EGU General Assembly Sharing Geoscience Online 4<sup>th</sup> May 2020



Science and Technology Facilities Council



Natural Environment Research Council

## Electron trapping in magnetic mirror structures at the edge of magnetopause flux ropes

Sadie Robertson<sup>1</sup>, Jonathan Eastwood<sup>1</sup>, Julia Stawarz<sup>1</sup>, Heli Hietala<sup>1</sup>, Tai Phan<sup>2</sup>, Benoit Lavraud<sup>3</sup>, James Burch<sup>4</sup>, Barbara Giles<sup>5</sup>, Daniel Gershman<sup>5</sup>, Roy Torbert<sup>6</sup>, Per Arne Lindqvist<sup>7</sup>, Robert Ergun<sup>8</sup>, Christopher Russell<sup>9</sup>, Robert Strangeway<sup>9</sup>

1. Space and Atmospheric Physics Group, Blackett Laboratory, Imperial College London

- 2. Space Sciences Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley
- 3. Institut de Recherche en Astrophysique et Planétologie, CNRS, UPS, CNES, Université de Toulouse
- 4. Southwest Research Institute, San Antonio, Texas
- 5. NASA, Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt
- 6. University of New Hampshire, Durham, New Hampshire
- 7. KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden
- 8. LASP/Department of Astrophysical and Planetary Sciences, University of Colorado, Boulder
- 9. Institute of Geophysics, Earth, Planetary, and Space Sciences, University of California, Los Angeles

## **Study outline**

- We investigate magnetopause flux ropes using data from the Magnetospheric Multiscale (MMS) mission
- We present two case studies of ion-scale flux ropes, on the edge of which we observe electron trapping in magnetic mirror structures
  - In particular, we focus on the particle pitch angle distributions, and how they can indicate particle trapping
- We discuss the possible formation mechanisms of the magnetic mirror structures, as well as how the structures could evolve and produce particle acceleration

### **Presentation Structure**

- 1. Introduction
- 2. <u>Electron trapping case study 1</u> 2<sup>nd</sup> January 2017
  - <u>Overview</u>
  - <u>3D structure</u>
  - Formation and evolution
  - Second trapped population
- 3. <u>Electron trapping case study 2</u> <u>9<sup>th</sup> December 2015</u>
  - Force analysis
- 4. Conclusions



## 1. Introduction

## Flux ropes and particle acceleration

- Flux ropes are helical magnetic field structures formed during magnetic reconnection
  - Observed on the magnetopause and in the magnetotail (e.g. <u>Russell & Elphic, 1978; Fear et al., 2008</u>)
- They are a proposed site for electron acceleration
  - Fermi acceleration as island contracts (Drake et al., 2006)
  - Energetic electron fluxes observed to peak at sites of compressed density inside magnetic islands (<u>Chen et al., 2007</u>)
  - Particle acceleration and reflection in flux pile up region surrounding flux rope (<u>Zhu et al.</u>, <u>2019</u>)





## 2. Electron trapping case study 1 2<sup>nd</sup> January 2017



## 2<sup>nd</sup> January 2017 magnetopause crossing

- MMS was moving outbound from the magnetosphere into the magnetosheath
- Data presented in LMN coordinates based on MVA on full magnetopause crossing at 03:07UT
- During a partial crossing of the magnetopause, a flux rope was observed at 03:18UT, just prior to the spacecraft exit from a reconnection exhaust into the magnetosheath



Ē

CC

## Ion-scale flux rope with unexpected electron trapping

- Electron pitch angles go from 90° to 60° and 120° and back (i-n)
  - Particularly for 50-200eV electrons (j & k)
- Corresponding dip in the magnetic field strength of ~10nT (a)
- Suggests electrons trapped by magnetic mirror  $\sin^2 \alpha = \sin^2 \alpha_0$

 $B_0$ 

Figure 2: 6s plot of MMS1 data showing flux rope

observation.

Jump to plot for case study 2

Seconds <sup>06</sup> 2017 Jan 02 0318:

 $\alpha(B)$  for  $\alpha_0$ = 90° (corresponding to mirror points; where particles are reflected) and  $B_0$  = 20nT, 30nT, 40nT are added to the particle patch angle distribution plots (i-n)

R



10

12

## **3D structure**

- B<sub>M</sub> guide field of ~20nT observed throughout flux rope observation
  - Flux rope and trapped population have extended 3D structure

- Dip in B<sub>M</sub> component of magnetic field through trapped population provides majority of |<u>B</u>| decrease which leads to electron trapping
  - 'Steepening' of field lines through trapped population produces trapping – see diagram
  - $\begin{array}{ll} & {\sf B}_{\sf M} \simeq {\sf B}_{\sf L} \text{ through trapped} \\ & {\sf population, allowing us to set} \\ & {\sf minimum m-extent of ~1.7d}_{\sf i} \\ & {\sf for the trapped population} \end{array}$



Figure 3: 3D diagram of flux rope observation

 $(\mathbf{i})$ 

cc

#### **Imperial College** Formation and evolution London

- Consistent with magnetic hole observations (e.g. Yao et al. 2018)
  - Single isolated structure, rather than a train of holes
- Magnetic mirror instability
  - Growth of instability explains donut-shaped pitch angle distributions - see Southwood and Kivelson 1993
  - Mirror instability requires high plasma beta and a temperature anisotropy – we observe an increase in plasma beta (e) and temperature isotropies of ~1.5 (d) on the edges of the trapped populations
- Small increase (~0.2nPa) in total pressure through trapped population (f)
  - Increase in ion and electron pressures (~0.3nPa and ~0.1nPa, respectively) approximately balances decrease in magnetic pressure (~0.2nPa)



(†)

