Can Teleseismic Travel-Times Constrain 3D Anisotropic Structure in Subduction Zones? Insights from Realistic Synthetic Experiments



European Research Council

Established by the European Commission

Project funded by ERC-STG grant #758199



European Geophysical Union General Assembly 7 May 2020

Brandon P. VanderBeek & Manuele Faccenda

Università di Padova





EGU2020-14886 https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu2020-14886

Summary

- Unmodeled anisotropic structure can generate significant artefacts in teleseismic body wave images (e.g. Bezada et al., 2016)
- We evaluate the ability of teleseismic P-wave travel-time tomography to recover realistic isotropic and anisotropic subduction zone structure

Summary of main results:

- Teleseismic P-waves can constrain lateral and depth variations in the azimuth and dip of mantle anisotropy
- While anisotropic structure can be recovered, isotropic artefacts remain particularly if only azimuthal anisotropy is considered
- SKS splitting intensity can be incorporated into P-wave inversions to better constrain azimuthal anisotropy patterns



Methods: Synthetic Data

- Create anisotropic elastic model from geodynamic simulation of subduction (Faccenda, 2014)
- Model teleseismic wavefield through model using SPECFEM + AxiSEM
 - 15 s double couple source
- 770 receivers spaced 75 km apart record the wavefield generated from 16 sources evenly distributed in backazimuth and range
 - P arrivals picked via cross-correlation (VandeCar and Crosson, 1990)
- Synthetic data is independent of inversion algorithm



CC I

Methods: Tomography

• Use common sinusoidal approximation for hexagonal anisotropy:

 $v = v_i [1 + f(2[\cos(\theta)\cos(\gamma)\cos(\phi - \psi) + \sin(\theta)\sin(\gamma)]^2 - 1)]$

• Simplified parameterization for SKS splitting intensity assuming azimuthal anisotropy and near vertical ray paths:

 $si = 2rLu_i[A\sin(2\lambda) + B\cos(2\lambda)]$

- The A and B terms control anisotropy orientation and P-wave anisotropic magnitude; fixed ratio of P-to-S anisotropic fraction (r) is assumed
- Inversion includes approximate anisotropic finite-frequency kernels
- This presentation focuses on inversion results; method details will be presented in manuscript currently in preparation for *Geophys. J. Int*.

$v_i = mean \ velocity$
f = anisotropic fraction
$\psi =$ symmetry axis azimuth
$\gamma = symmetry axis elevation$
$\phi = ray azimuth$
$\theta = ray \ elevation$
$\lambda = polarization$ azimuth
L = ray segment length
$u_i = 1/v_i$



True Model





CC I

Isotropic Inversion of Isotropic Data



- In this case, inverting teleseismic data created with isotropic model
- Illustrates recovery of isotropic heterogeneity in absence of complications due to anisotropy
- Relative nature of teleseismic data requires that the fast slab be balanced by low velocity perturbations
- Low-velocity artefacts are small in amplitude and evenly distributed

Isotropic Inversion of Anisotropic Data



- Significant distortion of slab geometry
- Significant increase in magnitude of low velocity artefacts
- Low velocity zones have stronger amplitude beneath the slab

Azimuthal Anisotropy Inversion





3D Anisotropic Inversion





Data Fit



- Including anisotropy in inversion improves data fit
 - Expected since more model complexity is introduced
- For a given perturbational vector length, anisotropic solutions consistently yield better fit



P + SKS Joint Inversion for Azimuthal Anisotropy



- Inclusion of SKS splitting intensity measurements significantly improves recovery of azimuthal anisotropic structure
- Inversion uses additional 8 SKS events evenly distributed in back-azimuth at 120° distance
- P Isotropic anomalies remain effectively identical to those previously shown (slide 8)



Conclusions

- Teleseismic P-waves can constrain 3D anisotropic structure but untangling anisotropic from isotropic structure remains a challenge
 - Low-velocity artefacts are persistent features
 - Reflect trade-off between symmetry axis dip and mean velocity
- SKS splitting intensity can be incorporated into P-wave azimuthal anisotropic inversions in a simple but effective manner
- Removing isotropic artefacts will require better starting models derived from prior geophysical or geodynamic constraints
- Caution should be exercised when inferring physical properties (e.g. temperature, melt) from subduction zone velocity anomalies derived from teleseismic delay times

