EGU: General Assembly 2020, 4-8 May 2020 | GM3.5





Turbulence in open-channel flows over granular low-tortuosity beds

Rui Aleixo¹; Daniela Santos²; Ana M. Ricardo²; Rui M.L. Ferreira¹

(1) CERIS – Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal (2) CERIS, Lisboa, Portugal



Motivation

Objectives

Experimental facilities

& procedures

The Laboratory

Flow characrterzation

Macroscopic

porperties

Methodology

Data treatment Methods of calculation

Results and discussion Conclusions and future work

Acknowledgement

Motivation

flows over rough granular beds – rivers, coastal currents, other geophysical flows

theoretical apparatus:

Townsend's 1976 account of rough-wall boundary layers



Motivation

Objectives

Experimental facilities

& procedures

The Laboratory

Flow characrterzation

Macroscopic

porperties

Methodology

Data treatment

Methods of

calculation

Results and discussion

Conclusions and future

work

Acknowledgement

Motivation

Townsend's 1976 wall similarity (presupposes an overlapping layer of inner and outer regions)

but the particular type of roughness is irrelevant in the upper parts of the inner region. what matters to scale kinematic variables is **the value** of u_* , not how it has been generated

inner region

 u_* is determined by the particular type of roughness

near bed layer (roughness layer) (pythmenic layer)



Motivation

Objectives

Experimental facilities

& procedures

The Laboratory

Flow characrterzation

Macroscopic

porperties

Methodology

Data treatment

Methods of

calculation

Results and discussion

Conclusions and future

work

Acknowledgement

Motivation

Townsend's 1976 wall similarity (presupposes an overlapping layer of inner and outer regions)

overlapping layer

inner region

 u_* is determined by the particular type of roughness

near bed layer (roughness layer) (pythmenic layer)



Motivation

Objectives

Experimental facilities

& procedures The Laboratory

Flow characrterzation

Macroscopic

porperties

Methodology

Data treatment

Methods of

calculation

Results and discussion

Conclusions and future

work

Acknowledgement

Motivation

the wall-normal distribution of the longitudinal velocity



$$z\partial_z \{u\} = u_*A$$

A is empirically determined; **Re independent? universal?**

$$z\partial_z\left\{u\right\} = u_*A$$

 $\kappa = 1 / A$ von Kármán parameter

Drag reducing flows: $A = 1/\kappa$ is larger for the same normalized shear rate (lower u_*)



Motivation

Objectives

Experimental facilities

& procedures

The Laboratory

Flow characrterzation

Macroscopic

porperties

Methodology

Data treatment

Methods of

calculation

Results and discussion

Conclusions and future

work

Acknowledgement

Motivation

Ferreira 2015: why would the von Kármán parameter express drag reducing flows in flows over rough mobile beds?

influenced by flow anisotropy



 $\frac{k}{w'^2}$ – Wall normal turbulence intensity ℓ_{0w} – transverse integral scale C_{2w} – constant of transverse 2nd order structure function

influenced by larger flow scales?

Landau remark concerning C_{2w}

the Landau remark: C_2 is not flow-independent when the production range is modulated by a wide range of (roughness-influenced) scales



Motivation

Objectives

Experimental facilities

& procedures

The Laboratory

Flow characrterzation

Macroscopic

porperties

Methodology

Data treatment

Methods of

calculation

Results and discussion

Conclusions and future

work

Acknowledgement

Motivation

Ferreira 2015: why would the von Kármán parameter express drag reducing flows in flows over rough mobile beds?

whatever the change in the structure of turbulence (very large scales and/or RS anisotropy)

there must be a cause for that change

in this work we investigate

the role of surface-hyporheic exchanges that should depend on **hydraulic conductivity** of the bed

 $\kappa \propto \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{\ell_{0w}(z)}{z} \right) \left(\frac{u_*^2 / k}{k / w'^2} C_{2w} \right)$ $\frac{k - \text{turbulent kinetic energy}}{w'^2} - \text{Wall normal turbulence intensity}$ $\ell_{0w} - \text{transverse integral scale}$ $C_{2w} - \text{constant of transverse 2nd order}$ structure function



Motivation

Objectives

Experimental facilities

& procedures

The Laboratory

Flow characrterzation

Macroscopic

porperties

Methodology

Data treatment

Methods of

calculation

Results and discussion

Conclusions and future

work

Acknowledgement

Objectives

The general objective of this work is to study the effect of the *hydraulic conductivity* on open-channel *turbulent flows* of viscous fluids over mobile and hydraulically rough beds of cohesionless sediment.

