Geophysical Research Abstracts Vol. 21, EGU2019-16780, 2019 EGU General Assembly 2019 © Author(s) 2019. CC Attribution 4.0 license.



Volcanic flux variations along the Hawaiian hotspot track: plume pulsations vs. plume-lithosphere interaction

Thomas Duvernay (1), Maxim Ballmer (2), John O'Connor (3,4,5), and Neil Ribe (6)

(1) Research School of Earth Sciences, The Australian National University, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory, Australia (thomas.duvernay@anu.edu.au), (2) Institute of Geophysics, ETH Zürich, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland (maxim.ballmer@erdw.ethz.ch), (3) Alfred Wegener Institute, Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Am Alten Hafen 26, 27568 Bremerhaven, Germany (John.OConnor@awi.de), (4) GeoZentrum Nordbayern, University Erlangen-Nürnberg, Schlossgarten 5, 91054 Erlangen, Germany, (5) Faculty of Earth and Life Sciences, VU University Amsterdam, De Boelelaan 1085 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherland, (6) Université Paris-Sud, CNRS, Lab FAST, Bâtiment 502, Campus Universitaire, 91405 Orsay, France (ribe@fast.u-psud.fr)

Advanced filtering techniques applied to altimetry data have revealed that the volume of volcanic edifices, and therefore the volcanic flux, at Hawaii has been fluctuating a lot in the past 30 Myr. Such successive increases and decreases remain unexplained by classical plume theory, which predicts a maximum volcanic activity as the plume head impinges on the lithosphere, and a subsequently decreasing plume-tail-related volcanism. In particular, an increase of Hawaiian volcanic flux by a factor of ~ 3 from ~ 30 to ~ 15 Ma is associated with an increase of the cross-sectional area of the Hawaiian hotspot swell, as well as an increase in Pacific plate speed from ~60 to \sim 100 km/Myr. In order to understand the underlying mechanisms for these coupled increases, we explore threedimensional numerical models of plume ascent through the upper mantle and interaction with the lithosphere. Our models predict that volcanic flux at the hotspot and swell volume decrease as plate speed increases. This predicted decrease is well explained by less efficient erosion of the base of the lithosphere by small-scale convection as the plate moves faster over the hotspot. However, the predicted decrease is in contradiction to the observed boost of volcanism and swell volume. An increase in plume temperature (by ~ 100 K) or in plume buoyancy flux (by a factor ~ 2) starting at ~ 30 Ma is required to offset the effects of increasing plate speed, and to sustain the observed increase of hotspot activity. Further analysis of the temporal evolution of swell cross-sectional area and volcanic flux enables us to separate the influence of plume temperature and plume radius, suggesting a dominant role of plume temperature variations in supporting a plume pulse of increased buoyancy flux. Hence, our findings contribute to a better understanding of the underlying mechanisms of plume pulses, and their relationship to changes in plate motion.