Eddy covariance measurements of the forest floor CO₂ exchange in two contrasting forest stands in boreal Sweden

Introduction

SLU

Boreal forests cover a large portion of land surface area in the northern hemisphere and greatly affect the global carbon (C) cycle and climate. Since these forests exchange carbon dioxide (CO_2) with the atmosphere in different vertical layers, many different CO₂ sources and sinks exist within the complex forest stand. The forest floor (soil and understory vegetation) may act as an important component of the C budget in a forest stand, however its contribution may vary from negligible to determining the inter-annual variability of ecosystem C balance. To date, there are only a limited number of studies that have directly quantified the CO₂ fluxes over a forest floor using the eddy covariance (EC) method. This is primarily due to challenges and potential violation of underlying assumptions when applying this method in the trunk space where turbulence characteristics are complicated, intermittent, and not in accordance with universal theories. In this study, we used two identical EC flux systems at two contrasting boreal forests (sparse pine stand vs. a dense mixed pine-spruce stand) in Sweden to measure the forest floor CO₂ exchange with the goal to improve our understanding of the role of the forest floor in the ecosystem-scale C budget in the boreal forest region.

2. Site description



Svartberget (SVB)

- 64° 15′ N, 19° 46′ E
- Mixed spruce, pine
- Dense canopy (LAI = 3.3)
- Till (>90%), shallow soils
- Dwarf shrubs (Bilberry, lingonberry), mosses
- Sloping terrain

Rosinedal (ROS)

- 64° 9′ N, 19° 47′ E
- Pine forest
- Sparse canopy (LAI = 2.7)
- Sandy soil
- Dwarf shrubs (Bilberry, lingonberry), mosses
- Flat (~100 m radius; landscape scale slope)

European Geosciences Union 2020: Sharing Geoscience Online

Acknowledgements: This study is funded by the Kempe Foundation (#SMK-1743) and Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation (grant number 2015.0047). The study was made possible by the research infrastructures ICOS and SITES. We would like to acknowledge technical assistance from Per Marklund, Giuseppe De Simon, and Paul Smith.

Jinshu Chi^{1,*}, Mats B. Nilsson¹, Natascha Kljun², Matthias Peichl¹

¹Department of Forest Ecology and Management, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Umeå, Sweden ²Centre for Environmental and Climate Research, Lund University, Lund, Sweden. *Correspondence to: J. Chi (jinshu.chi@slu.se)





Kaimal et al. 1972 equation

 $fC_{wT}(f)$ $(1+b\cdot n)^c$

f: natural frequency (1/30min, 10Hz) *n*: normalized frequency, n=f(z-d)/u C_{wT} : cospectrum density of the flux *abc*: fitted model parameters

Understanding the figures

- 9% and 11% of data (black dots) are selected for fitting the cospectral curves at SVB and ROS, respectively.
- Trunk-space cospectral curves (blue lines) are only fitted for the sensible heat fluxes.
- Above-canopy ideal cospectral
- curve is shown as the red lines. Purple lines have a slope of -4/3.
- Yellow lines depict a slope of -2/3.





Table 1: Annual C budgets of forest floor and forest ecosystem at SVB

G_{FF}

-54

-48

-42

GPP

-806

-910

-879

579

592

679

617

639

734

699

691

NEE

-167

-211

-188

NFFE

525

575

SVB

2017

2018

2019

Average

Figure b: NFFE diurnal patterns during the four

seasons of 2016-2019 at ROS

Table 2: Annual C budgets of forest floor and forest ecosystem at ROS

| ROS | NFFE | NEE | G_{FF} | GPP | R_{FF} | R_{eco} |
|---------|------|------|----------|-------|----------|-----------|
| 2016 | 447 | -342 | -72 | -1092 | 519 | 749 |
| 2017 | 365 | -220 | -54 | -889 | 419 | 668 |
| 2018 | 431 | -214 | -21 | -894 | 452 | 679 |
| 2019 | 433 | -221 | -16 | -883 | 449 | 661 |
| Average | 419 | -249 | -41 | -940 | 460 | 689 |
| | | | | | | |

Tables 1&2: Unit is in g C m^{-2}

7. Conclusions

- Our empirical below-canopy cospectral models show that more low- and high-frequency signals occurred in the forest trunk space than for the ideal above-canopy cospectral model.
- The difference between below- and above-canopy cospectra is more pronounced in the dense pine-spruce forest (SVB) compared to the open pine stand (ROS).
- Results revealed that the forest floor of the two contrasting forest stands acted as a net CO₂ source with a mean annual NFFE of 575 and 419 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ for SVB and ROS, respectively.
- Forest floor accounts for ~5% of ecosystem GPP at both sites and 90% and 67% of ecosystem respiration at SVB and ROS, respectively.

AS2.16

EGU2020-17618







Figure c: Annual NFFE at SVB and ROS from 2016 to 2019.

References

- Launiainen, S. et al., 2005. Eddy covariance measurements of CO2 and sensible and latent heat fluxes during a full year in a borea pine forest trunk-space. Boreal
- Environ. Res., 10(6): 569-588. • Falk, M., et al., 2005. Is soil respiration a major contributor to the carbon budget within a Pacific Northwest old-growth forest? Agric. For. Meteorol., 135(1): 269-