



Strategies for radiocarbon analysis of ultra-small macrofossils from lake sediments

Caroline Welte (1,2), Lukas Wacker (1), Mischa Haas (3), Laura Hendriks (1), Negar Haghipour (2), Hans-Arno Synal (1), and Timothy I. Eglinton (2)

(1) Laboratory of Ion Beam Physics, ETHZ, Otto-Stern-Weg 5, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland, (2) Geological Institute, ETHZ, Sonneggstrasse 5, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland, (3) Department of Surface Waters Research and Management, Eawag, Dübendorf, Switzerland

Macrofossils of terrestrial plants, such as seeds, twigs, wood or leaf fragments are ideal candidates for establishing radiocarbon (^{14}C)-based chronologies of lake sediments (1, 2). They are quickly transported from land to sediment and unlike the bulk organic carbon reflect atmospheric ^{14}C concentrations. For accurate and precise ^{14}C analysis samples are typically converted into graphite and measured by accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS). In many cases, the terrestrial plant debris preserved in the lake sediments contain far less than 1 mg carbon (C) and are consequently unsuited for robust ^{14}C dating by conventional means. At the MICADAS AMS system at ETH Zurich, Switzerland, the ^{14}C analyses of combustible samples ranging from 100 μg C down to 10 μg C, are performed on a routine basis using an elemental analyzer (EA) for sample introduction. Such small samples are extremely sensitive to extraneous C, which is inevitably introduced during sample preparation (acid-base-acid cleaning). Furthermore, the vessels carrying the sample material during EA-combustion are an additional source for extraneous carbon. These different contamination sources will bias the results; hence, a minimum number of pretreatment steps and a suitable data correction strategy are necessary in order to obtain accurate ^{14}C dates (3). Processing standards that closely match the matrix of the sample material are required to precisely determine the contamination parameters, namely the mass and its ^{14}C contribution. Optimized ^{14}C gas measurement procedures and the subsequent data correction will be presented for EA-AMS analyses of macrofossils.

[1] Hajdas, I. et al. (1995), QSR 14, 137. [2] Haas, M. et al. (2019) EPSL 505, 110. [3] Welte, C. et al. (2018) NIM B 437, 66.