

# Simulation study on future gravity missions with constellations and formations of small satellites

May 8, 2020

Nikolas Pfaffenzeller, Roland Pail (Technical University of Munich), Tom Yunck (GeoOptics Inc.)

EGU 2020

# Motivation

- □ High costs for construction and launch of gravity field satellite missions
- □ Aims of NGGMs:
  - o increase of spatio-temporal resolution
  - reduction of temporal aliasing
- Future concept with small satellites as proposed by Tom Yunck, 2019



- Yunck, T (2019), The Earth Gravitational Observatory: Smallsat constellation for multilink low-low SST, Washington, DC.
- Yunck, T, A Saltman, S Bettadpur, S Nerem, J Abel, M Widner, C Deccia, G Franklin (2019), The Earth Gravitational Observatory: Multisat clusters in chain formations, NASA Mass Change Workshop, Washington, DC
- → What is the impact of different satellite formations and constellations on gravity field solutions?

# Gravity field processing

- Reduced scale numerical closed loop simulations
- Simulated Keplerian Orbits
- Simulated instrument errors
  - K-Band Microwave System (single link measurement noise)

## Accelerometers



Pairwise links as input for the gravity field determination

# Gravity field processing

- Reduced scale numerical closed loop simulations
- Simulated Keplerian Orbits
- Simulated instrument errors
  - K-Band Microwave System (single link measurement noise)

## Accelerometers



Pairwise links as input for the gravity field determination

Investigation period: 1 month

Variation of the

- Number of satellites
- Number of inter-satellite links (single, multi ISL)
- Orbit parameters
- □ Spacing of satellites (different at multi ISL)
- □ Input models

Single inter-satellite links = links between adjacent satellites (1-2, 2-3, ...) Multi inter-satellite links = links from each respective satellite to all other satellites

Static case: only instrument behaviour considered Temporal case: additional HIS signal + errors of AO are considered Ocean tides: additional model difference used as errors (FES2004 – EOT08a)







# Configurations

case	Altitude [km]	Inclination [°]	Inital mean anomaly
	439	89	
1 2	439 410	89 70	
4	439	89	
4 2	439 410	89 70	
2 3	370 407 445	89 80 70	



- X... number of satellite pairs per orbit
- Y... number of orbits

- Repeat cycle: 17 days
  Inter-satellite distance:
  ~ 200 km
- Single inter-satellite link

# Configurations

case	Altitude [km]	Inclination [°]	Inital mean anomaly	
1 2	439 410	89 70		
	439	89		
1 3	370 407 445	89 80 70		
2 3	370 407 445	89 80 70		



- X... number of satellites forming a chain per orbit
- Y... number of orbits
- Z... number of chains per orbit
- Repeat cycle: 17 days
- Inter-satellite distance of neighboring satellites: ~ 200 km

multi inter-satellite links

## Simulation Results



#### static cases: instrument noise

 $\Box$  Increased number of satellites and links  $\rightarrow$  better performance



#### temporal cases: HIS + AO error + instrument noise

 Positive impact of a large number of links and satellites not valid anymore, but spatial distribution is more important



#### temporal case: co-parametrized two-daily gravity solutions

 Positive impact of a large number of links and satellites not valid anymore, but spatial distribution and number of orbit planes is more important

#### temporal cases: HIS + AO error + instrument noise, Wiese approach



For shorter sub-periods (e.g. 0,5 days) number of observations is more relevant than for longer sub-periods (e.g. 2 days) to achieve sufficient ground coverage (spatial resolution)

## Simulation Results



□ Similar behaviour with ocean tides as temporal cases

## Spherical harmonic coefficients - formal errors



## Spherical harmonic coefficients - static case





Formal errors and coefficients fit together Lower impact of instrument errors in the constellation with satellite chains

## Spherical harmonic coefficients – temporal case





## Geoid map with time-variable gravity field signal



# Conclusions

- Long satellite chains are not recommended, because they increase only redundancy (and thus reduce effect of instrument errors), but do not improve the dominating error source of temporal aliasing
- Spatial distribution of the satellite pairs more important than the amount of links (chains of satellites) for solutions with non-tidal variations
- Number of observations is primarily relevant to achieve sufficient spatial resolution in the case of short-term co-parameterization of non-tidal temporal gravity signals (Wiese approach)
- Very good performance of constellations with several pairs on the same orbit  $\rightarrow$  further detailed studies necessary
- Constellations with high temporal resolution (either multiple satellite pairs or chains of satellites) achieve better results in ocean tides cases
- □ Further investigations:
  - $\odot\,$  co-parameterization of ocean tides  $\rightarrow$  high potential due to multiple satellites and increased temporal resolution
  - o updated link strategy for multi-satellite networks

## Literature

- Yunck, T (2019), The Earth Gravitational Observatory: Smallsat constellation for multi-link low-low SST, Washington, DC,
- Yunck, T, A Saltman, S Bettadpur, S Nerem, J Abel, M Widner, C Deccia, G Franklin (2019), The Earth Gravitational Observatory: Multisat clusters in chain formations, NASA Mass Change Workshop, Washington, DC



# Comparison Bender Constellation – multiple Pairs Constellation





## Spherical harmonic coefficients - ocean tides





ocean tides errors in near zonal in all configurations apparent Influence of ocean tides

ocean tides errors can be less decreasd by constellations compared to

- non-tidal
- <sup>®</sup> components

