Possible impacts of a hydropower reservoir on the flood hazard of an Alpine valley

Katharina Lebiedzinski¹ (katharina.lebiedzinski@boku.ac.at), Josef Fürst¹, Karsten Schulz¹ and Mathew Herrnegger¹ Institute for Hydrology and Water Management (HyWa), University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences (BOKU), Vienna, Austria

1. Motivation

Alpine catchments are characterised by steep slopes and high precipitation sums, making them both prone to frequent flood events and attractive for hydropower production. The flood retention properties of the Alpine headwater catchment areas can thus be affected by the operation of storage power plants, which could have an impact on residential areas downstream. Recently, it has been reported that climate change driven processes will increase flood intensity and frequecy in Austria (APCC, 2014) resulting in an increase in flood hazard (Blöschl et al., 2019). With this background in mind, it is of particular interest to unterstand **how** hydropower reservoirs alter flood dynamics.

2. Study Area

The study was performed on a cascade of reservoirs situated in the catchment of the Kapruner Ache, which is a headwater of the river Salzach in the central Austrian Alps (see Table 1 and Figure 7). The hydropower reservoirs are operated by Verbund AG.

Table 1: Gauges and catchment properties including mean discharge (MQ) for the period 2000 to 2015.

Number	Gauge	River	HZB-Nr.	MQ	Area
Number				m³/s	km²
1	Wald	Salzach	203026	7,8	176,1
1_2	Sulzau	Obersulzbach	203034	5,3	80,7
2	Mittersill	Salzach	203075	25,2	551,9
2_3	Kaprun	Kapruner Ache	203109	10,7	169,0
3	Bruck	Salzach	203125	54,9	1230,5
4	Wallnerau	Salzach	203968	90,4	2188,3
5	Golling	Salzach	203323	145,7	3601,1
6	Salzburg	Salzach	204297	183,2	4447,1
7	Oberndorf	Salzach	203539	248,9	6165,4
8	Schärding	Inn	206201	732,8	25520,0
9	Achleiten	Donau	207019	1374,2	76653,3

Since commissioning the cascade of storage power plants in 1955, six flood events (HQ) with a return period exceeding 10 years were observed at the gauging station Kaprun downstream of the hydropower reservoirs (see Table 2 and Figure 1).



Figure 1: Observed mean daily discharge at the gauge Kaprun downstream the reservoirs (1956-2015).

Table 2: Observed flood events downstream the reservoirs at the gauge Kaprun (1956-2015). Discharge (Q) and determined return periods (Gumbel) are based on mean daily discharge.

Date		Q	Return period
		m³/s	years
	18.08.1966	401	109
	12.07.2005	356	39
	28.06.1965	329	24
	10.08.1970	320	17
	31.07.2014	318	13
	13.08.1959	292	11

















3. Data & Methods

The cascade of hydro power plants is altering the natural discharge at Kaprun. To estimate the unknown natural discharge we transferred the (specific) discharge from an unaffected neighbouring catchment to Kapruner Ache. We analysed observed discharge and storage data and assessed the flood retention by relating observed and transferred flood discharge.

Generation and transfer of unaffected flood response

- HQnatural = transferred flood response from a neighcatchment (Obersulzbach) sharing similar properties to Kapruner Ache
- Transfer via specific discharge considering similarities • Data: Corine land cover 2018 (European Env. Agency., 2019), digHAO (BMLFUW, 2007), HZB

Figure 3: Corine land cover Kapruner Ache (right catchment). where the cascade of hydropower reservoirs located. and the nearby unaffected ment of Obersulzbach (left) in the central Austrian



Figure 8: Flood peak reduction for several gauges (see Table 1) downstream of the cascade of the hydropower group Glockner-Kaprun induced by changes in the retention properties in the headwater catchment. The grey shading shows the range of reductions based on analysed annual maximum floods in the period 2000-2015. The blue line shows the mean reduction.

