



Tropospheric CH₄ sink via atomic Cl constrained by observations of carbon monoxide ¹³C/¹²C isotope ratios

Sergey Gromov (1,2) and Carl A. M. Brenninkmeijer (1)

(1) Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Atmospheric Chemistry Dept., Mainz, Germany (sergey.gromov@mpic.de), (2) Institute of Global Climate and Ecology (IGCE) Roshydromet and RAS, Moscow

Next to the hydroxyl radical (OH), atomic chlorine (Cl) is often regarded as a potentially significant sink partner for methane (CH₄) in the troposphere (see, *e.g.*, [1] and refs. therein), as suggested by the analysis [2] of ¹³C(CH₄) isotope observations in the remote marine boundary layer (MBL) in the extra-tropical Southern Hemisphere (ETSH) in 1994-2000. Subsequent theoretical work [3] indicates that methods of [2] are problematic and may yield spuriously large estimates of the ¹³C(CH₄) sink effective fractionation (ϵ_{CH_4}). The latter, inferred to be (7-15)‰, was attributed to a (2-4)% loss of CH₄ via Cl. Nonetheless, neither do [2] and [3] provide means of unambiguously rejecting the MBL CH₄+Cl sink hypothesis, nor do they account for variable and dissimilar (global) trends in CH₄ mixing ratio and $\delta^{13}C$ in the 1990s. On the other hand, a recent detailed study [4] suggest that up to 2.5% of the tropospheric CH₄ sink should occur via Cl, a figure surprisingly close to that of [2]. Therefore is the question: Can we constrain the tropospheric CH₄ Cl sink?

In an alternative approach we analyse the observations of carbon monoxide (CO) isotope ratios, which were performed in the ETSH MBL concomitantly. Produced in the CH₄oxidation cycle, CO allows an independent estimate of the changes to the ¹³C(CH₄) sink effective fractionation, *i.e.* by looking at the main reaction product (as opposed to residual) of atmospheric CH₄. Using the results of the comprehensive AC-GCM EMAC model [5], we quantify the CH₄-derived fraction of CO in the ETSH and estimate the upper limit of the CH₄+Cl sink variations. These are very unlikely to have caused ϵ_{CH_4} changes larger than $\pm 2\%$ in 1994-2000, even if the tropospheric yield of CO from CH₄ (the largest uncertainty factor of the CO tropospheric budget to date) were as low as 0.7. Furthermore, closing the ETSH and global ¹³C(CO) budgets suggests that the Cl sink plays a very small role in the removal of CH₄ from the troposphere.

References

1. Houweling, S., *et al.*: Global inverse modeling of CH₄ sources and sinks: an overview of methods, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **17**, 235-256, doi: 10.5194/172352017, 2017.
2. Allan, W., Struthers, H., and Lowe, D. C.: Methane carbon isotope effects caused by atomic chlorine in the marine boundary layer: Global model results compared with Southern Hemisphere measurements, *J. Geophys. Res. Atm.*, **112**, D04306, doi: 10.1029/06jd007369, 2007.
3. Lassey, K. R., Allan, W., and Fletcher, S. E. M.: Seasonal interrelationships in atmospheric methane and companion $\delta^{13}C$ values: effects of sinks and sources, *Tellus B*, **63**, 287-301, doi: 10.1111/16000889.2011.00535.x, 2011.
4. Hossaini, R., *et al.*: A global model of tropospheric chlorine chemistry: Organic versus inorganic sources and impact on methane oxidation, *J. Geophys. Res. Atm.*, **121**, 14,271-214,297, doi: 10.1002/JD025756, 2016.
5. Jöckel, P., *et al.*: Development cycle 2 of the Modular Earth Submodel System (MESSy2), *Geosci. Model Dev.*, **3**, 717-752, doi: 10.5194/37172010, 2010.