Statistic characteristics of thermal convection based on acoustic sounding data

Natalia Vazaeva, Otto Chkhetiani, Michael Kurgansky,
Margarita Kallistratova, Vasily Lyulyukin, Darya Zaytseva

A.M. Obukhov Institute of Atmospheric Physics, RAS,
EGU 2020

Outline

- Motivation
- Apparatus
- Method
- Cpp code
- Results
- Summary and conclusions
- Prospects

Thermal convective structures. Motivation

- Fluid comes away from the heated boundary in lumps, which are called *thermals*
- Thermals will normally behave like each other except in so far as they are born different (ideal case – isolated from each other, e.g. in "Bubble theory")
- But they mix with the surroundings as they advance, and they grow bigger thereby. After a time therefore, most of the fluid of which a thermal is composed was originally motionless in the surroundings and most of the momentum and vorticity it possesses will have been generated by the buoyancy forces since its birth
- The condition for buoyant convection to occur atmospheric thermals: adiabatic lapse rate (decrease of temperature with height), thermal sources over land, thermals over the sea, thermals over the desert: dust devils, thermals in a valley: heating a stable lay and other

(Scorer R.S., 1958, 1978; Andreev V. & Stanchev S., 1975)

Simple thermal generation and rising scheme (Woodward, 1960)



When cloud is formed we can normally see thermals in the atmosphere

Papers. Motivation

- Theory of convective fluxes: Zeldovich, 1937; Batchelor, 1954; Morton, Taylor, Turner, 1956; Sounders, 1957; Squires, Turner, 1962…
- Turbulent convective fluxes: Vulfson, 1961
- Isolated thermals - observations, data treatment, models, laboratory experiments: Ludlam, Scorer, 1953, 1957 (Bubble theory); Woodward, 1959; Malkus, Witt, 1959; Lilly, 1962, Ogura, 1962…

“ – ”:

- Data scattering of empirical constants
- Complexity of aircraft investigation → use acoustic sounding data
- In studying thermal convection structures a large number of theoretical physico-mathematical models have been created. Numerical solution of the thermohydrodynamics equations are difficult for calculating, analysis and understanding the results. An exact analytic solution are found only in some case studies
- Consequently, the statistic methods retain its relevance and significance and continue to develop (Petenko I. & Bezverkhnii V., 1999). The Maxwell distribution for ensemble of the thermal convection structures was received (Vulfson A. & Borodin O., 2016)

Apparatus

- A longwave LATAN-3M sodar with a vertical resolution of 20 m, a pulse emission interval of 5 s, an altitude range of 400–600 m, and a basic carrier frequency of 2 kHz was used to receive the profiles of the wind velocity components and the vertical length of the ascending convection stream, 2007
- A longwave LATAN-3M sodar with a vertical resolution of 10 m, a pulse emission interval of 3 s, an altitude range of 350 m, and a basic carrier frequency of 3 kHz was used to receive the profiles of the wind velocity components and the vertical length of the ascending convection stream, 2016

Apparatus

- From sodar echograms and vertical velocity patterns it is easy to visually identify thermal convective coherent structures (Brown and Hall, 1979; Neff and Coulter, 1986). A few earlier papers (Hall et al., 1975; Moulsley et al., 1985; Taconet and Weill, 1982; Greenhut and Mastrantonio, 1989) have presented some of the results of sodar studies of the convective plumes and have identified some typical features of the behaviour of thermal turbulence and vertical velocity in the CBL.

- Plume-shaped areas of high temperature fluctuations revealed from sodar echograms usually correlate well with upward flow (Petenko I. & Bezverkhnii V., 1999)

Sodar results. Echograms. 23.07.2016



Sodar results. Echograms. 24.07.2016



Sodar results. Vertical velocity. 23.07.2016



Sodar results. Vertical velocity. 24.07.2016





Sodar results. Vertical velocity. 25.07.2007



Profiler MTP-5 results. Temperature. 23-24.07.2016



Profiler MTP-5 results. Temperature. 25.07.2007



Method

- Consider the data obtained during periods shortly after midday when convection is fully developed and steady
- The new method of acoustic sounding data treatment for getting thermal convection structures in the atmospheric boundary layer has been received and put to an evaluation test. Results obtained in the experiments of the A.M. Obukhov Institute of atmospheric physics RAS over arid-steppe zones in southern Russia.
- The structures have been studied under different wind and temperature conditions over July of years 2007, 2016. A rectangular filter has used for averaging the original data of the horizontal and vertical wind-velocity components. The averaging interval has been empirically chosen and, in this case, amounted to 10 min. At such values, the spatiotemporal velocity-field structure was adequately reproduced

