in 5 sampling points (mean of 4.67 ng/L, ranged from 2.34 to 7.21 ng/L) and BECG and MET were detected in a total of 8 and 7 sampling points, respectively, each one at a mean concentration of 14.02 (1.83 – 12.7) ng/L for BECG and 11.4 (2.29 – 401) ng/L for MET.

The distribution of these compounds along the river, provided by GIS, shows that the highest concentrations and frequency of psychoactive substances run into places with the highest population density. The RQ obtained from measured concentrations of detected drugs predict that no short-term environmental risk might be expected. Further research in surface waters is needed to establish relationships with human pressure in a river basin.

Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness and the ERDF (European Regional Development Fund) through the project, CGL2015-64454-C2-1-R.