

Tracking the ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be source-area signal in sediment-routing systems of arid central Australia

Martin Struck (1), John Jansen (2), Toshiyuki Fujioka (3), Alexandru Codilean (1), David Fink (3), Réka-Hajnalka Fülöp (1,3), Klaus Wilcken (3), David Price (1), Steven Kotevski (3), Keith Fifield (4), and John Chappell (4) (1) School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Wollongong, Wollongong 2522, Australia, (2) Department of Geoscience, Aarhus University, 8000 Aarhus C, Denmark, (3) Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation, Lucas Heights 2234, Australia, (4) Research School of Earth Sciences, Australian National University, Canberra 0200, Australia

Sediment-routing systems continuously transfer information and mass from eroding source areas to depositional sinks. Understanding how these systems alter environmental signals is critical when it comes to inferring sourcearea properties from the sedimentary record. We measure cosmogenic ¹⁰Be and ²⁶Al along three large sedimentrouting systems ($\sim 100,000 \text{ km}^2$) in central Australia with the aim of tracking downstream variations in ${}^{26}\text{Al}/{}^{10}\text{Be}$ inventories and to identify the factors responsible. By comparing 56 new cosmogenic ¹⁰Be and ²⁶Al measurements in stream sediments with matching data (n = 55) from source areas, we show that ${}^{26}Al/{}^{10}Be$ inventories in hillslope bedrock and soils set the benchmark for relative downstream modifications. Lithology is the primary determinant of erosion-rate variations in source areas and despite sediment mixing over hundreds of kilometres downstream a distinct lithological signal is retained. Postorogenic ranges yield catchment erosion rates of $\sim 6-11$ m/m.y. and silcrete-dominant areas erode as slow as ~ 0.2 m/m.y. 26 Al/ 10 Be inventories in stream-sediments reveal overall downstream-increasing minimum cumulative burial terms up to ~ 1.1 m.y. but more generally $\sim 400-800$ k.y. The magnitude of the burial signal correlates with increasing sediment cover downstream and reflects assimilation from storages with long exposure histories, such as alluvial fans, desert pavements, alluvial plains, and aeolian dunes. We propose that the tendency for large alluvial rivers to mask their ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be source-area signal differs according to geomorphic setting. Signal preservation is favoured by i) high sediment supply rates, ii) high mean runoff, and iii) a thick sedimentary basin pile. Conversely, signal masking prevails in landscapes of i) low sediment supply, ii) discontinuous sediment flux, and iii) juxtaposition of sediment storages with notably different exposure histories.