Assumptions

- From Hall et al. (1975), it should be noted that detection of convective plumes from the vertical velocity only with a value of $w > w_{th}$ (where w_{th} is a threshold value of about 0.2–0.6 m/s, as suggested by Taconet and Weill (1982)) is only valid for most intense plumes

- The evaluation of the horizontal scale for plumes under different wind conditions is of interest because there are ideas which predict varying size and type of convective elements under various horizontal wind speed
- Unfortunately, from single-point observations, we can get only a rough approximation using Taylor's hypothesis to convert the temporal scale τ to the spatial one by $l = \bar{u}\tau$, where $\bar{u}$ is the mean wind speed (Petenko I. & Bezverkhnii V., 1999)

Method. Cpp code

- Accounting results are represented by the example of 100m – level for 2007 and layer averaged mean value of vertical velocity for 2016 with the averaging parameter 1min

- The program detected episodes of the above-limit values of a vertical velocity at which convection structures arouse hypothetically. As the threshold a few alternatives were used in this work: 0.3 m/s, 0.6 m/s and 1.2 m/s. The duration of over-limit vertical velocity, maximum velocity in this interval and space scale along the X-, and Y-axis have been calculated
- It is assumed that convective structures move progressively during any relatively small time step with some averaged velocity. In such a value the spatial distribution of velocity field and its time variations have been reproduced favourably

Method. Part of Cpp code

```
int main()
{
// … {initialization}
 for (i=1; i<=gran; i++)
 {
 m=0;
 SX=0.0;
 SY=0.0;
 jSum=0;
 for (j=(11*3600/3); j<=(17*3600/3); j++)
 {
 SX2=0.0;
 SY2=0.0;
 for (k=0; k<=Nusr1; k++)
 {
 SX2=SX2+PromX[i][k+j];
 SY2=SY2+PromY[i][k+j];
 }
 AvePromX[i][j]=SX2/Nusr;
 AvePromY[i][j]=SY2/Nusr;
 if (PromZ[i][j]>1.2)
 {
 if (PromZ[i][j]>PromZ[i][j-1])
 {
 SZMax=PromZ[i][j];
 }
 jSum=jSum+1;
 SX=SX+AvePromX[i][j];
 SY=SY+AvePromY[i][j];
```

Day time

Averaging procedure

Threshold

Maximum velocity

```
 fprintf(ResultVelocityFile, "%f\t", PromZ[i][j]);
 }
 if ((PromZ[i][j]<=1.2) && (PromZ[i][j-1]>1.2) &&
(j!=(11*3600/5)))
 {
 m=m+1;
 Hresult=i*H;
 deltaX=(SX/jSum)*(jSum/Usr);
 deltaY=(SY/jSum)*(jSum/Usr);
 deltaL=sqrt (pow (deltaX, 2) + pow (deltaY, 2));
 fprintf(ResultFile, "%i\t %f\t %f\t %f\t %f\t %f\t %f\t", m,
Hresult, (jSum/Usr), SZMax, deltaX, deltaY, deltaL);
 fprintf(ResultFile, "\n");
 fprintf(ResultVelocityFile, "\n");
 SX=0.0;
 SY=0.0;
 jSum=0;
 }
 }
 }
//…{closing procedures}
}
```

Space scale along the X-, and Y-axis

Duration of over-limit vertical velocity

Results

Distribution histogram of Duration of over-limit vertical velocity, 25.07.2007



Distribution histogram of space scale, 25.07.2007



Distribution histogram of maximum velocity, 25.07.2007



23.07.2016



23.07.2016



Results

- The received statistic characteristics have been similar to Rayleigh distribution (M.V. Kurgansky):

$$\rho(U) = \frac{2U}{{U_0}^2} exp\left(\frac{{U_m}^2 - U^2}{{U_0}^2}\right),$$

here ${U_0}^2 = \left[\langle U^2 \rangle - {U_m}^2\right]$, $\langle U^2 \rangle$ – root-mean-square vertical velocity of the thermal convection structures, U_m – limit for vertical velocity.

(Such a distribution are applied for the statistics of the intensive humid-moistly convective vortices and for the height of the ocean waves also)

Results



Distribution histogram of the maximum velocity and Rayleigh distribution, Kalmykia, 23d July, 2016.



Distribution histogram of the maximum velocity and Rayleigh distribution, Kalmykia, 24th July, 2016.

Summary and Conclusions

- The statistic methods of thermals investigations retain its relevance and significance and continue to develop

- The received statistic characteristics have been similar to Rayleigh distribution

- This fact facilitate the forecast of thermal convection structures

- Future plans: using Kernel Density Estimation, also termed the Parzen–Rosenblatt window method, to solve data smoothing problem where inferences about the population are made, based on a finite data sample

Thank you for your attention!