

Natural and anthropogenic methane emissions in West Siberia estimated using a wetland inventory, GOSAT and a regional tower network





(1) National Institute for Environmental Studies, Tsukuba, JPN (2) Finnish Meteorological Institute, Helsinki, FIN

Outline. West Siberia contributes a large fraction of Russian methane emissions, with both natural emissions from peatlands and anthropogenic emissions by oil and gas industries. To quantify anthropogenic emissions with atmospheric observations and inventories, we must better understand the natural wetland emissions. We combine high-resolution wetland mapping based on Landsat data for whole West Siberian lowland with a database of in situ flux measurements to derive bottom up wetland emission estimates. We use a global highresolution methane flux inversion based on a Lagrangian-Eulerian coupled tracer transport model to estimate methane emissions in West Siberia using atmospheric methane data collected at the Siberian GHG monitoring network JR-STATION, ZOTTO, data by the global in situ network and GOSAT satellite observations. High-resolution prior fluxes were prepared for anthropogenic emissions (EDGAR), biomass burning (GFAS), and wetlands (VISIT model combined with Global Lake and Wetlands Database (GLWD) wetland map). We estimate flux corrections to prior flux fields for 2008 to 2016. The inverse model optimizes corrections to two categories of fluxes: anthropogenic and natural (wetlands). Based on fitting the model simulations to the observations, the inverse model provides upward corrections to West Siberian anthropogenic emissions in winter and wetland emissions in summer. We estimate 15% higher anthropogenic emissions than EDGAR inventory for whole Russia, with most of the correction attributed to West Siberia and European part of Russia. Comparison of the inversion estimates with the bottom-up wetland emission inventory for West Siberia suggests a need to adjust the wetland emissions to match observed north-south gradient of emissions with higher emissions in the southhern taiga zone.

For simulation of GHG transport in the atmosphere we use a coupled Eulerian-Lagrangian model NIES-TM – Flexpart, which combines NIES TM v08.1i (resolutions of 2.5 degree and 32 vertical levels), with Flexpart model (Stohl, 2005), with surface flux resolution of 0.1, degree. For application to grid based inversion, a manually developed adjoint of the NIES TM v08.1i was completed. Transpose of the receptor sensitivity matrixes simulated by Flexpart serves as adjoint of Lagrangian component. See Wang et al 2019, Janardanan et al 2020, Maksyutov et al 2020 for details of inverse model. Observational data for Siberia and rest of the world, including GOSAT v02.72 data are same as used in inversion submitted GCP-CH4 project (Saunois et al 2019)

-Configuration of NIES-TM (Maksyutov et al. 2020) resolution (2.5 degree),

reduced grid, larger longitudinal grid size near poles mass conserving meteorology, mass fluxes on hybrid isentropic vertical coordinates interpolated from JCDAS hand-coded adjoint with same CPU cost in forward and adjoint modes

Configuration of Flexpart

- -JCDAS meteorology (1.25 deg, 40 model levels, 6 hourly)
- -flux footprints estimated on 0.1x0.1 deg grid, hourly time step
- -time window 2 to 3 days (for coupling to NIES-TM at 0 GMT)
- -for coupling to NIES-TM, concentration footprints at coupling time estimated on isentropic vertical grid at 2.5 deg horizontal resolution

Prior fluxes, sinks:

1. EDGAR 4.3.2 anthropogenic: fossil/industrial, coal, oil and gas, municipal and agriculture

2. VISIT - wetland and soil sink

3. GFAS fire (daily)

4. Termites, ocean, geological as in Transcom-CH4

5. 3D monthly OH, O1D, CI as in Transcom-CH4

VISIT wetland fluxes remapped from original 0.5 deg to 0.1 degree using maps of wetland area (GLWD 1km)

Flux corrections estimated for 2 flux categories

Anthropogenic, uncertainty 0.3 of EDGAR, monthly (use year 2010) Wetlands, uncertainty 0.5 of VISIT (Cao), monthly climatology

-Observational data: WDCGG, GCP-CH4 dataset, global.

-Analysis period, 2008 – 2016. -Optimization problem: reconstruct fluxes and uncertainties at weekly time step at resolution 0.1 deg



Shamil Maksyutov¹, Motoki Sasakawa¹, Fenjuan Wang¹, Aki Tsuruta², Rajesh Janardanan¹, Irina Terentieva³, Alexander Sabrekov³, Mikhail Glagolev^{4,5}, Toshinobu Machida¹, Mikhail Arshinov⁶, Denis Davydov⁶, Oleg Krasnov⁶, Boris Belan⁶, Ed Dlugokencky⁷, Jost V. Lavric⁸, Akihiko Ito¹, Greet Janssens-Maenhout⁹, Johannes Kaiser¹⁰, Yukio Yoshida¹, and Tsuneo Matsunaga¹



(6) Institute of Atmospheric Optics, Tomsk, RUS (7) ESRL/GMD, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, USA