Geophysical Research Abstracts Vol. 21, EGU2019-189, 2019 EGU General Assembly 2019 © Author(s) 2018. CC Attribution 4.0 license.



Downscaling satellite-derived soil moisture products based on soil thermal inertia: a comparison of three models over a semi-arid catchment in south-eastern Australia

Indishe Senanayake (1), In-Young Yeo (1), Garry Willgoose (1), Gregory Hancock (2), Natthachet Tangdamrongsub (3,4), and Jeffrey Walker (5)

(1) The University of Newcastle, School of Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and Built Environment, Callaghan, Australia (Indishe.Senanayake@uon.edu.au, In-Young.Yeo@newcastle.edu.au, Garry.Willgoose@newcastle.edu.au), (2) School of Environmental and Life Sciences, Faculty of Science, The University of Newcastle, Callaghan, NSW 2308, Australia (Greg.Hancock@newcastle.edu.au), (3) Earth System Science Interdisciplinary Center, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA (ntangdam@umd.edu), (4) Hydrological Sciences Laboratory, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland, USA (Natthachet.Tangdamrongsub@nasa.gov), (5) Department of Civil Engineering, Monash University, Clayton, Victoria 3800, Australia (Jeff.Walker@monash.edu)

High spatial resolution soil moisture information is important for regional–scale hydrologic, climatic and agricultural applications. However, available point-scale in-situ measurements and coarse-scale (\sim 10s of km) satellite soil moisture retrievals are unable to capture hillslope to sub-catchment level spatial variability of soil moisture as required by many of these applications. Downscaling L-band satellite soil moisture retrievals appears to be a viable technique in estimating near surface (\sim top 5 cm) soil moisture at a high spatial resolution. Among different downscaling approaches, thermal data based methods exhibits a good potential over arid and semi-arid regions, i.e. in many parts of Australia.

The results from the three downscaling methods were compared against the 1 km soil moisture retrievals from the National Airborne Field Experiment 2005 (NAFE'05) over 3 days in November 2005. The results from both in-situ data and GLDAS-based regression tree models show RMSEs of 0.07 cm³/cm³ when compared against the high resolution NAFE'05 airborne soil moisture observations. The GLDAS-based model can be applied over a larger extent, whereas the in-situ data based model is catchment specific. These results were compared with the results from the machine-learnt model. A combination of these methods with additional forcing factors such as topography, meteorology, etc. can be utilized to develop an improved downscaling model. Such a model has a good potential in developing a time record of high resolution soil moisture products over south-eastern Australia from 2010 onwards by using the Soil Moisture and Ocean Salinity (SMOS) and Soil Moisture Active Passive (SMAP) satellite soil moisture products.