

# Crustal structure in the transition zone from the Precambrian to Palaeozoic platform in the southern Baltic Sea – inferences from newly acquired potential field and seismic data

**Małgorzata Ponikowska**<sup>1</sup>, Stanislaw Mazur<sup>1</sup>, Michał Malinowski<sup>2</sup>, Christian Hübscher<sup>3</sup>, Ingo Heyde<sup>4</sup>, Tomasz Janik<sup>2</sup>, and Dariusz Wójcik<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Geological Sciences, Polish Academy of Sciences, Kraków, Poland (m.ponikowska@ingpan.krakow.pl)
<sup>2</sup>Institute of Geophysics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warszawa, Poland
<sup>3</sup>Institute of Geophysics, University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany
<sup>4</sup>Bundesanstalt für Geowissenschaften und Rohstoffe, Hannover (BGR), Germany





Institute of Geophysics Polish Academy of Sciences





#### 1. Introduction

The focus of our research is on the structure of Phanerozoic sedimentary cover in the transition zone from the Precambrian to Palaeozoic platform in the southern Baltic Sea Sea using new geophysical data.

The southern Baltic Sea area is located in the transition zone between the Fennoscandian Shield as part of the East European Craton (EEC) and the West European Platform. This area is characterised by a mosaic of various geological blocks separated by several fault zones formed throughout the Phanerozoic. The most prominent tectonic feature is the NW–SE trending Sorgenfrei-Tornquist Zone, crossing the southern Baltic Sea area between Scania in Sweden and Pomerania in Poland (Pharaoh, 1999).

In March 2016 the "BalTec" cruise took place with the German R/V Maria S. Merian in the Baltic Sea (cruise MSM52). During the cruise the new multi-channel seismic data (MCS) was acquired. In addition hydroacoustic and gravity data were collected along the same profiles.

## 1. Introduction



Fig. 1 Seismic profiles acquired during the MSM52 BalTec cruise, the WARR OBS profile and selected previous studies: DEKORP-PQ (Meissner and Krawczyk, 1999), BABEL (BABEL Working Group, 1993), onshore LT7 profile (Dadlez 2000) and onshore PolandSPAN survey (Mazur et al. 2017). Inset shows location of the BalTec profiles at the background of the tectonic map (after Mazur et al. 2016 and Mazur et al. 2020) showing main tectonic features: TTZ – Teisseyre-Tornquist Zone, STZ – Sorgenfrei- Tornquist Zone.

# 2. Geological setting





### 3. Data sources

# **RV Maria S. Merian - cruise MSM52 BalTec** 01-8.03.2016



- ➤ MSM52 BalTEC data:
- 3500 km of multi-channel seismic data (850 km in the Polish economic sector)
- 7000 km gravity data along the ship track
- Wide-angle data from 15 OBS along 220 km long profile crossing TTZ
- 6000 km parametric sediment profiler (Parasound)
- Open source gravity data Sandwell and Smith v. 24.1 (Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California)
- Reduced-to-Pole (RTP) magnetic data

#### 3. Data sources



Fig. 3 Map of the free-air gravity anomalies acquired during the profiles of the MSM52 BalTec cruise. The map is drawn up to a distance of 5 km from the ship tracks. The map is based on a 1x1 (arc-) minutes grid. Inset shows the BalTec cruise profiles with useful gravity measurements are shown (Hübscher et al., 2017).

### 3. Data sources



Fig. 4 Reflection seismic profiles of BalTec cruise (Hübscher et al., 2017 - modified).

# 4. Geophysical data processing

The first step in analysis of potential field data was integration of marine gravity with a regional gravity dataset. The result was a coherent gravity grid, which was used for further advanced processing, involving calculation of transformations and derivatives.



Fig. 5 Merged marine ship track and regional gravity data with resolution 1730x1730 m. The coordinate system used is WGS\_1984\_UTM\_Zone\_33N that is based on the WGS\_1984 datum, WGS\_1984 spheroid and the Transverse Mercator projection with 15°E as a central meridian.

# 4. Geophysical data processing



We also included a regional magnetic grid in the advanced processing:

Fig. 6 Reduced-to-Pole magnetic data with resolution 871x871 m. The coordinate system used is WGS\_1984\_UTM\_Zone\_33N that is based on the WGS\_1984 datum, WGS\_1984 spheroid and the Transverse Mercator projection with 15°E as a central meridian.