Acklowledgement

This contribution is part of the interdisciplinary research project "Policy Coordination in Flood Risk management" (PoCo-FLOOD), which is funded by the Earth System Sciences program of the Austrian Academy of Sciences. The Authors also thank Verbund AG for providing storage data of the Glockner-Kaprun storage power plant.



Comparison of possible HQ_{natural} and HQ_{retained}

downstream • Analyse propagation downstream via relation of retained and

• HQ_{retained} = observed flood response at several gauges

- possible natural flood events • Data: HZB (2000-2015)
- Obersulzbach Pastures and natural grassland

Transitional woodland-shrub Glaciers and perpetual snow Moors, geathland and inland marshes Water bodies Discontinuous urban fabric Sport and leisure facilities Bare rocks and sparsely vegetated areas



Figure 4: The comparison of Corine land cover shares highlights the high Figure 5: The comparison of soils within the analysed similarity of the analysed catchments.

Verification of flood wave volume

6	7	8	9
Salzburg	Oberndorf	Schärding	Achleiten
Salzach	Salzach	Inn	Donau
1	0	0	0
7	6	3	2
13	11	6	5

Figure 9: a) Observed flood wave propagation at the analysed gauges during the highest flood in the period 2000-2015 in Bruck. b) Comparison of 5 dav flood waves during the event in 2014 in the headwaters of the river Salzach. The observed retained discharge down-

stream the reservoirs at Kaprun is significantly lower than the generated natural flood wave and has no peak.



5. Conclusions

- Glockner-Kaprun.
- reservoirs.
- reduction in flood hazard downstream (Bruck | Salzach 19 % to Achleiten | Donau 2 %).

References

APCC (2014) Österreichischer Sachstandsbericht Klimawandel 2014 (AAR14). APCC, Verlag der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften. 1097 BMLFUW (2007) Hydrologischer Atlas Österreich (digHAO). 3. Lieferung. Bundesministerium für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Umwelt- und Wasserwirtschaft, Wien.



Verification of flood wave volume

• Determine volumes of possible natural mean annual and maximum occurred flood event at Kaprun

• Comparison of volumes of 5 day flood events and the mean annual available storage

• Data: HZB, storage data Verbund AG (2007-2015)

 Mean annual operating storage Mean annual available storage - Mean annual 5 day flood volume - Max. occured 5 day flood volume

Figure 10: The mean annual total storage volume was derived by merging storage data of the single reservoirs. The highest discharges were observed during May and September, which is also the period when the reservoirs are filling up. Both the generated natural mean annual flood as well as the highest observed flood in 2014 can be retained in the reservoirs.

Figure 11: Reservoir filling during the highest observed flood event on July 31 2014.

Table 4: 5 day flood volumes during the highest observed flood event in July 2014 and generated natural mean annual flood event transferred from Obersulzbach to Kapruner Ache.

Highest observed flood (HQ 2014)			Natural mean	Natural mean annual flood		
Period	HQnatural	HQretained	Period	HQnatural		
day	10 ⁶ m ³	10 ⁶ m ³	day	10 ⁶ m ³		
29.0730.07.	3,9	1,2	1 - 2	3,0		
30.0731.07.	10,4	1,0	2 - 3	4,2		
31.0701.08.	10,1	0,7	3 - 4	4,3		
01.0802.08.	4,3	0,5	4 - 5	3,0		
Sum	28,8	3,4	Sum	14,5		

• A high retention potential could be confirmed for the analysed casade of storage power plants

• Both a generated natural mean annual flood and the highest observed flood could be retained by the

• The retention is most significant in the valley, where the reservoirs are located (Kaprun | Kapruner Ache 31-94 %). The mean retention effect downstream is less pronounced, but also leading to a

> Blöschl et al. (2019) Changing climate both increaes and decreases European river floods. Nature 573, 108-111. European Environmental Agency (2019) Corine Land Cover 2018 (Version 20), Kopenhagen, Denmark.