## **Assessment of groundwater resource** vulnerability to over-exploitation in a tropical, agricultural basin

#### **Okke Batelaan**

**Daniel Partington** Manh Hai Vu Margaret Shanafield

National Centre for Groundwater Research and Training, College of Science and Engineering **Flinders University** Adelaide, Australia





Australian Centre for **International Agricultural Research** 

# Globally...

- **70%** of all water extractions are used for irrigation
- 40% of global population is water scarcity affected
- 1,700,000,0000 people are dependent on unsustainable groundwater use











# South Central Coastal Vietnam

#### **ACIAR Project aims**

"Improve profitability and sustainability of water use in *groundwater dependent* smallholder farming systems"

#### **Challenge:**

- Increasing food production
- Develop sustainable water resources







ustralian Government

Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research

# This is a PUB question...

- Prediction of Ungauged (Groundwater) Basins
- Globally abstractions cause a *huge stress* on groundwater systems
- Challenge for groundwater management is knowing what to manage, i.e. you can only manage what you *measure*.
- Groundwater abstractions are often *unrecorded*, esp. in developing areas, hence a large component of the water balance is *unknown*
- Simple *multiple methodologies* commensurate with the possibilities of obtaining data are required.



# La Vi Basin, Vietnam





• People: 20,000



#### Tropical wet

1,300-2,600 mm 1986-2015

- Sandy soil
- Intermit. SW
- GW irrigation

dry season



- Improved DEM
- 3 river water level transects (S1-S3)
- •77 farmer surveys
- 3 cross-s. (L1-L3)



**S**3

**Elevation:** 

High : 395 m

50

Low : 4







## **Groundwater abstraction estimation – 1st approach**



water balance for dry season



# Local knowledge

#### Qualitative field survey

77 farmers between 20-25 October 2015:

- purpose of their wells
- min and max groundwater depths in their wells
- month of occurrence of the corresponding depths
- daily average duration of pumping for each month
- pumping rate was measured during the survey
- area of land irrigated
- applied cropping patterns
- number of persons per farming household
- number of livestock per farm was surveyed

PLANNING AND INVESTIGATION				co	OPERA	TION PI	ROGRAI	M
GROUNWATER EXPLOITA		D USAG		ESTIC	ATIO		M	
1. General Information:								
Project: "Integrated soil, water and	nutrient n	nanagen	nent fo	or sust	ainable	Inve	stigatio	n date:
agriculture system in South Cen	tral Coastal	Vietnam					/ /	
2. Well ID: ; Type:	🗆 Well; 🗆	Borehol	e					
3. Owner(s):					St	tart date	e: /	
4. Location and Coordinates:								
Village: Comm	nune:			Dis	trict:			
Coordinates: X:			Y:					
5. Usage purposes:				Yearly	usage c	alendai	r	
Households (Description):		Time	Wet?	DTW		Discharg	e (m³/c	i)
<description about="" frequencies="" no.="" of="" td="" use<="" users,=""><td>ige and</td><td></td><td>(Y/N)</td><td>(m)</td><td>Dom.</td><td>Irr.</td><td>Far.</td><td>Sum</td></description>	ige and		(Y/N)	(m)	Dom.	Irr.	Far.	Sum
seasonal change in discharge, including the reason	is not to	Jan						
use water for particular time>		Feb						
		Mar						
Irrigation (Description):		Apr						
<description about="" crops,="" frequencies="" of="" td="" types="" u<=""><td>isage and</td><td>May</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></description>	isage and	May						
seasonal change in discharge, including the reason	is not to	Jun						
use water for particular time>		Jul						
		Aug						
Others (Description):		Sep						
<description about="" frequencies="" of<="" purposes,="" td="" usage=""><td>usage</td><td>Oct</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></description>	usage	Oct						
and seasonal change in discharge, including the reasons not		Nov						
to use water for particular time>		Dec						
		Sum						
6. Exploitation methods							•	
Pumping: Type of pump:	Capacity	/ (HP):	;	🗆 Ot	her:			
7. Salinization and								
water treatment:								
8. Water quality:					_			
Colour: S	mell:			Т	asty:			
Further description:								
Owner	Author	ity			Inv	estigato	or	
Signature:				Signat	ure:			
Name				Signat.				

CENTRAL DIVISION FOR WATER RESOURCES



AUSTRALIA-VIETNAM

#### Mean monthly abstraction of interviewed farmers



(Vu et al. 2020)



### Soil map updated by survey

- Sat K
- FC
- PWP
- pH
- EC





## WetSpass-M simulated dry season net recharge

The dry season recharge is mainly negative, i.e. transpiration from groundwater





Abdollahi, K., Bashir, I., Verbeiren, B., Harouna, M.R., Van Griensven, A., Huysmans, M. and Batelaan, O., 2017, A distributed monthly water balance model: formulation and application on Black Volta Basin. Environmental Earth Sciences 76(5): 198,

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12665-017-6512-1

## Dry season baseflow estimation



#### Dry season (Jan-Aug) water balance

Component	Туре	Value Mm <sup>3</sup>	<b>Proportion (%)</b>
Change in GW	Sourcos	73	100
storage	Sources		
Groundwater	Sinks	10	14
transpiration			
Base-flow		32	44
Rest term =		31	42
groundwater abstraction			



## Groundwater abstraction – 2<sup>nd</sup> approach



#### Landcover 2016 Sentinel-2A Supervised classif.





# Dry season groundwater abstraction land-use based approach



Flinders Flinders

#### Groundwater abstraction land-use based approach

Irrigation rate	As surveyed	Per FAO's guidelines		
Population				
Converted from house	36.2×10 <sup>6</sup> m <sup>3</sup>	24.4×10 <sup>6</sup> m <sup>3</sup>		
map				
Downscaled from	36.4×10 <sup>6</sup> m <sup>3</sup>	24.6×10 <sup>6</sup> m <sup>3</sup>		
global population data				



### Ag. scenarios $\rightarrow$ groundwater abstraction



#### Scenario 0 (base case) $\rightarrow$ impact of dry, avg, wet year



# WetSpass-M – MODFLOW simulated actual pumping for different scenarios



Total volume of pumping applied (left), and the total percentage of pumping demand met (right) for each scenario.



