Influence of the initial damage on fracture toughness and subcritical crack growth in a granite rock.

Salvatore D'Urso¹, Lucas Xan Pimienta¹, François Passelègue¹, Federica Sandrone¹, Sergio Vinciguerra² and Marie Violay¹

¹ Laboratory of Experimental Rock Mechanics, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne

² Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, Università di Torino



May 2020



Crack Chevron Notched Brazilian Disc (CCNBD)





aboratory for Experimental lock Mechanics Picture from R. J. Fowell, C. Xu, and P. A. Dowd, "An update on the fracture toughness testing methods related to the cracked Chevron-notched Brazilian disk (CCNBD) specimen".

2



Sample Preparation



Cutting phase

LEMR



Thermal treatment:

- 100° C;
- 200° C;
- 300° C;
- 400° C.



Sensors positioning:

- Strain gauges;
- CMOD sensor;
- AE recorders.



Mode I Fracture Toughness

Three types of test have been performed in order to investigate the mechanical behaviour of the granite:

- Test under displacement control until failure, with the purpose of calculating the mode I fracture toughness;
- Test under force control by applying a constant ratio K_I/K_{IC} until failure, with the aim of studying the creep behaviour and the subcritical crack growth on the 200°C thermally treated samples;
- Stress-stepping creep tests by applying an increasing ratio K_I/K_{IC} until failure with the aim of studying the subcritical crack growth on all different thermally treated samples;



4

Influence of the initial damage on fracture toughness and subcritical crack growth in a granite rock.



Mode I Fracture Toughness

$$K_{IC} = \frac{P_{max}}{B\sqrt{R}}Y_{min}^*$$

where,

 P_{max} is the maximum load at the failure;

R is the radius of the disc;

B is the thickness of the disc;

 Y_{min}^* is the critical dimensionless stress intensity factor.







Mode I Fracture Toughness (increasing load)





| Sampla | Thermal | P _{max} | K _{IC} | $K_{IC}/K_{IC,25}$ |
|--------|-----------|-------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Sample | treatment | [k N] | $[MPa\sqrt{m}]$ | [%] |
| G4 | 400° C | 4.93 | 0.65 | 65.6 |
| G9 | 300° C | 6.13 | 0.77 | 77.7 |
| G6 | 200° C | 6.09 | 0.79 | 79.8 |
| G7 | 100° C | 7.01 | 0.88 | 88.9 |
| G8 | none | 7.93 | 0.99 | 100 |

Rock Mechanics



Mode I Fracture Toughness (creep) of **200°** *C* thermally treated samples



| Sample | $K_{I}[MPa\sqrt{m}]$ | <i>K_I/K_{IC}</i> [%] | Time 1 st crack |
|--------|----------------------|---|----------------------------|
| | | | propagation [s] |
| G18 | 0.73 | 92 | 120 |
| G10 | 0,69 | 87 | 230 |
| G16 | 0,58 | 73 | 622 |
| G12 | 0,54 | 68 | 700 |



_aboratory for Experimenta Rock Mechanics



Subcritical Crack Growth Parameters A and n

From the Charles power-law

 $v = A \left(\frac{K_I}{K_{IC}}\right)^n$

where,

u is the subcritical crack growth velocity;

 K_I is the mode I stress intensity factor;

 K_{IC} is the mode I fracture toughness;

A is the crack propagation velocity when $K_I = K_{IC}$;

n is an index of the internal damage.





Increasing internal damage

8



Subcritical Crack Growth Parameters \boldsymbol{A} and \boldsymbol{n}

$$v = A \left(\frac{K_I}{K_{IC}}\right)^n \quad \blacksquare \quad \log(v) = \log(A) + n\log\left(\frac{K_I}{K_{IC}}\right)$$

Subcritical crack growth velocities calculated through the derivative of the crack mouth opening displacement (CMOD)



| Sample | Thermal treatment | K _I /K _{IC} [%] | Subcritical crack growth velocity [<i>mm/s</i>] |
|--------|----------------------|--|---|
| G18 | 200° C | 92 | $5 \cdot 10^{-4}$ |
| G10 | 200° C | 87 | $8 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| G16 | 200° C | 73.5 | $3 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| G12 | 200° C | 68 | $5 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |



Laboratory for Experimental Rock Mechanics



Stress-stepping creep tests







Influence of the initial damage on subcritical crack growth parameters



| | 100° <i>C</i> | 200° <i>C</i> | 300° <i>C</i> | 400° <i>C</i> |
|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| <i>n</i> [–] | 18 | 13.2 | 9 | 7.5 |
| $A \left[mm/s \right]$ | $1.5 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $1 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $2 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $2 \cdot 10^{-3}$ |



Laboratory for Experimenta Rock Mechanics

References

- R. J. Charles, "Dynamic fatigue of glass," J. Appl. Phys., vol. 29, no. 12, pp. 1657–1662, 1958.
- R. J. Fowell, et al. "Suggested method for determining mode I fracture toughness using cracked chevron notched Brazilian disc (CCNBD) specimens." *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences and Geomechanics Abstracts*, vol. 7, no. 32, 1995.
- R. J. Fowell, C. Xu, and P. A. Dowd, "An update on the fracture toughness testing methods related to the cracked Chevron-notched Brazilian disk (CCNBD) specimen," *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, vol. 163, no. 5–6, pp. 1047–1057, 2006.
- J. E. Olson, "Joint pattern development: Effects of subcritical crack growth and mechanical crack interaction," *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth*, vol. 98, no. B7, pp. 12251–12265, 1993.
- B. K. Atkinson, "Subcritical Crack Growth in Geological Materials," J. Geophys. Res., vol. 89, no. 10, pp. 4077–4114, 1984.
- P. G. Meredith and B. K. Atkinson, "Fracture toughness and subcritical crack growth during high-temperature tensile deformation of Westerly granite and Black gabbro," *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 33–51, 1985.