CC

# Second trapped population

- Shorter duration trapped population
  - Focused at 90 degrees, with no donutshaped structure (i-n)
  - Corresponding  $T_{e\perp}$  increase of  $\sim$ 20eV (g)
- Consistent with kinetic scale magnetic hole observations (e.g. <u>Huang et al.</u> <u>2016</u>)
- If one structure evolved into the other, how much betatron heating would we observe?
  - 10eV of heating calculated from change in |**B**|
  - 15eV of heating observed

Figure 5: 6s plot of MMS1 data showing flux rope observation Jump to plot for case study 2







## 3. Electron trapping case study 2 9<sup>th</sup> December 2015



### **Imperial College** London Second case study 9<sup>th</sup> December 2015

- Ion-scale flux rope observed during an outbound magnetopause crossing
- Electron magnetic mirror trapping in magnetic hole, as in case study 1
  - Dip in magnetic field strength of ~10nT on magnetosheath edge of flux rope (a)
  - Pitch angle distributions (i-n) exhibit similar donut features
- Field line configuration consistent with Figure 3
- Here we observe a corresponding parallel population of electrons in the pitch angle distributions (i-n)
  - The field lines on which electrons are trapped have a different topology to case study 1

mms1 ~6.5d based on 40 flow Ľ B B 20 velocity in I-direction -2ì 10000 10<sup>6</sup> 1000 10<sup>5</sup> 100  $10^{4}$ 10000 1000 100 15 [cm<sup>-3</sup>] 트 (d) 10 n\_  $V_{i,LMN}$ [km/s] (e) V<sub>im</sub> 100  $\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{in}}$  $V_{\rm e,LMN}$ [km/s]  $\rm V_{en}$ el ا ورا T<sub>ell</sub> (g)  $T_{i1}$ e\_⊣ Tar ~1.8d 150 [eV] PAD 50-100 [eV] Parallel electrons observed throughout PAD [eV] trapped population 150 PAD 200-400 [eV] 100 10<sup>7</sup> 10<sup>6</sup> 150 PAD [eV] 10  $10^{6}$ 10 PAD 300-80 [eV] 40 Seconds 2015 Dec 09 0052:



(†)

CC

sadie.robertson14@imperial.ac.uk

Figure 6: 6s plot of MMS1 data showing flux rope

observation

#### Imperial College Second case study – formation and evolution London

- We again observe a temperature anisotropy (d) and increase in plasma beta (e) through trapped population
  - Approximately uniform temperature anisotropy of ~0.5 throughout trapped population
  - Larger increase in parallel electron plasma beta than in perpendicular electron plasma beta
- Greater increase in total pressure (~0.4nPa) through trapped population (f)
  - Increase in electron pressure (~0.2nPa) balances decrease in magnetic pressure (~0.2nPa)
  - Increase in ion pressure (~0.4nPa) results in overall pressure enhancement
- Different pressure balance and temperature anisotropy profiles suggest the two case studies could be different evolutionary states of the same phenomena

Figure 7: 6s plot showing temperature anisotropy and pressure throughout flux rope observation Jump to plot for case study 1



CC

sadie.robertson14@imperial.ac.uk

# Imperial College Second case study – force analysis

- Data is present for all 4 spacecraft throughout this event (some MMS3 data was missing for case study 1), meaning we are able to conduct multi-spacecraft analysis
  - The curlometer technique is used to investigate gradients and therefore forces on the plasma throughout the event
  - Analysis is in GSE, where  $X,Y,Z \simeq L,M,N$
- Forces over trapped population could provide insight into dynamics of structure
  - Ion and electron measurements have different time resolution - we interpolate the sum of the forces onto both domains, noting that caution must be taken when interpolating ion data to higher electron resolution
- Potential bipolar signatures observed through trapped populations, however more analysis is required



Figure 8: 8s plot showing force analysis throughout flux rope observation

Ŧ



## 4. Conclusions

- Provided evidence of electron trapping in the field depression at the edge of a flux rope
  - Consistent with magnetic hole observations
- Shown that both the flux rope and the trapped populations have extended 3D structure
- Two case studies show different temperature anisotropy and pressure balance signatures, suggesting they could be different evolutionary states of the same phenomena
  - Scope to investigate this further using multi-spacecraft techniques and force analysis
- In one case study we also observe a second trapping event, consistent with kinetic scale magnetic hole observations
- The evolution and relationship between such structures over various scales could be important for particle acceleration in magnetic reconnection



## References

Chen, L.-J., et al. (2007). Observation of energetic electrons within magnetic islands. *Nature Physics*, *4*, 19 EP-. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys777</u>

Drake, J. F., et al. (2006). Electron acceleration from contracting magnetic islands during reconnection. *Nature*, *443*(7111), 553–556. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05116</u>

Fear, R. C., et al. (2008). The azimuthal extent of three flux transfer events. Annales Geophysicae, 26(8), 2353–2369. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-26-2353-2008</u>

Huang, S. Y., et al. (2017). A statistical study of kinetic-size magnetic holes in turbulent magnetosheath: MMS observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *122*(8), 8577–8588. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JA024415

Russell, C. T., & Elphic, R. C. (1978). Initial ISEE magnetometer results: magnetopause observations. Space Science Reviews, 22(6), 681–715. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00212619</u>

Southwood, D. J., & Kivelson, M. G. (1993). Mirror instability: 1. Physical mechanism of linear instability. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *98*(A6), 9181–9187.

https://doi.org/10.1029/92JA02837

Yao, S. T., et al. (2018). Electron Dynamics in Magnetosheath Mirror-Mode Structures. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 123(7), 5561–5570. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JA025607</u>

Zhu, C., et al. (2019). Trapped and Accelerated Electrons Within a Magnetic Mirror Behind a Flux Rope on the Magnetopause. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *124*(6), 3993–4008. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JA026464