Filters and derivatives of gravity and magnetic data were applied in qualitative analysis and interpretation of the structural elements throughout the area.



Fig. 7 Structural elements overlaid on the Total Horizontal Derivative (THD) of the merged gravity data.



Fig. 7 Structural elements overlaid on the First Vertical Derivative (1VD) of the merged gravity data.



Fig. 8 Structural elements overlaid on the Total Horizontal Derivative (THD) of the Reduced-to-Pole magnetic data.



Fig. 9 Structural elements overlaid on the First Vertical Derivative (1VD) of the Reduced-to-Pole magnetic data.

Preliminary 2D models were produced along the WARR profile to verify compatibility of the seismic model with potential fields. The first model (Fig. 11) was built on the basis of three grids with resolution 1500x1500m: base Permian, top basement and MOHO extracted from regional grids by Maystrenko and Scheck-Wenderoth (2013). The geometry of the next three models (Fig. 12-14) is derived from the refraction data (see Wójcik et al. 2020, EGU2020-7394, GD4.2/SM4.8/TS11.4). Densities in these models were derived from seismic velocities using Brocher (2005) formula.



Layer/Block	Density [g/cm <sup>3</sup> ]
Post- Carboniferous sediments	2.35
Lower and Middle Palaeozoic	2.61
Crystalline Crust	2.83
Upper Mantle	3.3

Tab. 1 Density Values used in the modeling of WARR profile – version 1 (Fig. 11)

Fig. 10 Location of the WARRP-1 profile. Purple stars – OBS locations. Orange stars – location of the land stations. Blue dots – shot point locations (Hübscher et al., 2017).



Fig. 11 Two-dimensional gravity forward model along the WARR profile – version 1. Upper panel shows gravity data. Dotted and solid black lines signify observed and modelled gravity data, respectively. Lower panel shows an initial model. Abbreviations means: D- densities in g/cm<sup>3</sup>, VE – vertical exaggerations. Base Permian, top basement and Moho horizons extracted from regional grids by Maystrenko and Scheck-Wenderoth (2013).

Preferred seismic model





Fig. 12 Two-dimensional gravity forward model along the WARR profile – version 2. Upper panel shows gravity data. Dotted and solid black lines signify observed and modelled gravity data, respectively. Lower panel shows an initial model. Abbreviations means: D- densities in g/cm<sup>3</sup>, VE – vertical exaggerations. Base Permian, top basement and Moho horizons extracted from regional grids by Maystrenko and Scheck-Wenderoth (2013).

#### Alternative seismic model 1



![](_page_16_Figure_3.jpeg)

Fig. 13 Two-dimensional gravity forward model along the WARR profile – version 3. Upper panel shows gravity data. Dotted and solid black lines signify observed and modelled gravity data, respectively. Lower panel shows an initial model. Abbreviations means: D- densities in g/cm<sup>3</sup>, VE – vertical exaggerations. Base Permian, top basement and Moho horizons extracted from regional grids by Maystrenko and Scheck-Wenderoth (2013).

#### Alternative seismic model 2

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

Fig. 14 Two-dimensional gravity forward model along the WARR profile – version 4. Upper panel shows gravity data. Dotted and solid black lines signify observed and modelled gravity data, respectively. Lower panel shows an initial model. Abbreviations means: D- densities in g/cm<sup>3</sup>, VE – vertical exaggerations. Base Permian, top basement and Moho horizons extracted from regional grids by Maystrenko and Scheck-Wenderoth (2013).

# 6. Further Work

- 1. 2-D forward modelling using:
  - satellite and marine gravity data and Baltec seismic reflection profiles
- 2. 3-D inverse modelling:
  - top crystalline basement
  - crustal structure down to MOHO
- 3. Testing the capability of marine vs satellite gravity to reflect the geometry of shallow tectonic structures

#### Acknowledgements

This work has been founded by the Polish National Science Centre grant no UMO-2017/27/B/ST10/02316. The MARIA S. MERIAN expedition MSM52 was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) and the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF).

