



Decadal redistribution of a ^{15}N tracer in a mixed deciduous forest

Christine Goodale (1), Susan Cheng (1), and Enid Martinez (2)

(1) Department of Ecology & Evolutionary Biology, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853, USA, (2) Section of Soil & Crop Sciences, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853, USA

The impact of atmospheric nitrogen deposition on forest carbon storage depends on whether this N is retained in plant or soils, or lost from the system entirely, and the timescales over which N redistributes. Past tracer studies show that litter and soil dominate the short-term fate of added ^{15}N , yet few have examined longer-term dynamics or differences among forest types. This study is examining the decadal fate of a $^{15}\text{N}\text{-NO}_3\text{-}$ tracer in a mixed deciduous stand evenly composed of ectomycorrhizal (ECM) and arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) tree species. Measurements for years 0, 1, and 5-6 are complete, and all ecosystem pools were resampled in year 10 (2017). Similar to prior tracer studies, litter and soil dominated the first-year fate of N with 59% of the added ^{15}N ; this ^{15}N was expected to mineralize over time, with some moving to trees, to deeper soil, and net losses. Concurrently, the ^{15}N initially retained by trees (11%) was expected to decrease through annual turnover of plant litter, and AM species were expected to lose more than ECM. However, litter showed surprisingly persistent ^{15}N enrichment over a decade, declining by only $\sim 4\%$ in both ECM and AM trees. Foliage and bark showed clear separation and parallel declines in ^{15}N enrichment for ECM and AM tree species. In addition to illustrating the decadal fate of deposited N, these measurements are also being used to test simulations of C-N cycling by the Community Land Model (CLM5.0). In contrast to measurements, the model predicts that trees acquire a large ($> 50\%$) portion of added N within the first year of addition, and that soil pools retain N primarily after uptake and cycling of N through trees. The model thus misses the large, rapid soil N sinks observed in field studies, and this misrepresentation should lead to early overestimates and later underestimates of terrestrial C storage.