# Detection of alkyl nitrates (ANs) and peroxyacyl nitrates (PNs) by a TD-CEAS (thermal dissociation - cavity enhanced absorption spectrometer) system

Chunmeng Li, Haichao Wang, Keding Lu

State Key Joint Laboratory of Environmental Simulation and Pollution Control, College of Environmental Sciences and

Engineering, Peking University,

Beijing, 100871, China.



# Contents

#### **1. Introduction**

# 2. Characterization of the CEAS for NO<sub>2</sub> detection

# **3.** Characterization of the TD-CEAS

- 4. Field observations
- **5.** Conclusions



# **1. Introduction**



- Organic nitrates (ONs)
   include PNs (RCO<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>)
   & ANs (RONO<sub>2</sub>);
- The formation of ONs is initiated by O<sub>3</sub>, OH and NO<sub>3</sub>;
- ONs can be very useful indicators for the kinetic regime determination and mechanism development;
- ONs have an effect on the formation of O<sub>3</sub>;
   ONs are the important

precursors of SOA.



#### 2. Characterization of the Cavity Enhanced Absorption Spectrometer

 $NO_2$  has distinct absorption peaks at 300-500 nm, so a single-colour LED centered at 450nm is used as the light source.

Lambert Beer's law:  $\log\left(\frac{I_0}{I}\right) = \sigma \times L \times C$ Cavity technology to increase the optical path.---L

$$\alpha(\lambda) = \left(\frac{I_0(\lambda)}{I(\lambda)} - 1\right) \left(\frac{1 - R(\lambda)}{d_{\text{eff}}}\right) = \sum_i n_i \times \sigma_i(\lambda) + \alpha_{\text{Mie}}(\lambda) + \alpha_{\text{Rayl}}(\lambda)$$

(extinction coefficient )

(Mie scattering)(Rayleigh scattering)





#### **3. TD-CEAS characterization** — Efficiency of Thermal Dissociation

**Relative Signal** 

Photochemical source: PAN in zero air are generated by acetone

photolysis at 285 nm in the presence of  $O_2$  and NO.

 $CH_3COCH_3 + hv \rightarrow CH_3CO + CH_3$  $CH_3CO + O_2 \rightarrow CH_3COO_2$ 

 $CH_3COO_2 + NO_2 \rightarrow PAN$ 

PAN:MeN=2:1. Fused silica
 enclosure to house Hg lamp does
 not attenuate the output in the
 near-UV, which promotes further
 reactions of CH<sub>3</sub> to generate
 methyl nitrate (MeN).



Reactor wall temperature (°C)

 Normalized TD signals of thermal dissociation of standard source based on the total concentration of ONs.



#### **3. TD-CEAS characterization** — Efficiency of Thermal Dissociation



Reactor wall temperature [°C]

- At 180 °C, PAN (blue line) is completely pyrolyzed and MeN is not pyrolyzed at all. ANs (red line) is pyrolyzed totally when the temperature is above 360 °C.
- Quartz tubes to measure PNs/ANs are heated at 180 °C/ 380 °C, which is similar to previous pyrolysis temperature.

 $(\mathbf{i})$ 

ΒY

CC

- ➤ Instrument: 110cm x 60cm x 50cm, ~300w;
- Sample gas: 2.4 L/min;
- > Detection limit and time resolution:  $1\sigma = 97$  pptv, time resolution = 6 s
- $\blacktriangleright$  Reflectivity: N<sub>2</sub> and He

ΒY



$$R(\lambda) = 1 - d \times \left(\frac{I_{N_{2}}(\lambda) \times n_{N_{2}} \times \sigma_{Rayl,N_{2}}(\lambda) - I_{He}(\lambda) \times n_{He} \times \sigma_{Rayl,He}(\lambda)}{I_{He}(\lambda) - I_{N_{2}}(\lambda)}\right)$$



ΒY

- > Purge gas to prevent the HR mirrors from pollution: 100 sccm  $\times$  2;
- $\succ$  d<sub>eff</sub>: the effective cavity length due to the purge gas;
- Experiment in Lab: determination of  $[NO_2]$  under two conditions with or without purge in the cavity by using the same NO<sub>2</sub> stand source( $\approx$ 130 ppbv).



 $d_{eff} / L = [NO_2]_{with} / [NO_2]_{without}$ 

- One CEAS is used to detect;
- Multiple components (solenoid value, T-shaped tee) aim to achieve alternate measurement;
- ➤ Airflow is stable in 3 channels.





