

EGU2020-12362

<https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu2020-12362>

EGU General Assembly 2020

© Author(s) 2020. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



## Assessment of hydrological and biogeochemical effects on N<sub>2</sub>O emission factors in river networks of eastern China based on long-term study

Minpeng Hu<sup>1</sup>, Randy Dahlgren<sup>2</sup>, and Dingjiang Chen<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Zhejiang University, College of Environment and Resources, Hangzhou, China (hmpjerry1991@zju.edu.cn)

<sup>2</sup>Department of Land, Air, and Water Resources, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA

The N<sub>2</sub>O emission factors (EF) in river networks remains a major source of uncertainty due to limited data availability. This study integrated three years of multiple stable isotope (<sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>/<sup>18</sup>O-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and <sup>2</sup>H-H<sub>2</sub>O/<sup>18</sup>O-H<sub>2</sub>O) and hydrochemistry measurements for river water and groundwater to evaluate the effects of hydrological and biogeochemical processes on riverine N<sub>2</sub>O emission factors in the Yongan watershed (2474 km<sup>2</sup>) of subtropical eastern China. The EF in groundwater (0.00195 ± 0.00146) was about one magnitude higher than that in surface water (0.00038 ± 0.00020). The N<sub>2</sub>O EF displayed seasonal and spatial variability in surface water and groundwater. The emission factors in surface water showed negative relationship with N levels and positive relationship with dissolved organic carbon: DIN (C:N) ratio. In contrast, N<sub>2</sub>O EF in groundwater showed positive relationship with N level and negative relationship with DO concentration, implying quite different processes undergoing in surface water and groundwater. The <sup>2</sup>H-H<sub>2</sub>O/<sup>18</sup>O-H<sub>2</sub>O information suggested high base flow contribution (~70%) to rivers, implying the potential N<sub>2</sub>O contribution from groundwater to riverine N<sub>2</sub>O. Information from <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and <sup>18</sup>O-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> indicated that N<sub>2</sub>O in groundwater were regulated by nitrification and denitrification, while N<sub>2</sub>O in river networks was mainly derived from nitrification and may be also regulated by hydrological processes. The strong positive relationship between riverine N<sub>2</sub>O concentrations and that in groundwater may indicate the potential high contribution of groundwater N<sub>2</sub>O to surface water. This study highlights the importance of combining multiple isotope tracers and hydrochemistry to assess the riverine N<sub>2</sub>O dynamics, as well as the necessity to consider the potential impact from groundwater N<sub>2</sub>O contribution during the determination of riverine N<sub>2</sub>O emission factors in rivers with high groundwater recharge.