Imaging the Earth's magnetic environment in soft X-rays with SMILE

Graziella Branduardi-Raymont[1], Steve Sembay[2], Tianran Sun[3], Hyunju Connor[4], Andrey Samsonov[1]

1 Mullard Space Science Laboratory, University College London, Holmbury St Mary, Dorking, UK
2 University of Leicester, Leicester, UK
3 National Space Science Center, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China
4 University of Alaska, Fairbanks, USA



CC BY

EGU2020 "Sharing Geoscience Online" – Session ST2.5

SMILE

Solar wind Magnetosphere Ionosphere Link Explorer



SMILE mission and instruments



- SMILE is a joint scientific mission, from inception to launch and operations, by the **European Space Agency** and the **Chinese Academy of Sciences**, it is under development and due for launch at the end of 2023
- SMILE will investigate the dynamic response of geospace to the solar wind impact, exploring the **full chain of events that drive Space Weather**
- SMILE combines X-ray imaging of the dayside magnetosheath and the cusps (with the Soft X-ray Imager, **SXI**), simultaneous UV imaging of the Northern aurora (UltraViolet Imager, **UVI**) and in situ monitoring of the solar wind and magnetosheath conditions (Light Ion Analyser, **LIA**, and **MAG**netometer) from a very elliptical orbit

SMILE scientific motivations

Study the full chain of events that drive Sun-Earth relations



The progression of the Dungey cycle

Eastwood et al. 2015

- Structure and dynamics of the magnetosphere mainly controlled by magnetic reconnection: Basic theory of magnetospheric circulation well known, microscale explored by many in situ measurements
- Reality of how complex interaction takes place on a global scale, and how it evolves, still not understood
- SMILE can answer questions which help distinguishing modes of interaction

SMILE scientific motivations

What are the fundamental modes of the dayside solar wind / magnetosphere interaction?

- When/where is **reconnection** steady/transient/bursty, patchy or global?
- Dependent on solar wind parameters or intrinsic instabilities?
- Component or anti-parallel
- Role of the magnetospheric cusps in solar wind/magnetosphere coupling



Escoubet et al. 2008

Ion energy decreases towards pole for IMF S, and vice versa

Cusps expands poleward after IMF turns N

SMILE scientific motivations

What defines the substorm cycle?

- Auroral oval responds to changes in magnetospheric or solar wind conditions:
  IMF orientation, dynamic pressure triggers?
- Other modes of magnetospheric behaviour:
  e.g. saw-tooth events, auroral beads

How do CME-driven storms arise?
How do they relate to substorms?

- Fast solar wind and long intervals of S IMF:
  Is solar wind driving the only storm trigger?



Milan 2009

- Relation storm – substorm? How do storms end? Space weather relevance

SMILE Soft X-ray Imager (SXI)







Lobster-eye Micropore Optic

Ultra-wide field of view
~16° x 26°

Focal length 30 cm

Optic Mass < 1kg

Instrument ~36 kg

CCD Detector Plane

Photon counting: Photon lists with ~4 s time resolution

High QE in soft X-rays
~90% at 500 eV

Medium energy resolution
~50 eV FWHM at 500 eV



PI S. Sembay, Univ. of Leicester, UK

SXI performance versus requirements

The SMILE Consortium comprises a number of Working Groups whose aim is to help ensuring that the mission science objectives are achieved and optimised

Among these the **Modelling WG** dedicates its efforts to verifying that the SXI performance satisfies the scientific requirements of the mission

Two main requirements on SXI can be expressed as:

- For solar wind flux > 4.9×10^8 cm^{-2} s^{-1} the location of the subsolar magnetopause shall be determined with a better accuracy than 0.5 R_E and better than 5 min time resolution from locations on orbit greater than 15 R_E geocentric
- The poleward and/or equatorward edges of the mid-altitude cusp in X-ray images shall be identified with 0.25 R_E accuracy at a time resolution of at least 5 min for solar wind flux > 4.9×10^8 cm^{-2} s^{-1}.

Solar Wind Charge eXchange (SWCX)



$$P_X = \alpha n_{sw} n_n \langle g \rangle \text{ eV cm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$$

X-ray emission proportional to density of solar wind ions and neutrals, hence brightest in the dayside magnetosheath and the cusps

17th March 2015 storm event

Before storm: 04 UT, N=15 cm^{-3}, V= 410 km/s

Solar wind input X-ray emissivity line-of-sight integrated



Predicted SWCX X-ray emissivity from MHD simulation

During storm: 04:25 UT, N=50 cm^{-3}, V= 510 km/s

Solar wind input X-ray emissivity l-o-s integrated



T. Sun, NSSC, CAS, China

From X-ray emissivity to observed counts

Detectable X-ray emission calculated by integrating along the line of sight through the modelled X-ray emissivity cube