**Ú** By

- One measurement cycle for 3 min, including 3 measurement phases;
- ➤ Time resolution: 6 s;
- red dots: [ANs]+[PNs]+[NO<sub>2</sub>], blue dots: [PNs]+[NO<sub>2</sub>], black dots: [NO<sub>2</sub>]



 According to the spectral fitting of CEAS, [ANs] and [PNs] can be determined by two methods;

'CONC' method:
$$α_{TD380} = \left(\frac{I_{TD380}}{I_{N_2}} - 1\right) \left(\frac{1 - R(\lambda)}{d_{eff}}\right)$$

$$α_{TD180} = \left(\frac{I_{TD180}}{I_{N_2}} - 1\right) \left(\frac{1 - R(\lambda)}{d_{eff}}\right)$$

▹ 'SPEC' method:

$$\alpha_{[ANS]} = \left(\frac{l_{TD380}}{l_{TD180}} - 1\right) \left(\frac{1 - R(\lambda)}{d_{eff}}\right)$$
$$\alpha_{[PNS]} = \left(\frac{l_{TD180}}{l_{NOR}} - 1\right) \left(\frac{1 - R(\lambda)}{d_{eff}}\right)$$

• The data is the measurement results of CHOOSE campaign on September 8, 2019.



#### **Comparison to other ANs/PNs instrument**

Tech	Measuring Parameter	Measure species	Calibration	Temporal/ Spatial resolution	DL	Accuracy	Main interference	Ref
			PAN/ethyl nitrate/n-					
TD_I IF	Fluorescence	ANS PNS NO	propyl nitrate/NO <sub>2</sub>	10 s	90 ppty	10-15%	Thermal	Day et al. 2002
ID-LII	spectrum	Allos, I los, $100_2$	standard source	10.8	90 pptv	10-13 %	Acetone, acetic	Day et al., 2002
		PiBN, PAN, PPN	PAN/MPAN/PPN				acid, peracetic	
<b>TD-CIMS</b>	Mass spectrum	, MPAN	standard source	1-15 s	3-7 pptv	20%	acid	Slusher et al., 2004
			PAN/PPN/methyl,					
			ethyl, isopropyl,					
			nitrates/NO <sub>2</sub>				Thermal	
<b>TD-CAPS</b>	Phase shift	ANs, PNs, NO <sub>2</sub>	standard source	2 min	21 pptv		interference	Sadanaga et al., 2016
			2-propyl nitrate/PAN/NO <sub>2</sub>			6%+20 pptv +(20pptv*RH	Thermal interference,	
<b>TD-CRDS</b>	Ring-down time	ANs, PNs, NO <sub>2</sub>	standard source	1 s	28 pptv,	/100)	RH	Thieser et al., 2016
			2-propyl nitrate/i-butyl nitrate/PAN/NO <sub>2</sub>		80 pptv, 94 pptv,		Thermal	
<b>TD-CRDS</b>	Ring-down time	ANs, PNs, $NO_2$	standard source	1 s	59 pptv	8%	interference	Sobanski et al., 2016
<b>TD-PERCA-</b>			NO <sub>2</sub> /PAN				Thermal	
CRDS	Ring-down time	PNs	standard source	1 s	2.6 pptv	9%	interference	Taha et al., 2018
	Absorption		PAN /MeN				Thermal	
<b>TD-CEAS</b>	spectrum	ANs, PNs, NO <sub>2</sub>	standard source	6 s	97pptv	8%	interference	This study



# 4. Field observations

- The first field observation named CHOOSE was deployed in XINJIN, Chengdu, China, in 2019;
- An example time series of [NO<sub>2</sub>], [PNs] and [ANs] measured during an ozone pollution in CHOOSE campaign.





#### Field intercomparison of NO<sub>2</sub> and PAN





#### **Diurnal variations**



- [ANs] / [PNs] had peak
   during the day, [ANs]
   at 11am , [PNs] at
  - 12am;
- The high value of [ANs]
   lasted longer during the day than [PNs];
   [NO<sub>2</sub>] kept high concentration at nigh,
  - and started to fall at

8am.



#### The limitations of the current single channel TD-CEAS



- [NO<sub>2</sub>] shows irregular jitters at night, and the corresponding [PNs] and [ANs] had larger errors;
   [NO<sub>2</sub>], [PNs] and [ANs] all had small jitter during the day;
- when the [NO<sub>2</sub>] change drastically, the difference in [NO<sub>2</sub>] between adjacent measurement phases in a cycle will be large, resulting in larger errors.
- The data is the measurement results of CHOOSE campaign on August 15, 2019.



# **5.** Conclusion

- ➤ A single channel TD-CEAS system is successfully developed for the selective measurement of NO<sub>2</sub>, ANs and PNs sequentially.
- The instrument can be calibrated and characterized with a conventional PAN calibration source which contains both PAN and MeN.
- During its field deployment, the measured NO<sub>2</sub> and PNs showed good agreement with the corresponding observed values from CLD and GC-ECD, respectively.
- More characterization are still required to account the influence of the side reactions which take place at the thermal dissociation tube.



# THANK YOU!



