Geophysical Research Abstracts Vol. 20, EGU2018-19218, 2018 EGU General Assembly 2018 © Author(s) 2018. CC Attribution 4.0 license.



Testing the current paradigm for space weather prediction with heliospheric imagers

Luke Barnard (1), Curt A. de Koning (2,3), Chris Scott (1), Mat Owens (1), Jackie Davies (4), and Julia Wilkinson (5)

(1) University of Reading, Department of Meteorology, United Kingdom (l.a.barnard@reading.ac.uk), (2) 2Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, Colorado, USA, (3) Space Weather Prediction Center, NOAA, Boulder, Colorado, USA, (4) RAL Space, Didcot, UK, (5) Zooniverse, c/o Astrophysics Department, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

Predictions of the arrival of four coronal mass ejections (CMEs) in geospace are produced through use of three CME geometric models combined with CME drag modeling, constraining these models with the available Coronagraph and Heliospheric Imager data. The efficacy of these predications is assessed by comparison with the Space Weather Prediction Center (SWPC) numerical MHD forecasts of these same events. It is found that such a prediction technique cannot outperform the standard SWPC forecast at a statistically meaningful level. We test the Harmonic Mean, Self-Similar Expansion, and Ellipse Evolution geometric models, and find that, for these events at least, the differences between the models are smaller than the observational errors. We present a new method of characterizing CME fronts in the Heliospheric Imager field of view, utilizing the analysis of citizen scientists working with the Solar Stormwatch project, and we demonstrate that this provides a more accurate representation of the CME kinematics estimated independently from the STEREO-A and STEREO-B Heliospheric Imager data reveals inconsistencies that cannot be explained within the observational errors and model assumptions. We argue that these observations imply that the assumptions of the CME geometric models are routinely invalidated and question their utility in a space weather forecasting context. These results argue for the continuing development of more advanced techniques to better exploit the Heliospheric Imager observations for space weather forecasting.