In particular, we:

• characterize the constant of the 2nd order longitudinal structure function



Motivation

Objectives

Experimental facilities

& procedures

The Laboratory Flow characrterzation

Macroscopic proporties

Methodology

Data treatment Methods of calculation Results and discussion Conclusions and future work

Acknowledgement

Macroscopic properties of granular beds

Two databases in a similar flume:

•Under same range of values of Shield parameters
•In the mobile bed cases, under equilibrium transport conditions

- •In all cases, under uniform flow conditions
- •approximately the same d_{84}
- Different porosity (n), tortuosity (T), permeability (k) and
 hydraulic conductivity (K))





Tests	High conductivity bed (lattice- arranged)	High conductivity bed (random)	Low conductivity bed (Existing database)		
d ₈₄ (mm)	4.97	4.97	5.40		
ho (kg/m ³)	2607	2607	2590		
n (-)	0.325	0.369	0.301		
т (-)	0.88	1.34	9.96		
k (m²)	3.E-08	5.E-09	3.E-10		
K (m/s)	3.E-01	6.E-02	4.E-03		



Motivation

Objectives

Experimental facilities

& procedures

The Laboratory Flow characrterzation

Macroscopic

porperties

Methodology

Data treatment

Methods of

calculation

Results and discussion Conclusions and future

work

Acknowledgement

The Laboratory

Flume at the Laboratory of Hydraulics Environment of Instituto Superior Técnico, Lisbon









Motivation

Objectives

Experimental facilities

& procedures

The Laboratory

Flow characrterzation

Macroscopic

porperties

Methodology

Data treatment Methods of calculation Results and discussion Conclusions and future work

Acknowledgement

Flow characterization: Lattice arrangement

Mean flow variables characterizing the experimental tests

Tests	Q (I/s)	slope (-)	h _u (m)	<i>U</i> (m/s)	R _h (m)	τ ₀ ⁽¹⁾ (N/m²)	U * ⁽¹⁾	bead rate	τ ₀ ⁽²⁾ (N/m²)	u* ⁽²⁾
1	14.98	0.00317	0.0714	0.518	0.0528	1.639	0.041	0.00	1.603	0.040
2	15.90	0.00404	0.0703	0.559	0.0522	2.067	0.046	0.33	2.180	0.047
3	16.67	0.00456	0.0684	0.068	0.0511	2.287	0.048	6.23	2.187	0.047
4	20.83	0.00623	0.0744	0.691	0.0544	3.325	0.058	21.12	3.080	0.056
5	21.35	0.00714	0.0696	0.757	0.0518	3.628	0.060	28.72	3.223	0.057



Introduction Motivation

Objectives

Experimental facilities

& procedures The Laboratory Flow characrterzation

Macroscopic

proporties

Methodology

Data treatment

Methods of

calculation

Results and discussion

Conclusions and future work

WUIK

Acknowledgement

Mean flow





Motivation

Objectives

Experimental facilities

& procedures The Laboratory Flow characrterzation Macroscopic

Methodology

Data treatment

Methods of

calculation

proporties

Results and discussion

Conclusions and future work

Acknowledgement

Results and discussion





Motivation

Objectives

Experimental facilities

& procedures The Laboratory Flow characrterzation Macroscopic

proporties

Methodology

Data treatment Methods of

calculation

Results and discussion

Conclusions and future work

Acknowledgement

Results and discussion





- Introduction
 - Motivation
 - Objectives
- Experimental facilities
 - & procedures The Laboratory Flow characrterzation Macroscopic proporties
- Methodology
 - Data treatment
 - Methods of
 - calculation
- **Results and discussion**
- Conclusions and future
 - work
- Acknowledgement

Conclusion, Impact

The main findings can be summarized as follows:

 i) The coefficient of the second order structure function seems to be well described by the classic value 2.17 throughout the flow depth except in the roughness layer where homogeneity conditions are not valid



Motivation

Objectives

Experimental facilities

& procedures

The Laboratory

Flow characrterzation

Macroscopic

proporties

Methodology

Data treatment

Methods of

calculation Results and discussion

Conclusions and future

work

Acknowledgement

Conclusion, Impact

The main findings :

- iv. So flows over high conductivity beds appear drag-reducing even if *geometric* roughness parameters do not change appreciably.
- v. Within the high conductivity case, higher tortuosity leads to different results lower value of VK constant, lower overall roughness.

Impact

- Modellers need to incorporate properties of near-bed turbulence in their wall functions
- ii) Sheds light on why simple granular beds are more mobile forces on the bed particles (scale with U^2) are larger in high conductivity beds for the same u_* .



Motivation

Objectives

Experimental facilities

& procedures

The Laboratory

Flow characrterzation

Macroscopic

proporties

Methodology

Data treatment

Methods of

calculation

Results and discussion Conclusions and future work

Acknowledgement

Thank you!

This research was partially supported by Portuguese and European funds, within the COMPETE 2020 and PORL-FEDER programs, through project PTDC/CTA-OHR/29360/2017 RiverCure

