



## Temperature-Dependence of the Rates of Reaction of Trifluoroacetic Acid with Criegee Intermediates

Rabi Chhantyal-Pun (1), Max McGillen (1), Joseph Beames (2), Anwar Khan (1), Carl Percival (3), Dudley Shallcross (1), and Andrew Orr-Ewing (1)

(1) School of Chemistry, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK, (2) School of Chemistry, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK, (3) Jet Propulsion Laboratory, National Aeronautical and Space Administration, Pasadena, USA

Halogenated organic acids such as trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) form in the Earth's troposphere by oxidation of anthropogenically produced hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), hydrochlorofluorocarbons (HCFCs) and hydrofluoroolefins (HFOs), and also have natural sources.<sup>1,2</sup> They react only slowly with hydroxyl radicals and do not photolyse at actinic wavelengths.<sup>3</sup> Current atmospheric models therefore incorporate surface deposition and rain-out as their main loss processes. Results of a recent kinetic study of Criegee intermediates reactions with TFA will be presented.<sup>4</sup> The rate coefficients for gas-phase reactions of two Criegee intermediates, formaldehyde oxide and acetone oxide, with TFA decrease with increasing temperature in the range 240 – 340 K. The rate coefficients  $k(\text{CH}_2\text{OO} + \text{TFA}) = (3.4 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$  and  $k((\text{CH}_3)_2\text{COO} + \text{TFA}) = (6.1 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$  at 294 K exceed estimates for collision-limited values, suggesting rate enhancement by capture mechanisms because of the large permanent dipole moments of the two reactants. The observed temperature dependence is attributed to competitive stabilization of a pre-reactive complex. Inclusion of these reactions in a global atmospheric and chemistry transport model shows that reaction with Criegee intermediate is the dominant sink for TFA in forested regions around the world.

### Bibliography

1. Burkholder et al. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 3704-3759
2. Metz et al. IPCC, Cambridge University, 2005, 154-155
3. Hurley et al. J. Phys. Chem. A, 2004, 108, 615-620
4. Chhantyal-Pun et al. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 9044-9047