#### Change in groundwater storage for different scenarios

Temporal variation of the monthly simulated change in groundwater storage for different land use scenarios. averaged over dry, average and wet climatic conditions (line chart) and for dry, average and wet climatic conditions, averaged over all land use scenarios (bar chart).



# Ratio of groundwater extraction versus groundwater recharge for the different simulated scenarios





## Weiskel et al. (2007) water use regime analysis





Groundwater resources sustainability evaluation of simulated base condition S0 and four different agricultural development scenarios for dry, average and wet climatic conditions.

## **Groundwater vulnerability**

Groundwater vulnerability maps were generated by comparing the change in pumping demand (at each 100 m x 100 m pixel [*i*] being met in year 1 from scenario *A* vs demand being met after 100 years of pumping under demanded groundwater extractions as defined by scenario *A*:

$$Vulnerability[i] = \frac{pump_{actual_{A}}^{yr=1}}{pump_{forced_{A}}} \times 100\% - \frac{pump_{actual_{A}}^{yr=100}}{pump_{forced_{A}}} \times 100\%$$

With  $pump_{actual_A}$  the actual pumping amount that the groundwater system is able to supply, while  $pump_{forced_A}$  is the amount of groundwater requested to be extracted based on the demand for the irrigation and other groundwater usage as defined by the spatial land use scenario *A*.

A high vulnerability of the groundwater system would indicate that groundwater storage or baseflow is strongly reducing over time and that the demanded groundwater extractions are not sustainable.



Categories of groundwate vulnerability as used to classify the spatial vulnerability analyses

	Vulnerability category	Demand met	Interpretation		
	Extreme	0 – 25%	Mostly unable to meet pumping demand		
	Very High	25 – 50%	Only a small amount of pumping demand met		
	High	50-75%	Pumping demand is not securely met		
r	Medium	75-100%	Pumping demand is mostly met		
	Low	100%	Aquifer supply to pumping demand easily met		
	Pumping not present	-	Not applicable		

## **Groundwater vulnerability**





Vulnerability during persistent average, dry and wet conditions for land use change scenarios S0, S1d, S2a, S3, S4b and S5.

# Conclusions

We developed two comprehensive approaches for estimating groundwater extraction for data limited areas.

A developed coupled water balance (WetSpass-M) model and groundwater flow model (MODFLOW) allows groundwater sustainability evaluation, it shows:

- In wet years groundwater pumping < 46 % of the recharge, which is important for maintaining minimum ecological conditions in river valleys.
- In average precipitation years the groundwater pumping is up to 75 % of recharge.
- In dry years the groundwater pumping > natural recharge, i.e. 116 %, thereby reducing the baseflow to practically zero and effectively reducing groundwater storage by about 7 Mm<sup>3</sup>/yr.



# Conclusions

A comparison of the base case and five simulated agricultural development scenarios in terms of the sustainability of the used groundwater resources:

- Recharge does not vary a lot among the scenarios, but much more with level of monsoon rainfall.
- Groundwater pumping varies significantly over the scenarios, five scenarios having an abstraction > recharge to the aquifer system, hence depleting the groundwater system.
- Eight scenarios have an abstraction between 50-100% of the recharge, while five scenarios have an abstraction < 50% of recharge.</li>
- The only sustainable development scenario is one in which rainfed crops like cassava increase in their area coverage. It is the only scenario, which is under wet and average climatic conditions in a 'safe' groundwater management space, while being close to this under dry climatic conditions.
- If the cropping patterns stays like base scenario, but irrigating peanut and mango was reduced by 50% the risk of abstraction exceeding recharge is less than in the present case. However, substantial increase in the area of irrigated peanut, even with more water efficient irrigation, would still exacerbate the risk of over-use in dry years.



## Conclusions

## "When the well is dry we know the value of water" Benjamin Franklin





# Acknowledgements

- Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research for funding the project 'Integrated water, soil and nutrient management for sustainable farming systems in south central coastal Vietnam and Australia' SMCN/2012/069.
- John Allwright fellowship for Manh Hai Vu



## References

- Abdollahi, K., Bashir, I., Verbeiren, B., Harouna, M.R., Van Griensven, A., Huysmans, M. and Batelaan, O., 2017, A distributed monthly water balance model: formulation and application on Black Volta Basin. Environmental Earth Sciences 76(5): 198, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12665-017-6512-1</u>
- Vu, H.M., Shanafield, M. and Batelaan, O., 2018, Flux dynamics at the groundwater-surface water interface in a tropical catchment. Limnologica 68: 36-45. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.limno.2017.06.003</u>
- Vu, H.M., Shanafield, M., Nhat, T.T., Partington, D. and Batelaan, O., 2020, Mapping catchment-scale unmonitored groundwater abstractions: Approaches based on soft data. Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies (in review)

# Thank you AMBITIOUS

#### **Questions/Discussion**

