Introduction to scenario

Analysis of results

Preliminary interpretations

Constraining the Eruption History of the Rangitoto Volcano using Palaeomagnetic Data

EGU 2020

Megan Allington[1], Andreas Nilsson[1], Mimi Hill[2], Neil Suttie[1], Dimitra Daniil[1], Ingeborg Hjorth[1], Linda Aulin[1] and Paul Augustinus[3]

[1]Department of Geology, Lund University, Sweden (megan.allington@geol.lu.se)
[2]Department of Earth, Ocean and Ecological Sciences, University of Liverpool, UK
[3]School of Environment, University of Auckland, New Zealand

Vetenskapsrådet

Crafoordska stiftelsen
GRUNDAD AV HOLGER CRAFOORD 1980

KUNGL. FYSIOGRAFISKA SÄLLSKAPET I LUND

UNIVERSITY OF LIVERPOOL

LUND UNIVERSITY



Linnel et al. (2016)

- Rangitoto, Auckland Volcanic Field (AVF), New Zealand
- 2 different hypothesis on eruption duration
- Was main shield building phase 10^2 or 10^3 year duration?

Linnell et al. (2016)

- Core taken 2014
- Radiocarbon dates (see figure) suggest early activity at 6000BP
- Dormant until main shield building phase at 600BP (polygenetic)
- Main eruption phase duration of ~100 years

Shane et al. (2013)

- Studied cores from nearby Lake Pepuke
- Dated basaltic crypto-tephra
- Lavas representing Rangitoto are dated to 1498±140 cal yr BP to 504± 6 cal yr BP
- Main eruption phase duration of ~1000 years (monogenetic)

Radiocarbon dates calibrated using marine curve. This assumes purely marine signal but Rangitoto is located where freshwater may influence. Therefore the lower date limit of 650 BP is likely too conservative and could be nearer to 1000BP.

Data by depth



Magnetic mineralogy on VFTB and high temp CS4 completed. Mineralogy changes drastically after 110m and this alteration leads a much higher PI failure rate.

High inclination and high intensity values are observed at around 40 metres and 60 metres depth.

Figure: plots showing inclination and intensity by depth. The PI success rate was low for thermal Thellier experiments, so all measurements were taken on the MWS system at the Geomagnetism Laboratory at the University of Liverpool, UK. Note that the lava flows have very different thicknesses which could limit the number of samples from each taken. We were provided with more parts of the upper core hence the imbalance. More samples from the bottom half of the core were received 2020 and will be measured end of 2020.

Data by lava flow and model comparison









Figure: plots showing inclination and intensity by lava flow. The black points on the inclination plot are the average inclination value (and standard error) for each lava flow where three or more measurements were taken. Note that the lava flows have very different thicknesses which could limit the number of samples from each taken. The model comparison is 50 possible versions of the COV-LAKE model (Hellio and Gillet 2018) from Rangitoto's co-ordinates. Dotted red arrows trace inclination from the volcanics into where they may fall on the models.

The high value flows are not consistent with short geomagnetic field variation. Unless the high values are an artefact of mineralogy etc.

REFERENCES: **Linnell, T.,** Shane, P., Smith, I., Augustinus, P., Cronin, S., Lindsay, J. and Maas, R. (2016) Long- lived shield volcanism within a monogenetic basaltic field: The conundrum of Rangitoto volcano, New Zealand. *GSA Bulletin* 128 (7-8), 1160-1172. **Shane, P.,** Gehrels, M., Zawalna-Geer, A., Augustinus, P., Lindsay, J. and Chaillou, I. (2013) Longevity of a small shield volcano revealed by crypto-tephra studies (Rangitoto volcano, New Zealand): Change in eruptive behavior of a basaltic field. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 257, 174-183. **Hellio, G.** and Gillet, N. (2018) Time-correlation-based regression of the geomagnetic field from archeological and sediment records. *Geophysical Journal International* 214, 1585-1607.