

How will geothermal energy transform the environmental performance of the heating mix of the State of Geneva from a life-cycle perspective?

Astu Sam Pratiwi¹, Marc Jaxa-Rozen¹, Evelina Trutnevyte¹ ¹Renewable Energy Systems group, Faculty of Science, Department F.-A. Forel for Environmental and Aquatic Sciences, Institute for Environmental Sciences, University of Geneva, Switzerland

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED



Background

- In Geneva, like Switzerland, fossil fuels dominate the heating sector [1] (Figure 1).
- A combination of geothermal heating applications in Geneva could potentially cover 75% of the heating demand by 2030 [2].
- GEothermie 2020 program [3] aims to better comprehend Geneva's subsurface characteristics
- The environmental impacts of geothermal energy inclusion in the heating and cooling mix need to be evaluated to ensure their sustainable deployment.
- Life Cycle Assessment (LCA), as a widely used component of sustainability assessments, is a suitable methodology to analyze the environmental performance of geothermal energy

Figure 1. Heat delivery to buildings by source. Adapted from Narula et al., 2019 and Quiquerez et al., 2020

and to develop new geothermal projects.

in the heating and cooling sector.

Research questions

- What is the **environmental performance** of geothermal heating and cooling **systems** in the State of Geneva, also considering **uncertainties** ?
- 2. How will this performance change in the case of multi-source district heating systems, when geothermal heat is used in combination with other heating sources?
 - How do these environmental impacts 3. compare with other heating and cooling sources?



- groundwater, despite their popular deployment in Europe (Figure 2).
- The impacts of GSHP depend on the electricity mix and COP [4-6], thus have a large spread and are not always better than individual oil boilers (Figure 3).
- Groundwater systems are reported to perform relatively better than oil





Figure 3. Summary of the reported impacts in the literature, and comparison to the impacts of individual oil boilers (except for GWP, only CMLbased calculations are plotted)

HP INDIVIDUAL OR DECENTRALIZED (A) La Plaine, Jargonnant		DUAL OR LIZED (A) argonnant	HP + DISTRICT HEATING (B) Concorde	NO HP + DISTRICT HEATING (C) Versoix, Rive Gauche	
	Scenario IA-1 (*EMS La Plaine)	Scenario IA-2 (*Jargonnant)	Scenario IB		SMALL DEPTH (I) < 100 m Versoix, Concorde
Scenario IIA		rio IIA	Scenario IIB	Scenario IIC	MEDIUM DEPTH (II) < 1500 m Satigny, Bassersdorf, Lavey-le-Bains
			Scenario IIIB	Scenario IIIC	LARGE DEPTH (III) >1500 Rittershoffen (FR), Riehen, Thônex

- represent the probable configurations of subsurface and surface systems in Geneva (Table 1).
- Existing installations (written in green in Table 1) are the identified references to collect life cycle inventory, to develop LCA models, and to validate the models.

• LCA studies were carried out for EMS La Plaine (Configuration 1) and Jargonnant (Scenario IA-2) for a lifetime of 30 years. Table 2 presents the differences between the two.

Flowrate	5.5 l/s	30 l/s
Cooling	Passive	Active
Solar Thermal	Yes	No

Figure 4. Preliminary results on the contribution of different life-cycle stages of Scenario IA-1 and Scenario IA-2 towards five selected environmental impacts



Scenario IA-1 heating Scenario IA-1 cooling Scenario IA-2 heating Scenario IA-2 cooling Oil boilers heating

boilers (Figure 3).

- LCA on groundwater geothermal systems is needed to strengthen the literature, as well as to support GEothermie 2020 program.
- Operation stage is the major contributor to almost all environmental impacts (Figure 4).
- Compared to oil boilers, the two systems have lower climate change impact, emit less particulate matter, and depend less on fossil fuel (Figure 5).

Figure 5. Preliminary results on environmental impacts by Scenario IA-1 and Scenario IA-2 as compared to oil boilers

The high impacts on water and abiotic resources are mainly due to the use of reservoir hydro-electricity and metal-based materials (Figure 5).

Acknowledgement

The work was carried out in the framework of GEothermie 2020 program, a collaboration between Services industriels de Genève (SIG) and the State of Geneva. The authors gratefully acknowledge SIG for their support.

References

programme/2

[1] Quiquerez, L., Lachal, B., Monnard, M., & Faessler, J. (2017). The role of district heating in achieving sustainable cities: Comparative analysis of different heat scenarios for Geneva. *Energy Procedia*, 116, 78–90. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2017.05.057</u> [2] Groupe de Travail PGG. (2011). Évaluation du potentiel géothermique du canton de Genève (PGG). Vol. 1: Rapport Final, GADZ 5753/1. Retrieved from http://www.crege.ch/download/rapports/PGG vol1 Rapport final v3.pdf [3] Geothermie 2020. Un potentiel géothermie important. (2019, August 22). Retrieved from https://www.geothermie2020.ch/geothermie2020/enjeux-et-objectifs-du-

[4] Bayer, P., Saner, D., Bolay, S., Rybach, L., & Blum, P. (2012). Greenhouse gas emission savings of ground source heat pump systems in Europe: A review. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 16(2), 1256–1267. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2011.09.027

[5] Saner, D., Juraske, R., Kuebert, M., Blum, P., Hellweg, S., Bayer, P. (2010). Is it only CO2 that matters? A life cycle perspective on shallow geothermal systems. *Renewable and* Sustainable Energy Reviews, 14(7), 1798–1813. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2010.04.002

[6] Greening, B., & Azapagic, A. (2012). Domestic heat pumps: Life cycle environmental impacts and potential implications for the UK. *Energy*, 39(1), 205–217. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2012.01.028

[7] Narula, K., Chambers, J., Streicher, K. N., & Patel, M. K. (2019). Strategies for decarbonising the Swiss heating system. *Energy*, 169, 1119–1131. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2018.12.082

etc.