Geophysical Research Abstracts Vol. 21, EGU2019-3098, 2019 EGU General Assembly 2019 © Author(s) 2019. CC Attribution 4.0 license.



Determining the geopotential by clock transportation time comparison

Chenghui Cai (1,4), Wen-Bin Shen (1,2), Ziyu Shen (3), Xiao Sun (1), Kuangchao Wu (1), and Wei Xu (1)

(1) Department of Geophysics, School of Geodesy and Geomatics/Key Laboratory of Geospace Environment and Geodesy of Ministry of Education, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430079, China, (2) State Key Laboratory of Information Engineering in Surveying, Mapping and Remote Sensing, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430079, China , (3) Hubei University of Science and Technology, Xianning 437100, China, (4) Guangxi Key Laboratory of Spatial Information and Geomatics, Guilin 541006, China

Abstract According to Einstein's general relativity theory (GRT), a high-precision clock (such as Hydrogen-maser clock or Cesium-fountain clock) runs quicker at a position with higher gravity potential (geopotential) than a clock at a position with lower potential. Hence, one may determine the geopotential differences and orthometric heights by comparing the time elapse using precise clocks, referred to as relativistic time comparison approach. However, until now, there are not sufficient experiments in comparing two clocks' running rates difference. Our clock transportation experiments were performed between two Time-Frequency labs, Luojia Mountain station and Jiugong Mountain station, separated by a geographic distance of about 130 km with 1242 meters in orthometric height. Here we present direct comparison results using a fixed hydrogen clock and a transportable hydrogen clock with their frequency stability around 5×10^{-15} . The experiments results indicated that the relativistic time comparison with uncertainty of about 1×10^{-14} @ 3 days and the precision of the geopotential difference between two stations are about 760 m^2/s^2 , which is equivalent to 76 m. Results coincide with the general relativity theory prediction about the clock's running rate within the clocks' accuracy range. This study is supported by NSFCs (grant Nos. 41631072, 41721003, 41429401, 41574007, 41874023, 41804012) and Guangxi Key Laboratory of Spatial Information and Geomatics (grant No. 17-259-16-04).