



Simultaneous determination of source processes and reduction of N₂O using isotopocules – prerequisites and limitations

Reinhard Well, Caroline Buchen, Roland Fuß, Dominika Lewicka-Szczebak, and Mehmet Senbayram
Thünen Institute, Climate-Smart Agriculture, Braunschweig, Germany (reinhard.well@thuenen.de)

Soil N₂O fluxes originate from a multiple of mostly microbial processes where the currently known include production by nitrification (including hydroxylamine oxidation and nitrifier denitrification), fungal and bacterial denitrification, co-denitrification, DNRA as well as N₂O reduction to N₂ by bacterial denitrification. Better knowledge on their significance and control is needed to better predict gaseous N fluxes from soil. In recent years, stable isotope signatures of N₂O such as $\delta^{18}\text{O}$, average $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ ($\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{bulk}}$) and ^{15}N site preference (SP = difference in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ between the central and peripheral N positions of the asymmetric N₂O molecule) have been used to characterize N₂O turnover processes including N₂O production and reduction by microbial denitrification. While it is generally accepted that different microbial processes of N₂O production are associated with specific isotope effects leading to characteristic “endmember” values of N₂O produced, there is also consensus that a clear distinction and identification of processes contributing to N₂O fluxes is hampered by several factors including the impact of N₂O reduction and its (variable) isotope effect, variability of endmember values as well as isotopic values of N₂O precursors and their spatial variability. This leads to substantial uncertainty in identification and quantification of different N₂O processes, unless some of these factors can be estimated or excluded, which we will illustrate by Monte-Carlo modelling. Therefore, in order to obtain useful information from N₂O isotopocules, it is necessary to constrain as many unknowns as possible. We will show examples how this can be done. Moreover, we will illustrate why $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{bulk}}$ is currently a poor indicator for source processes due to the difficulty to determine $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the precursors of N₂O. Finally, we show a comparison of N₂O reduction in the field determined by the isotopocule approach and by the ^{15}N gas flux method as independent reference method. We conclude that the isotopocule approach is principally a powerful tool to identify N₂O processes that are difficult or impossible to determine otherwise, but to obtain meaningful results its prerequisites and limitations must be taken into account.