➔ SXI_SIM produces expected count maps



➔ **SXI performance study versus SMILE science requirements**

Extracting magnetopause location from SXI images: techniques under study (1)

Gradient method



Take locations along image slices on the Earth-Sun line through MHD simulated X-ray emissivity (left) and SXI count images (right), where

X-ray emission gradient is greatest

X-ray emission is greatest

Maximum method



True magnetopause location likely to be in between the two estimates

Credit: A. Samsonov

Extracting magnetopause location from SXI images: techniques under study (2)

Tangential direction approach

- The direction with maximum X-ray intensity is the tangential direction
- Use two-point observations to pinpoint the tangent point
- Position of the magnetopause is at the tangent point





Credit: : H. Connor & M. Collier

Extracting magnetopause location from SXI images: techniques under study (3a)

Boundary fitting in 3D

Reconstruction of 3D boundaries from 2D images:
Assume an X-ray emission model, and a boundary model extension in 3D
→ Model an ensemble of X-ray images
→ Fit to the observed image, best match gives position of magnetopause in 3D



Emissions model (empirical)

$$F(\vec{r}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{inside MP} \\ (A_1 + B\sin^8\theta)\left(\frac{r}{r_{\text{ref}}}\right)^{-(\alpha+\beta\sin^2\theta)} & \text{between MP and BS} \\ A_2\left(\frac{r}{r_{\text{ref}}}\right)^{-3} & \text{outside BS} \end{cases}$$

Boundary model (a natural extension of Shue et al. [1997])

$$r(\theta,\phi) = \frac{r_y(\theta)\, r_z(\theta)}{\sqrt{[r_z(\theta)\cos\phi]^2 + [r_y(\theta)\sin\phi]^2}}$$

$$r_y(\theta) = r_0\left(\frac{2}{1+\cos\theta}\right)^{\alpha_y} \qquad r_z(\theta) = r_0\left(\frac{2}{1+\cos\theta}\right)^{\alpha_z}$$

Credit: A. Jorgensen & T. Sun

Extracting magnetopause location from SXI images: techniques under study (3b)

Forward modelling

Application of Jorgensen & T. Sun technique to MHD simulations of SXI images

Model compared to dataset via chi-square test

Parameters of empirical model adjusted to minimise chi-square

Requirement of 0.5 R_E accuracy on magnetopause location for 5 min exposure **comfortably satisfied**

Credit: S Sembay



with noise

without noise

Extracting magnetopause location from SXI images: techniques under study (4)

Tangent fitting approach

Combines techniques (2) and (3):

- Assume a model for the magnetopause
- For each left free parameter calculate the tangent direction along all longitudes

→ Find the best match

- Technique saves time, and avoids introducing models for X-ray emissivity and bow shock position

Credit: T. Sun et al.



X-ray intensity inside FOV (16° x 27°)
Black curve: Position of the maximum X-ray intensity
Red curve: Best fit tangential direction

Extracting magnetopause location from SXI images: techniques under study (5)

Tomographic reconstruction



Images from typical orbit



Reconstruct a 3D object from multiple 2D projections

Most significant limitation on reconstruction is probably the small range of viewing angles

Credit: A. Jorgensen & T. Sun

Locating the magnetospheric cusps (1)

The point with apparent increase of **X-ray gradient** in the image corresponds well to the **tangential direction of the cusp boundary**







Red dots: From X-ray image, with sudden increase of the X-ray gradient

Black dots: Tangent direction, from MHD cusp boundary

Good agreement with each other. Average deviation is ~0.18 deg.



Credit: T. Sun

Locating the magnetospheric cusps (2)



Taking a slice through the MHD simulation

Credit: S. Sembay



Images on the right:
- View of the North (top) and South (bottom) cusps
- MHD simulation (left), SXI simulation (right)
- **Cusp edges can be determined with 0.25 R_E accuracy over 5 min as required**

Conclusions

SMILE aims to investigate the dynamic impact of the solar wind on the Earth's magnetosphere in a global and novel way

SMILE will provide direct scientific input to the studies of space weather by making the remote sensing measurements needed to validate global models of solar wind-magnetosphere interactions

In preparation of SMILE launch and operations:

- MHD simulations employed to produce expected SXI images of magnetosheath and cusps for different solar wind conditions
- Simulated SXI images used to establish the instrument performance by:

Applying a variety of techniques aiming to determine the location of the magnetopause

Extracting magnetospheric cusps location and extent

Results found to be consistent with SMILE scientific requirements

UCL

esa

CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

Thank you!



SMILE

Solar Wind Magnetosphere Ionosphere Link Explorer

http://sci.esa.int/smile/
http://english.cssar.cas.cn/smile/
http://www.mssl.ucl.ac.uk/SMILE/