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In hydrological modeling snowmelt is computed along two different approaches: the physically based one simulates the snowpack evolution in terms of accumulation and ablation by means of solution of the energy balance equation; the second, simpler approach, uses instead the meteorological variables as indices of physical processes. The simplified models are limited to forecasting only the snow water equivalent (SWE, the mass of liquid water in the snowpack) and not other variables.

Requiring less information on the model input and parameters, simplified models are still widely applied in the operative context. The output of the comparison would be a reference to detect the confidence level of the application of simplified models and to define their limitations in computing SWE.

In this work we compare the physically based approach implemented in GEOtop [2], with the three index-based snow water equivalent models integrated as components in the hydrological modeling system GEOframe-NewAge [3] [4] [5]. The first model is a function only of air temperature (traditional temperature-index method [7]). The second is a function of both shortwave radiation and air temperature (Cazorzi and Dalla Fontana’s model [1]). The third is estimated by using the Hock [6] model.

All the models can be driven at different time steps. For the comparison we used daily time steps and appropriate estimations of radiation and inputs as generated by the chain of tools available in GEOframe. Main differences between the outputs of the four listed models are outlined by comparing simulated SWE patterns in form of raster maps, setting the reliable output of the physically based approach as a reference.
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