



Methane (CH₄) fluxes in trees of temperate and boreal zones. What have we learned?

Katerina Machacova (1), Elisa Halmeenmäki (2,3), Mari Pihlatie (2,3), Iikka Haikarainen (2,3), Martin Maier (4), Friederike Lang (5), Katerina Svobodova (1), Klara Janebova (6), Stanislav Stellner (1), Jiri Dusek (1), Marian Pavelka (1), Renata Ryplova (6), Jürgen Kreuzwieser (7), Heinz Rennenberg (7), and Otmar Urban (1)

(1) Global Change Research Institute CAS, Brno, Czech Republic (machacova.k@czechglobe.cz), (2) Environmental Soil Science, Department of Agricultural Sciences, University of Helsinki, Finland, (3) Institute for Atmospheric and Earth System Research/Forest Sciences, University of Helsinki, Finland, (4) Forest Research Institute Baden Wuerttemberg, Freiburg, Germany, (5) Chair of Soil Ecology, Albert-Ludwigs-University, Freiburg, Germany, (6) Department of Biology, Faculty of Education, University of South Bohemia, Ceske Budejovice, Czech Republic, (7) Chair of Tree Physiology, Albert-Ludwigs-University, Freiburg, Germany

The increase in atmospheric methane (CH₄) concentration affects global radiative forcing consequently leading to changes in the Earth's climate. Plants are known to be able to emit CH₄ under certain conditions; however, the role of trees, especially upland tree species, in the CH₄ exchange of forest ecosystems is still not well understood. We have particularly investigated (1) whether trees exchange CH₄ with the atmosphere, (2) to which extent trees contribute to net ecosystem CH₄ exchange, (3) how soil water content affects the tree fluxes and (4) whether these fluxes show seasonal dynamics.

To answer these questions, we have measured, since 2009, stem CH₄ fluxes in a wide spectrum of tree species under different environmental conditions in the Czech Republic, Germany, and Finland, including seasonality of these fluxes. The fluxes were studied in common broad-leaf and coniferous tree species of boreal and temperate zones: Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris*, including shoot fluxes), Norway spruce (*Picea abies*), European beech (*Fagus sylvatica*), bay and crack willow (*Salix pentandra*, *S. fragilis*), black alder (*Alnus glutinosa*), downy and silver birch (*Betula pubescens*, *B. pendula*), and poplar hybrids (*Populus* sp.). Stem and also forest floor fluxes were measured using static chamber systems followed by gas chromatographic and/or laser analyses of CH₄ concentration changes. Such a broad data set enables now to make some general conclusions about the role of trees in the forest ecosystem CH₄ exchange.

Our research revealed that stems of all tree species studied mostly emitted CH₄ into the atmosphere, even though the studied soils, except wetlands, were predominant sinks for CH₄. The CH₄ emissions decreased with increasing stem height and being highest close to the soil surface. The stem CH₄ emissions showed high spatial heterogeneity likely related to variability in soil water content (larger scale) and variability in subsoil CH₄ production (small scale). Different seasonal courses in CH₄ and CO₂ emissions were observed. While high CH₄ emissions were detected in stems of pine, spruce, birch, and willow trees during the winter and/or spring time; CO₂ respiratory efflux, an indicator of a physiological activity, was substantially reduced. Since shoot CH₄ emissions in pine trees were substantially higher than emissions from stems, shoots might be the primary tree surface emitting CH₄ into the atmosphere. Therefore, the up to now rarely investigated shoot fluxes have to be incorporated in the future experiments.

All tree species studied contributed to the CH₄ exchange between forest ecosystems and the atmosphere, indicating that these fluxes need to be included in the forest CH₄ emission inventories.

Acknowledgement

This research was supported by Czech Science Foundation (17-18112Y), Czech Academy of Sciences and German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD-15-03), National Programme for Sustainability I (LO1415), CzeCOS (LM2015061), DFG (MA 5826/2-1), DAAD (PhD scholarship), EU FP7 project ExpeER (262060) and Academy of Finland projects (288494, 294088, 1118615, 272041). We thank M. Jakubík, J. Mikula, S. Paulus, E. Halaburt, S. Haddad and U. Großmann for their support.