Geophysical Research Abstracts Vol. 21, EGU2019-11151, 2019 EGU General Assembly 2019 © Author(s) 2019. CC Attribution 4.0 license.



## Impact of $C_1$ - $C_3$ alkyl nitrate chemistry on tropospheric ozone: box and global model perspectives

Maria Zamyatina (1), Claire E. Reeves (1), Alex T. Archibald (2), Paul T. Griffiths (2), and Marcus O. Köhler (1) (1) Centre for Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, UK, (2) National Centre for Atmospheric Science, Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, UK

Alkyl nitrates (RONO<sub>2</sub>) are important reservoirs of tropospheric reactive nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>). They are produced from the oxidation of hydrocarbons in the presence of  $NO_x$  and emitted from the ocean and biomass burning. Due to their relatively long lifetime they can be destroyed far away from their sources and release  $NO_2$  back to the local atmosphere. That might change ozone concentrations on regional levels and alter the oxidising capacity of the atmosphere.

To investigate the impact of the most abundant,  $C_1$ - $C_3$  RONO<sub>2</sub> on tropospheric chemistry, we analysed the differences in  $HO_x$ ,  $NO_x$  and  $NO_y$  burden and distribution in long-term perpetual year global chemistry climate model (UKCA) runs with and without RONO<sub>2</sub>. First, we updated the reaction rate coefficients of the inorganic and  $C_1$ - $C_3$  alkane (RH) chemistry of UKCA's standard tropospheric chemistry mechanism. This was done to keep the model inline with the latest chemical kinetics recommendations. Then we extended the mechanism to include  $C_2$ - $C_3$  RONO<sub>2</sub> photochemical production and loss. We tested the new mechanism in a steady state box model in a range of  $NO_x$ -RH conditions using the Master Chemical Mechanism as a benchmark. Finally, we implemented the new mechanism into UKCA and validated it with a variety of aircraft observations. It appears that UKCA (a) overestimates  $C_1$  RONO<sub>2</sub> during NH summer outside equatorial region due to a low  $C_1$  RONO<sub>2</sub> loss and (b) underestimates  $C_1$  RONO<sub>2</sub> within the equatorial region because of a lack of an oceanic source. To explore the sensitivity of the model to RONO<sub>2</sub> dry deposition and oceanic emissions and to calculate the contribution of these processes to the global RONO<sub>2</sub> budget, we ran the model with and without RONO<sub>2</sub> dry deposition and  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  RONO<sub>2</sub> oceanic emissions. The latter were implemented using data from Fisher et al. (2018).

Fisher, J. A., Atlas, E. L., Barletta, B., Meinardi, S., Blake, D. R., Thompson, C. R., Ryerson, T. B., Peischl, J., Tzompa-Sosa, Z. A., and Murray, L. T. (2018). Methyl, ethyl, and propyl nitrates: global distribution and impacts on reactive nitrogen in remote marine environments. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, (x):1–23.