Geophysical Research Abstracts Vol. 21, EGU2019-15023, 2019 EGU General Assembly 2019 © Author(s) 2019. CC Attribution 4.0 license.



Understanding crop model response types in a global gridded crop model ensemble

Christoph Müller (1), Joshua Elliott (2), Alexander Ruane (3), Jonas Jägermeyr (2), and the GGCMI team (4-14) (1) Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research, Potsdam, Germany (cmueller@pik-potsdam.de), (2) Department of Computer Science, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA, (3) NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies, New York, NY, USA, (4) Juraj Balkovic, Christian Folberth, Nikolay Khabarov: Ecosystem Services and Management Program, International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis, Laxenburg, Austria, (5) Philippe Ciais, Xuhui Wang: Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, CEA-CNRS-UVSQ, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France, (6) Marie Dury, Louis Francois, Ingrid Jacquemin: Unité de Modélisation du Climat et des Cycles Biogéochimiques, UR SPHERES, Institut d'Astrophysique et de Géophysique, University of Liège, Belgium, (7) Pete Falloon, Karina Williams: Met Officce Hadley Centre, Exeter, United Kingdom, (8) Tobias Hank, Florian Zabel: Department of Geography, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Munich, Germany, (9) Munir Hoffmann: Leibniz Centre for Agricultural Landscape Research, Müncheberg, Germany, (10) Cesar Izaurralde, Curtis Jones, Ashwan Reddy: Department of Geographical Sciences, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA, (11) Marian Koch: Georg-August-University Göttingen, Tropical Plant Production and Agricultural Systems Modelling, Göttingen, Germany, (12) Wenfeng Liu: EAWAG, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology, D"ubendorf, Switzerland, (13) Stefan Olin: Department of Physical Geography and Ecosystem Science, Lund University, Lund, Sweden, (14) Thomas A. M. Pugh: School of Geography, Earth & Environmental Science, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TT, United Kingdom

Global Gridded Crop Models (GGCMs) are increasingly applied for assessing climate change impacts, adaptation, environmental impacts of agricultural production and in combination with integrated assessment or economic modeling for projecting future land-use change. Even though global gridded crop models are often based on detailed field-scale models or have implemented similar modeling principles in other ecosystem models, global-scale models are subject to substantial uncertainties from both model structure and parametrization as well as from calibration and input data quality.

AgMIP's Global Gridded Crop Model Intercomparison (GGCMI) has thus set out to intercompare GGCMs in order to evaluate model performance, describe model uncertainties, identify inconsistencies within the ensemble and (ideally) underlying reasons, and to ultimately improve models and modeling capacities. In phase 2 of the GGCMI activities, 12 modeling groups followed a modeling protocol that asked for up to 1404 31-year global simulations at 0.5 arc-degree spatial resolution to assess models' sensitivities to changes in carbon dioxide (C; 4 different levels) temperature (T; 7 different offset levels), water supply (W; 9 levels), nitrogen (N; 3 levels) and adaptation (A; 1 non-adapted and 1 adapted setting) in the so-called CTWN-A experiment.

We here describe the data archive and present analyses of model response types using impact response surfaces along the C, T, W, N, and A dimension, respectively and collectively. We explore spatial patterns of dominant drivers, that is, regions in which changes in e.g. temperature have greater effects on crop yields than changes in water supply or vice versa. The vast amount of simulation data allows for a plentitude of analyses and we invite collaborators to jointly explore their research questions with the help of GGCMI data.