#### References

Al Hseinat, M., & Hübscher, C. (2017). Late Cretaceous to recent tectonic evolution of the North German Basin and the transition zone to the Baltic Shield/southwest Baltic Sea. *Tectonophysics, 708,* 28–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2017.04.021

BABEL Working Group. (1993). Deep Seismic Reflection/Refraction Interpretation of Crustal Structure Along Babel Profiles A and B In the Southern Baltic Sea: Babel Working Group\*. *Geophysical Journal International*, 112(3), 325–343. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.1993.tb01173.x

Brocher, T. M. (2005). Empirical relations between elastic wavespeeds and density in the Earth's crust. Bulletin of the seismological Society of America, 95(6), 2081-2092.

Hübscher, C., et al., 2017. BalTec - Cruise No. MSM52 – March 1 – March 28, 2016 – Rostock (Germany) – Kiel (Germany). MARIA S. MERIAN-Berichte, MSM52, 46 pp., DFG- Senatskommission für Ozeanographie.

Maystrenko, Y. P., & Scheck-Wenderoth, M. (2013). 3D lithosphere-scale density model of the Central European Basin System and adjacent areas. Tectonophysics, 601, 53–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2013.04.023

Mazur, S., Aleksandrowski, P., Gągała, Ł., Krzywiec, P., Żaba, J., Gaidzik, K., & Sikora, R. (2020). Late Palaeozoic strike-slip tectonics versus oroclinal bending at the SW outskirts of Baltica: case of the Variscan belt's eastern end in Poland. *International Journal of Earth Sciences*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00531-019-01814-7

Mazur, S., Krzywiec, P., Malinowski, M., Lewandowski, M., Aleksandrowski, P., & Mikolajczak, M. (2017). Tektoniczne znaczenie strefy Teisseyre'a-Tornquista w świetle nowych badań. *Przeglad Geologiczny*, 65(12), 1511–1520.

Mazur, S., Mikolajczak, M., Krzywiec, P., Malinowski, M., Lewandowski, M., & Buffenmyer, V. (2016). Pomeranian Caledonides, NW Poland – A collisional suture or thin-skinned fold-and-thrust belt? *Tectonophysics*, *692*, 29–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2016.06.017

Meissner, R., & Krawczyk, C. H. (1999). Caledonian and Proterozoic terrane accretion in the southwest Baltic Sea. *Tectonophysics*, 314(1–3), 255–267. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951(99)00247-4

Pharaoh, T. C. (1999). Palaeozoic terranes and their lithospheric boundaries within the Trans-European Suture Zone (TESZ): A review. Tectonophysics, 314(1–3), 17–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951(99)00235-8

Poulsen, S. E., Balling, N., Bording, T. S., Mathiesen, A., & Nielsen, S. B. (2017). Inverse geothermal modelling applied to Danish sedimentary basins. Geophysical Journal International, 211(1), 188–206. https://doi.org/10.1093/GJI/GGX296

Schlüter, H.U., Jürgens, U., Binot, F., Best, G., 1998. The importance of geological structures as natural sources of potentially hazardous substances in the southern part of the Baltic Sea. Zeitschrift der Deutschen Geologischen Gesellschaft, 44(1).

Seidel, E., Meschede, M., & Obst, K. (2018). The Wiek Fault System east of Rügen Island: Origin, tectonic phases and its relationship to the Trans-European Suture Zone. *Geological Society Special Publication*, 469(1), 59–82. https://doi.org/10.1144/SP469.10

Sopher, D., & Juhlin, C. (2013). Processing and interpretation of vintage 2D marine seismic data from the outer Hanö Bay area, Baltic Sea. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, 95, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jappgeo.2013.04.011

Sopher, D., Erlström, M., Bell, N., & Juhlin, C. (2016). The structure and stratigraphy of the sedimentary succession in the Swedish sector of the Baltic Basin: New insights from vintage 2D marine seismic data. *Tectonophysics*, 676, 90–111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2016.03.012

Vejbæk, O. V., Stouge, S., & Poulsen, K. D. (1994). Palaeozoic tectonic and sedimentary evolution and hydrocarbon prospectivity in the Bornholm area. Danmarks Geologiske Undersogelse, Serie A, 34.