Geophysical Research Abstracts Vol. 20, EGU2018-88, 2018 EGU General Assembly 2018 © Author(s) 2017. CC Attribution 4.0 license.

Social volcanology meets geoheritage

Jazmin Scarlett (1) and Felix Riede (2)

(1) Aarhus University, Department of Archaeology and Heritage Studies, United Kingdom (jazminscarlett@live.co.uk), (2) Aarhus University, Department of Archaeology and Heritage Studies, United Kingdom (f.riede@cas.au.dk)

Increasingly, volcanologists are including humanistic and social scientific methods in their research, especially when the aim is to not only understand the physical characteristics of a volcanic system, but to reduce the vulnerability of people living at risk (Barclay et al., 2015; Donovan, 2010). Donovan (2010), for instance, reflects on how to 'do social volcanology' and it has been argued that understanding the culture of populations at risk is crucial for reducing vulnerability (Mercer et al., 2012). This argument has subsequently extended to include past volcanism and how it articulates with historical and archaeological understandings of culture, i.e. geo-cultural heritage (Riede, 2015). Notably, in cultural heritage research, it is well established that people draw a great deal of individual and collective identity from their past (e.g. Sommer, 2000) - and, importantly, this identity construction also motivates action. Based on field and archival work, we here develop the geo-cultural notion of 'co-volcanic' societies where people co-exist - more or less sustainably - with nearby volcanoes. We extend this perspective into the deep past by conducting three comparative case studies: La Soufrière /Soufrière Hills (Lesser Antilles), Vesuvius (Italy), and the Laacher See (Germany). Each of these volcanoes represents a major threat, but the ways in which they articulate with their surrounding communities are very different. In bringing together social volcanology and geoheritage, we bring ideas from cultural heritage studies – especially dark heritage and dark tourism (McAtackney, 2014; Strange and Kempa, 2003) - into play in order to explore how the combination of cultural and geological histories can provide an improved platform for outreach, sustainable and safe tourism, and not least for reducing vulnerability. References

Barclay, J., Haynes, K., Houghton, B.F. and Johnston, D., 2015. Social processes and volcanic risk reduction. In: H. Sigurdsson, B. F. Houghton, H. Rymer, J. Stix and S. R. McNutt (Eds.), Encyclopedia of Volcanoes, pp. 1203-1214. Academic Press, San Diego, CA.

Donovan, K., 2010. Doing social volcanology: exploring volcanic culture in Indonesia. Area 42 (1), 117-126.

McAtackney, L., 2014. An archaeology of the troubles: the dark heritage of Long Kesh/Maze Prison. Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Mercer, J., Gaillard, J.-C., Crowley, K., Shannon, R., Alexander, B., Day, S. and Becker, J., 2012. Culture and disaster risk reduction: Lessons and opportunities. Environmental Hazards 11 (2), 74-95.

Riede, F., 2015. Volcanic eruptions and human vulnerability in traditional societies past and present – towards a palaeosocial volcanology. In: F. Riede (Ed.) Past Vulnerability. Volcanic eruptions and human vulnerability in traditional societies past and present, pp. 9-22. Aarhus University Press, Aarhus.

Sommer, U., 2000. Archaeology and Regional Identity in Saxony. Public Archaeology 1 (2), 125-142.

Strange, C. and Kempa, M., 2003. Shades of dark tourism: Alcatraz and Robben Island. Annals of Tourism Research 30 (2), 386-405.